

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: Cowlitz Timber Trails Association (CTTA) RV Park
2. Name of applicant: Scott Christian, General Manager CTTA
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Scott Christian (Applicant)
CTTA
1988 Spencer Road
Salkum, WA 98582
360-985-2788

Jennifer Hughes (Representative)
Parametrix
700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1000
Portland, OR 97232
503-416-6185

4. Date checklist prepared: August 15, 2019 (Revised March 18, 2020)
5. Agency requesting checklist: Lewis County
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The activities requested under this application are not currently scheduled. This is a programmatic approval to obtain Shoreline Master Program (SMP) approval for the full overall build out of lots within the SMP at CTTA. Lots may develop in the near future, or may not develop for several years or more from the original application date. The SMP approval will be renewed every 5 years, with year 1 starting January 1 the year after approval of this application.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The activities requested under this application are not currently scheduled. This is a programmatic approval to obtain Shoreline Master Program (SMP) approval for the full overall build out of lots within the SMP at CTTA. Lots may develop in the near future, or may not develop for several years or more from the original application date.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

A wetland delineation report and ecological characterization have been completed for the site as part of the SCUP and Variance application.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No known other applications are pending.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

No known additional approvals are required.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

Cowlitz Timber Trails Association (CTTA) is a private membership recreational vehicle (RV) campground located at 1988 Spencer Rd., Salkum, WA on the north bank of the Cowlitz River in Lewis County. The Lewis County Shoreline Jurisdiction, pursuant to the Shoreline Management Act (SMA), extends 200 feet inland from the Cowlitz River ordinary high water mark (OHWM), and the Rural Conservancy Shoreline Buffer extends 150 feet inland from the Cowlitz River. CTTA has approximately 258 lots within or partially within the 200-foot SMP jurisdiction area and 210 lots within the 150-foot buffer. The 150-foot buffer area is approximately 21 acres in size measured along the approximately 6,110 linear feet of the developed portion of the shoreline.

The existing and proposed use is an RV campground with small accessory structures that does not have extensive open areas and is forested. Member lots are not landscaped, and members have a limited duration of allowed occupancy per year. This use most closely matches the Moderate Intensity uses described in LCC 17.35A.605.

CTTA has prepared this Shoreline Conditional Use Permit (SCUP) and Variance application to accomplish three things: (1) Confirm through the SMP approval that regulation-compliant structures built on member lots not previously approved under the SMP may continue in their present locations, (2) Approve a maximum allowed build-out of member lots within the SMP jurisdiction area as described here-in, and (3) Approve mitigation to compensate for impacts from the development.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The CTTA RV Park is located at 1988 Spencer Road, southwest of Salkum, WA. CTTA owns several tax lots surrounding the RV Park including 028070000000, 028071001000, 028072000000, 028074000000, 028140000000, 028129000000, 028128000000, 028126000000, and 028127000000. The developed area within the SMP jurisdiction subject to the SCUP and Variance application is contained within 028072000000 and 028140000000 and comprises approximately 21 acres. Figure 1 shows the developed area, and Figure E.1 shows contours and the SMP jurisdiction and wetlands.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Earth [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:

The site contains flat and steeply sloped areas adjacent to the Cowlitz River.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The steepest slope within the proposed development area is 15% or greater; however, all potential development is on flat ground.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

The development area is primarily Ledow sand based on the Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey. Other types of soil include Cloquato silt loam and Winston gravelly loam.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

There are steep areas on the site, but no history or visible instability.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The overall full development would allow gravel on up to 20% of the lot area (after the building area is removed) or 800 square feet per lot, whichever is less. This totals 3.23 acres of gravel up to 2 inches deep. No excavation is proposed at this time. The gravel would be used at the individual lots for access and to prevent erosion from typical use.

The proposal does not include the placement of this amount of gravel all at once. Rather, the proposal approves the total, eventual placement of gravel.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

It is not likely that erosion will occur from the small individual structure construction or incremental placement of gravel for lot access protection. Access to the sites occurs from established roadways.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

After full development, if all potential structures are built, impervious surfaces would total 5.64 acres, or approximately 27% of the 21-acre area fully within the SMP jurisdiction. This would be from small structures accessory to RV camping.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Lot members are only allowed the minimal amount of grading necessary to construct allowed buildings and the amount of gravel placement is limited to the minimum necessary. No stockpiling of dirt or gravel will occur.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

No change in air emissions would occur as a result of the project. The overall use and intensity of use is not proposed to change.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

There are no off-site sources of emissions or odor that would affect the proposal.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

No proposed measures are necessary.

