Lewis County Shoreline Master Program Update

Shoreline Master Program

Environment Designations, Policies, & Regulations

DRAFT

Prepared by:

AHBL
2215 North 30th Street, Suite 300
Tacoma, WA 98403

HERRERA
2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100
Seattle, WA 98121

Ecology Grant No: G1200468

This report was funded in part through a grant from the Washington State Department of Ecology.

BOCC DRAFT

May 12, 2016

This page intentionally left blank

DRAFT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents i

List of Tables iv

List of Abbreviations v

1 Introduction 1

1.01 Requirements of the Shoreline Management Act 1
1.02 Authority 2
1.03 Purpose and Intent 2
1.04 Shoreline Master Program Development 2
1.05 Applicability 3
1.06 Shoreline Jurisdiction 4
1.07 Relationship to Other Codes, Ordinances, and Plans 8
1.08 Consistency with the Growth Management Act 8
1.09 Liberal Construction 9
1.10 Severability 9
1.11 Title 9
1.12 Effective Date 9

2 Shoreline Management Goals 10

2.01 Shoreline Master Program Goals 10
2.02 Economic Development Goal 10
2.03 Public Access Goal 10
2.04 Recreational Goal 10
2.05 Circulation Goal 10
2.06 Shoreline Use Goal 11
2.07 Conservation Goal 11
2.08 Historic, Cultural, Scientific, and Educational Goal 11
2.09 Flood Hazard Prevention Goal 11
2.10 Restoration Goal 11

3 Shoreline Environment Designations 12

3.01 Shoreline Environment Designation System 12
3.02 Interpretation of Shoreline Environment Designation Boundaries 19

4 General Policies & Regulations 21

4.01 Introduction 21
4.02 Archaeological and Historic Resources 21
4.03 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation 22
4.04 Critical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation 24
4.05 Flood Hazard Management 39
4.06 Public Access 42

DRAFT

4.07 WATER QUALITY 45

5 Specific Shoreline Use Policies & Regulations 47

5.01 INTRODUCTION 47
5.02 GENERAL SHORELINE USE 47
5.03 ALLOWED SHORELINE USES 49
5.04 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS 52
5.05 AGRICULTURE 54
5.06 AQUACULTURE 57
5.07 BOATING AND WATER ACCESS FACILITIES 60
5.08 COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT 70
5.09 FOREST PRACTICES 72
5.10 INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT 73
5.11 MINING 75
5.12 PARKING 76
5.13 RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT 77
5.14 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 79
5.15 SIGNS 81
5.16 TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES 82
5.17 UTILITIES 84

6 Shoreline Modification Policies & Regulations 87

6.01 INTRODUCTION 87
6.02 GENERAL SHORELINE MODIFICATION PROVISIONS 89
6.03 CLEARING, GRADING, AND FILL 90
6.04 DREDGING AND DREDGE MATERIAL DISPOSAL 92
6.05 IN-WATER STRUCTURES 95
6.06 RESTORATION 98
6.07 SHORELINE STABILIZATION 100

7 Shoreline Administration 109

7.01 INTRODUCTION 109
7.02 PERMIT PROCESSING - GENERAL 109
7.03 APPLICATION - NOTICES 111
7.04 SHORELINE PERMITS AND APPROVALS 112
7.05 PUBLIC HEARING AND DECISION 114
7.06 TIME REQUIREMENTS AND REVISIONS 116
7.07 NONCONFORMING DEVELOPMENT 117
7.08 ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES 118
7.09 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM – ADMINISTRATION 119

8 Definitions 121

8.01 UNLISTED WORDS OR PHRASES 121
8.02 DEFINITIONS 121

Appendix 1: Shoreline Environment Designation Maps 1

DRAFT

Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations 1

Appendix 3: Specific Waterbodies Subject to the SMP 1

DRAFT

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers 30
Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses 49
Table 5-2: Shoreline Height Regulations.....53
Table 6-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Shoreline Modifications 88
Table A-1: Rivers and Streams Subject to the SMA in Lewis County 3
Table A-2: Lakes Subject to the SMA in Lewis County 7

DRAFT

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BAS – Best Available Science

BMPs – Best Management Practices

CAC – Citizen Advisory Committee for the Shoreline Master Plan Update Process

CAO – Critical Areas Ordinance

County – Lewis County

CMZ – Channel Migration Zone

DAHP – Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation

Ecology – Washington State Department of Ecology

ESA – Federal Endangered Species Act

FEMA – Federal Emergency Management Agency

FIRM – Flood Insurance Rate Map

FPA – Washington State Forest Practices Act (Chapter 76.09 RCW)