3. Water [\[help\]](#)

- a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Two streams (the Cowlitz River and one unnamed stream) and three depressional wetlands (Wetland A, Wetland B, and Wetland C) were identified in the project vicinity during the December 18, 2018 pedestrian field survey.

Wetland A is a Category II wetland situated in the northwest portion of the study area consists of palustrine forested, scrub-shrub aquatic vegetation and emergent beds. The unnamed stream flows through Wetland A and drains into the Cowlitz River.

Wetland B is located approximately 150 feet south of Wetland A and is surrounded by developed campsite lots. This wetland is not hydrologically connected to the Cowlitz River and is considered a Category III wetland.

Wetland C is a Category II wetland that consists of palustrine forest and emergent habitats. It is situated at the southeast portion of the study area and receives flow primarily from hillside seeps that collect in a shallow depression. The wetland drains to a culvert to the southeast, which appears to drain into the Cowlitz River.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No in-water work is proposed. However structures and gravel would be installed within 200 feet of the Cowlitz River, the unnamed stream, and the three wetlands described above (see Figure 1 for lot locations).

This application proposes that members be allowed to construct structures up to 30% coverage of the total lot size or 1,652 square feet, whichever is less. Members would also be allowed to lay gravel up to 2 inches deep covering up to 20% of the remaining lot area or 800 square feet, whichever is less. For example, a 3,000 square foot lot would be allowed to construct 900 square feet (30%) of permanent structure coverage and 420 square feet of gravel coverage, while a 6,000 square foot lot would be allowed to construct up to 1,652 square feet of structures and 800 square feet of gravel coverage. The larger lot would be capped at 1,652 square feet of structures and 800 square feet of gravel instead of allowing the full 30% structure and remaining 20% gravel. The capped structure total is based on a scenario where a lot builds each of the maximum sized structure types allowed in the SMP area within the CTTA guidelines. Structure types and sizes previously allowed in the SMP area by CTTA were used to generate the cap for square footage. Table 1 in Appendix C of the SCUP provides structure data per member lot and indicated known existing structures, the maximum build-out for each lot, and remaining balance for each lot. CTTA proposes the following guidelines for future development:

- Lots with existing structures exceeding that lot's maximum allowed coverage are allowed to retain existing structures (as long as they are not indicated as non-compliant structures) but cannot expand the structures or build new structures. If existing structures are demolished due to damage or any other reason, they may not be reconstructed if the reconstruction would result in coverage over the allowed amount.
- If any structures are completely contained within another structure (e.g. an entry porch that is within the footprint of an RV roof/cover), only the size of the covering structure would be counted against the total lot coverage.
- The lot coverage maximums apply to lots both entirely within and partially within the 200-foot SMP jurisdiction area.
- Adjacent lots allotted to the same member are considered to be one lot for the purposes of total structure and lot square footage. If in the future the lot users change, lots can be tracked as individual again.
- No tree removal for the purpose of adding structures or gravel to a lot is allowed.
- Gravel installation areas must be approved by CTTA, not exceed the total area allowed, and not exceed a depth of 2 inches. Existing gravel areas will be allowed to remain.

- No grading other than that needed to directly construct structure poles or footings is allowed, and all existing contours must remain the same.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No dredging or disposal of dredge material is proposed.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

The proposal would not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

The FEMA 100-year floodplain is shown on CTTA SCUP / Variance Application, Appendix F, Approximately 161 lots are located within or partially within the floodplain.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

The proposal does not involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This proposal does not include any changes to water sources for the RV lots at CTTA.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No septic drainage systems are proposed with this application. Underground double-walled refuse tanks are allowed by CTTA for each lot and are monitored and pumped out regularly according to manufacturer and health department requirements.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Individual structures currently on the site do not utilize a stormwater collection and conveyance system; instead, stormwater runs off structure surfaces and infiltrates in

place. Site occupancy is limited and is not year-round, limiting the potential exposure of pollutants to stormwater. No Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, on-site management, or runoff treatment would be required.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Potentially harmful materials are not regularly used as part of the continuance of the RV campground use beyond that typically used for camping activities, including stove operation and vehicle operation. No harmful materials are allowed to enter waterbodies or wetlands and would be stored in safe leakproof containers per CTTA requirements.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The proposal would not alter or affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