GMA – Washington State Growth Management Act (Chapter 36.70A RCW)

HPA – Hydraulic Project Approval

LCC – Lewis County Code

LAMIRD – Limited Areas of More Intensive Rural Development

LID – Low Impact Development

NRCS – Natural Resources Conservation Service

OHWM – Ordinary High Water Mark

PFC – Properly Functioning Conditions

PTE – Proposed, Threatened, and Endangered

RCW – Revised Code of Washington

DRAFT

SEPA – State Environmental Policy Act (Chapter 43.21C RCW)

SMA – Shoreline Management Act (Chapter 90.58 RCW)

SMP – Shoreline Master Program

State – State of Washington

TAC – Technical Advisory Committee for the Shoreline Master Plan Update Process

UGA – Urban Growth Area

USACE – United States Army Corps of Engineers

USGS – United States Geological Survey

WAC – Washington Administrative Code

WDFW – Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife

WDNR – Washington State Department of Natural Resources

WSDOT – Washington State Department of Transportation

DRAFT

1 INTRODUCTION

1.01 REQUIREMENTS OF THE SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT

The State Legislature passed Washington's Shoreline Management Act (SMA) (Chapter 90.58 Revised Code of Washington (RCW)) in 1971 and citizens of the State approved the SMA through referendum in 1972 "…to prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the State's shorelines." The SMA requires that Lewis County (County) plan for the use of Shorelines of the State within its jurisdiction. The SMA and Chapter 173-26 Washington Administrative Code (WAC) established broad policies that give preference to shoreline uses that:

- **Encourage water-dependent uses**: "…uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment, or are unique to or dependent upon use of the States' shorelines…"
- **Protect shoreline natural resources**: including "…the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the State and their aquatic life…"
- **Promote public access**: "…the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural Shorelines of the State shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the State and people generally."

The SMA recognizes that "…shorelines are among the most valuable and fragile…" of the State's resources. The County recognizes and protects private property rights in shoreline jurisdiction, while aiming to preserve the quality of these unique resources for all State residents.

The primary purpose of the SMA is to manage and protect the State's shoreline resources by planning for reasonable and appropriate uses. In order to protect the public interest in preserving these shorelines, the SMA establishes a coordinated planning program between the County and the State to address development and uses occurring in the State's shorelines.

Under the SMA, the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) is created and implemented based on a "…cooperative program of shoreline management between local jurisdictions and the State." With citizen contributions collected through the shoreline planning process, the County developed this SMP, and will implement and administer it through shoreline permits and reviews. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) provided funding for the update, and reviews and approves local SMPs and certain local permit decisions.

DRAFT

1.02 AUTHORITY

The Shoreline Management Act of 1971, Chapter 90.58 RCW, is the authority for the enactment and administration of the SMP. As appointed by the County Commissioners, the County Shoreline Administrator is charged with the responsibility of administering the SMP.

1.03 PURPOSE AND INTENT

The four purposes of the SMP are to:

A. Carry out the responsibilities of the County under the SMA;

B. Promote the public health, safety, and general welfare, by providing a guide and regulation for the future development of shoreline resources in the County;

C. Further, by adoption, the policies of the SMA and the goals of the SMP; and

D. Comply with the State SMP Guidelines (Chapter 173-26 WAC); including a particular focus on regulations and mitigation standards to ensure that development under the SMP will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

1.04 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT

As part of a coalition with the Cities of Centralia, Chehalis, Morton, and Winlock, the County obtained grant number G1200468 from Ecology in 2012 to conduct a comprehensive SMP update. The first step in the update process involved an inventory of the areas of the County subject to the SMA. Numerous rivers, streams, and lakes and their associated wetlands and flood courses comprise the areas in the County subject to the SMA. There are over 992 miles of creeks and rivers and 17,147 acres of lakes and reservoirs that meet the definition of Shorelines of the State in the County.

The Public Participation Plan guided public interaction throughout the development of the SMP. Three Citizen Advisory Committees (CACs) reviewed SMP documents, particularly proposed shoreline environment designations, policies, and regulations, and provided feedback in a series of public meetings. One CAC covered the unincorporated County and the Cities of Morton and Winlock, while two separate CACs were established for the Cities of Centralia and Chehalis.