No proposed measures are necessary.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

shrubs

grass

pasture

crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Approximately 6.87 acres of the project area currently dominated by non-native, invasive species would be altered for the purpose of ecological restoration. Areas that would be cleared of non-native vegetation would be revegetated with native species as described in the mitigation plan (see Appendix D of the SCUP). No existing native vegetation within the project vicinity is proposed to be removed with this application.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Federally threatened species listed as potentially occurring within the project vicinity include Golden paintbrush (*Castilleja levisecta*) and Kincaid's lupine (*Lupinus sulphureus ssp. kincaidii*).

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Areas with invasive, noxious non-native vegetation would be cleared using hand labor or light equipment and native vegetation would be promptly established.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Invasive species known to be on the site include reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) and Scot's broom (*Cytisus scoparius*).

5. **Animals** [\[help\]](#)

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

Many types of birds, mammals, and fish have been observed on or near the site. These include hawks, herons, eagles, songbirds, deer, elk, beaver activity, salmon, and trout.

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Federally threatened species listed as potentially occurring within the project vicinity include marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), streaked horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*), and bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*). The proposed endangered gray wolf (*Canis lupus*) and proposed threatened North American wolverine (*Gulo gulo luscus*) are also listed as potentially occurring within the project vicinity. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) does not identify the site as a priority habitat for any endangered, threatened, or sensitive species.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

According to WDFW Priority Habitats and Species Report (PHS) data (2019), the Cowlitz River serves as a migration route for fall chum (*Oncorhynchus keta*), coho salmon (*O. kisutch*), rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*), and steelhead (*O. mykiss*). WDFW PHS data also indicate that the upland portion of the study area is located within a northern spotted owl management buffer.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Proposed restoration activities include the removal of non-native invasive species and planting of habitat-appropriate native species to restore ecological functions in the upland and below OHWM restoration areas. No additional measures to preserve or enhance wildlife are proposed.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

No known invasive animals are present on or near the site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The proposed project would not require additional energy sources.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No specific clearing is proposed and placement of fill would be the minimum necessary, which would not result in vegetation reduction. The proposed project would not affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

No other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts are included in this proposal.

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

Potentially harmful materials are not regularly used as part of the continuance of the RV campground use beyond that typically used for camping activities, including stove operation and vehicle operation.

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

No existing hazardous chemicals or conditions beyond those typically used for camping activities would affect project development or design.

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

No toxic or hazardous chemicals would be stored, used, or produced during project development or construction.

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No changes to emergency service requirements is anticipated since there is no expansion of the number of lots.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards are not included in this proposal.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Aside from the noise generated from the existing RV use, additional noise is not expected to occur as a result of the proposed project.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Construction of new structures would not include excavation or grading other than the minimum necessary to install poles, anchors, and footings for structures. It is anticipated that construction activities would occur on a short-term basis and disturbance would be minimal and completed during the hours established by CTTA

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

No measures to reduce or control noise impacts are proposed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The existing use is an RV campground with small accessory structures that lacks extensive open areas and is forested. Member lots are not landscaped, and members have a limited duration of allowed occupancy per year. The proposal is consistent with the current land use and would therefore not affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

The project site has not been used as working farmlands or forest lands, and no agricultural, forest practice, or commercial development uses are proposed with this application.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

The proposal would not affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

CTTA has approximately 258 lots within or partially within the 200-foot SMP jurisdiction area and 210 lots (approximately 21 acres) within the 150-foot buffer. These lots contain RVs, RV covers, storage sheds, patios, decks, fences, and fire pits. There are two restroom areas also with the SMP jurisdiction that contain small restroom buildings.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No structures would be demolished as part of this proposal.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The site is zoned with the Agricultural Resource Lands (ARL) designation within Lewis County.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The current comprehensive plan designation of the site is Agricultural Resource Lands (ARL).