The Shoreline Inventory and Characterization described existing biological and physical conditions for the 16 shoreline management areas that were further classified into 222 shoreline reaches covering the County. These shoreline management areas and reaches were

analyzed and characterized to create a baseline from which future development actions in shoreline jurisdiction will be measured. A Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) reviewed and commented on the Shoreline Inventory and Characterization.

The public discussed the findings of the Shoreline Inventory and Characterization and proposed shoreline environment designations at four community meetings that covered western Lewis County and the City of Winlock, eastern Lewis County and the City of Morton, the City of Centralia, and the City of Chehalis. Shoreline environment designations were assigned to all areas in shoreline jurisdiction in the County. Then goals, policies, and regulations for each shoreline environment designation and for all activities subject to the SMA were developed with a focus on maintaining the baseline ecological condition. The CACs and the public reviewed these documents.

The Cumulative Impacts Analysis and the No Net Loss Report evaluated whether the updated SMP would, when implemented over time, yield no net loss of ecological functions when considering reasonably foreseeable development in shoreline jurisdiction relative to the baseline established by the Shoreline Inventory and Characterization.

The Restoration Plan was developed to address voluntary, non-regulatory actions that could be taken to restore impaired shoreline ecological functions shoreline jurisdiction. Ideally, the SMP, in combination with other County and regional efforts, will ultimately produce a net improvement in ecological functions of the shoreline.

1.05 APPLICABILITY

A. The SMP shall not apply retroactively to existing, legally established structures, uses, and developments in place at the time of Ecology final action on the SMP.

B. All proposed uses, activities, and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to the SMA and the SMP whether or not a permit or other form of authorization is required, except when specifically exempted by statute.

C. In addition to the requirements of the SMA, permit review, implementation, and enforcement procedures affecting private property must be conducted in a manner consistent with all relevant constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property.

D. The shoreline permit procedures, policies and regulations established in the SMP shall apply throughout the County to all non-Federal developments and uses undertaken on Federal lands, and on lands subject to non-Federal ownership, lease or easement, even

though such lands may fall within the external boundaries of a Federal ownership. Federal lands include, but are not limited to, National Forests and Wilderness Areas. As recognized by RCW 37.08.200, the Federal Government has exclusive jurisdiction of Mount Rainier National Park and therefore it is exempt from the regulations contained in this SMP.

E. As recognized by RCW 90.58.350, the provisions of this SMP do not affect treaty rights of Native American tribes.

1.06 SHORELINE JURISDICTION

1.06.01 EXTENT OF SHORELINE JURISDICTION

The SMA defines the extent of the geographic area in the County subject to the SMP. According to RCW 90.58.030, the SMP applies to the following Shorelines of the State within the County:

A. Segments of streams or rivers where the mean annual flow is more than 20 cubic feet per second.

B. Lakes and reservoirs 20 acres and greater in area.

C. Shorelands adjacent to these waterbodies. These include:

   1. Lands extending landward for 200 feet in all directions as measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary high water mark (OHWM);

   2. Adopted Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodways, or 2010 flood channel study areas and the SMP Flood Course, and contiguous floodplain areas landward 200 feet from such adopted FEMA floodways or 2010 flood channel study areas and the SMP Flood Course;[1] and

   3. All wetlands and river deltas associated with the streams and lakes subject to the SMA.

See Appendix 3: Specific Waterbodies Subject to the SMP for the rivers, streams, and lakes in the County subject to the SMA and the SMP.

[1] For Lewis County, the 2010 flood channel study areas and the SMP Flood Course were used. The use of the term "SMP Flood Course" does not affect the designation or treatment of floodways as outlined in the Critical Areas Regulations.

DRAFT

The County chose not to include additional areas in shoreline jurisdiction during the SMP planning process, as is an option under RCW 90.58.030 (2)(d)(i) and (ii). These additional areas included the following:

- The area beyond the minimum shorelands along stream corridors as defined in the SMA.
- The "…land necessary for buffers for critical areas as defined in Chapter 36.70A RCW that occur within Shorelines of the State."

The extent of shoreline jurisdiction in the County is depicted on the official shoreline maps included in SMP Appendix 1: Shoreline Environment Designation Maps. The maps only approximately represent the lateral extent of shoreline jurisdiction. The actual lateral extent of shoreline jurisdiction shall be determined on a case-by-case basis established by the location of the OHWM, the floodway, which is defined as the adopted FEMA floodways or SMP flood course, and the presence of associated wetlands. In circumstances where shoreline jurisdiction does not include an entire parcel, only that portion of the parcel and any use, activity or development on that portion of the parcel is subject to the SMP.