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The site is within the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment. The Lewis County Shoreline Jurisdiction, pursuant to the SMA, extends 200 feet inland from the Cowlitz River ordinary high water mark (OHWM), and the Rural Conservancy Shoreline Buffer extends 150 feet inland from the Cowlitz River.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

The site has been classified as a critical area by Lewis County for Wetlands, Fish and Wildlife Aquatic Habitat, Frequently Flooded Areas, Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas, and Geologically Hazardous Areas. Demonstration of compliance with the Lewis County Critical Areas Ordinance is included as part of the SCUP and Variance application.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

The site does not provide year round residency, so no one would reside on site as a primary residency. Lots have maximum durations based on site characteristics per the County Health Code. There are 9 employees on site on an average day. Some employees may exceed maximum residency one site due to 24-hour call shifts.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

The completed project would not result in displacement.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

No measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts are proposed.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The proposed use is a continuance of an approved private RV campground on Agricultural Resource Lands adjacent to the Cowlitz River. There is no change in use proposed and no expansion of the overall area where the RV development or activities occurs is proposed. The land in the vicinity is zoned for agricultural, rural, and forest uses, with similar uses planned for in the Comprehensive Plan. As such, continuance of the CTTA use is compatible with uses in the area.

- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

The proposed project would not impact agricultural or forest lands of long-term commercial significance. No additional measures are proposed.

9. **Housing** [\[help\]](#)

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None of the proposed structures are residential structures. Lots have maximum durations based on site characteristics per the County Health Code. RVs are the only allowed location for sleeping or other habitation and are a recreation use.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No units would be eliminated as a result of this proposal.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

No measures to reduce or control housing impacts are included in this proposal.

10. **Aesthetics** [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The maximum height for structures within the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment is 35 feet. No existing structures exceed 35 feet and no potential future buildout structures would exceed 35 feet.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No views of the shoreline would be obstructed from any residences or public areas.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

The maximum height for structures within the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment is 35 feet. No existing structures exceed 35 feet and no potential future buildout structures would exceed 35 feet.

11. Light and Glare [\[help\]](#)

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

The proposed project would not produce light or glare.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Light or glare from the finished product would not be a safety hazard or interfere with views.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

The proposal would not be affected by any existing off-site sources of light or glare.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

No measures to reduce or control light or glare impacts are included in this proposal.

12. Recreation [\[help\]](#)

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The proposed project is situated on an existing developed recreation area along the shoreline within the Rural Conservancy Shoreline Environment Designation. Uses within the vicinity include temporary and periodic non-water-oriented recreational use by lot members with RVs and small storage structures.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No, the proposed project would not displace any existing recreational uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

No measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation are included in this proposal.

13. Historic and cultural preservation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

No buildings, structures, or sites over 45 years old are listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

There are no known landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation at the site.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

The proposed project is a continuance of use at a previously disturbed location that only includes incidental grading and placement of small structures with shallow footings. No cultural resource impacts are anticipated because no new areas previously undisturbed would be developed.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

In the event any artifacts are uncovered during any construction activity all construction would stop and the County and the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and affected tribes would be notified.

14. Transportation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

No changes to the roadway system are proposed. The site takes access to Spencer Road via a private driveway and has an internal private drive/road system.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Public transit does not currently serve the site. The closest transit stop is the Salkum Library bus stop located approximately 2.4 miles northeast of the site at 2480 US-12, Salkum, Washington.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

No additional parking spaces would be added to or removed from the existing project area.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposal would not require any new roads or improvements to existing roads, streets, or other roadway facilities.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

The proposal would not use or occur in the immediate vicinity of water, rail, or air transportation.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The proposed development would not change the number of trips to the CTTA site. No new lots or increases in occupancy would occur as part of the proposal and construction would generally occur over time concurrent with existing trips members are already making to the site.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

The proposal would not interfere with, affect, or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

No measures to reduce or control transportation impacts are proposed.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The proposed project would not result in an increased need for public services.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

No measures are proposed or necessary to reduce impacts on public services.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____

The above circled services are currently available at the CTTA site. No changes to utilities are proposed.

- d. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

No changes to utilities are proposed with this application.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Scott Christian
Name of signee Scott Christian
Position and Agency/Organization Cowlitz Timber Trails Association
Date Submitted: 3/26/2020