The actual location of the OHWM, floodway, SMP flood course, floodplain, and wetland boundaries shall be determined at the time a development is proposed.

1.06.02 SHORELINES OF STATEWIDE SIGNIFICANCE

A. Adoption of Policy

In implementing the objectives for the Shorelines of Statewide Significance, the County based its decisions in preparing the SMP on the following policies in order of priority, with one being the highest and seven being the lowest.

1. Recognize and protect the statewide interest over local interest.
2. Preserve the natural character of the shoreline.
3. Result in long term over short-term benefit.
4. Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline.
5. Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shoreline.
6. Increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline.
7. Provide for any other element as defined in RCW 90.58.100 deemed appropriate or necessary.

Uses that are not consistent with these policies should not be permitted on Shorelines of Statewide Significance.

DRAFT

B. Designation of Shorelines of Statewide Significance

Specific larger waterbodies west of the Cascades crest are classified as Shorelines of Statewide Significance in RCW 90.58.030(2)(f):

1. Lakes, whether natural, artificial, or a combination thereof, with a surface acreage of 1,000 acres or more measured at the OHWM; and
2. Natural rivers or segments thereof downstream of a point where the mean annual flow is measured at 1,000 cubic feet per second or more.

In the County, the following rivers and lakes and their associated shorelands are defined as Shorelines of Statewide Significance. These shorelines are considered resources for all people of the State, thus preference is given to uses that favor long-range goals and support the overall public interest.

1. Chehalis River
2. Cispus River
3. Cowlitz River
4. Nisqually River
5. Mayfield Reservoir
6. Mossyrock Reservoir
7. Alder Reservoir

C. Policies for Shorelines of Statewide Significance

The statewide interest should be recognized and protected over the local interest in Shorelines of Statewide Significance. To ensure that statewide interests are protected over local interests, the County shall review all development proposals within Shorelines of Statewide Significance for consistency with RCW 90.58.030 and the following policies:

1. Encourage redevelopment of shorelines where it restores or enhances shoreline ecological functions and processes impaired by prior development activities.
2. The County should consult with Ecology, the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), and the Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, the Quinault Indian Nation, the Cowlitz Indian Tribe, the Nisqually Indian Tribe, and other resources agencies for development proposals that could affect anadromous fisheries.

DRAFT

3. Where commercial timber cutting takes place pursuant to SMP Section 5.09 and RCW 90.58.150, reforestation should take place as soon as feasible.
4. Activities that use shoreline resources on a sustained yield or non-consuming basis and that are compatible with other appropriate uses should be given priority over uses not meeting these criteria.
5. The range of options for shoreline use should be preserved to the maximum possible extent for succeeding generations. Development that consumes valuable, scarce, or irreplaceable natural resources should not be permitted if alternative sites are available.
6. Potential short-term economic gains or convenience should be measured against potential long term and/or costly impairment of natural features.
7. Protection or enhancement of aesthetic values should be actively promoted in design review of new or expanding development.
8. Resources and ecological systems of Shorelines of Statewide Significance and those limited shorelines containing unique, scarce, and/or sensitive resources should be protected to the maximum extent feasible.
9. Erosion and sedimentation from development sites should be controlled to minimize adverse impacts on ecosystem processes. If site conditions preclude effective erosion and sediment control, excavations, land clearing, or other activities likely to result in significant erosion should be severely limited.
10. Public access development in extremely sensitive areas should be restricted or prohibited. All forms of recreation or access development should be designed to protect the resource base upon which such uses in general depend.
11. Public and private developments should be encouraged to provide trails, viewpoints, water access points, and shoreline related recreation opportunities whenever feasible. Such development is recognized as a high priority use.
12. Development not requiring a waterside or shoreline location should be located inland so that lawful public enjoyment of shorelines is enhanced.

1.06.03 OFFICIAL SHORELINE MAP

The County's Community Development Department shall keep the Official Shoreline Map for the County. In the event of an error in the maps, the County will rely upon common boundary descriptions and the criteria contained in RCW 90.58.030(2) and Chapter 173-22 WAC

DRAFT

pertaining to determinations of shorelands. Unofficial copies of the official map may be included or distributed with copies of the SMP.

1.07 RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER CODES, ORDINANCES, AND PLANS

All applicable County, State, and Federal laws shall apply to properties in shoreline jurisdiction. Should a conflict occur between the provisions of the SMP or between the SMP and the laws, regulations, codes or rules promulgated by any other authority having jurisdiction within the County, the most restrictive requirement shall be applied, except when constrained by State or Federal law, or where specifically provided otherwise in the SMP.

1.08 CONSISTENCY WITH THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT

The Growth Management Act (GMA) requires that, consistent with RCW 36.70A.480, the goals and policies of the SMP approved under Chapter 90.58 RCW shall be considered an element of the County Comprehensive Plan. All regulatory elements of the SMP, including, but not limited to definitions and use regulations, shall be considered a part of the County development regulations. Future amendments to the SMP element of the County Comprehensive Plan must also follow the amendment procedures of Chapter 90.58 RCW. The SMP was developed to be consistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan and development regulations.

The State SMP Guidelines identify three criteria to use in evaluating the consistency between the SMP's shoreline environment designation provisions and the County's Comprehensive Plan elements and development regulations. In order for these to be internally consistent, all three of the conditions below should be met:

A. **Provisions not precluding one another.** Comprehensive Plan provisions and shoreline environment designation provisions should not preclude one another. To meet this criterion, the provisions of both the Comprehensive Plan and the SMP must be able to be met. Further, when considered together and applied to any property, the SMP use policies and regulations and zoning or other use regulations should not conflict or eliminate all viable uses of the property.

B. **Use compatibility.** Land use policies and regulations should protect preferred shoreline uses from being affected by incompatible uses, in order to prevent existing or potential future water-oriented uses, especially water-dependent uses, from being restricted on shoreline areas because of impacts to nearby non-water-oriented uses. To be consistent, the SMP, Comprehensive Plan, and development regulation should prevent

new uses from locating where they are not compatible with or may restrict preferred uses or development.

C. **Sufficient infrastructure.** Infrastructure and services provided in the Comprehensive Plan should be sufficient to support allowed shoreline uses. Shoreline uses should not be allowed where the Comprehensive Plan does not provide for sufficient roads, utilities, and other services to support them. Infrastructure plans must also be mutually consistent with shoreline environment designations. Where they do exist, utility services routed through shoreline areas shall not be a sole justification for more intense development.

RCW 36.70A.480 governs the relationship between SMPs and development regulations to protect critical areas that are adopted under Chapter 36.70A RCW.

1.09 LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION

As provided for in RCW 90.58.900, the SMP is exempted from the rule of strict construction and it shall be liberally construed to give full effect to the objectives and purposes for which it was enacted.

1.10 SEVERABILITY

As provided for in RCW 90.58.910, should any section or provision of the SMP be declared invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the SMP as a whole.

1.11 TITLE

This document shall be known and may be cited as the *Lewis County Shoreline Master Program* or SMP. This document may refer to itself as *The Master Program*.

1.12 EFFECTIVE DATE

The SMP is hereby adopted on the ____ date of ____, 20__. The SMP and all amendments thereto shall become effective fourteen days from the date of Ecology's written notice of final action to the County.

DRAFT

2 SHORELINE MANAGEMENT GOALS

2.01 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM GOALS

The State SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26-186(3)) require that all relevant policy goals must be addressed in the planning policies of the SMP. This section contains goals that express the long-term vision of the County's citizens for their shorelines. Goals provide the basis for the more detailed SMP shoreline environments, policies, regulations, and administrative procedures in subsequent chapters.

2.02 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GOAL

Goal ED-1. Utilize shoreline resources to improve the standard of living for residents of the County, while assuring that the resources are utilized in a manner consistent with minimizing adverse effects to the shoreline environment.

2.03 PUBLIC ACCESS GOAL

Goal PA-1. Provide a variety of public access opportunities that are safe, compatible with natural features, and widely distributed to avoid concentration of user pressure. Assure that intrusions created by public access will not endanger life, property, public or private property rights, or have detrimental effects on fragile natural features.

2.04 RECREATIONAL GOAL

Goal REC-1. Provide shoreline recreational opportunities that serve the demands of the citizens and visitors to the County.

2.05 CIRCULATION GOAL

Goal CIR-1. Encourage a multi-modal transportation system, which provides efficient and safe movement of people and vehicles with minimum disruption to the shoreline.

DRAFT

2.06 SHORELINE USE GOAL

Goal SU-1. Assure that shoreline development in the County corresponds with the character and physical limitations of the land and water. Promote a viable pattern of land and water use without disrupting environmental quality.

2.07 CONSERVATION GOAL

Goal CONS-1. Encourage sensible management of renewable shoreline resources and the preservation of shoreline resources.

2.08 HISTORIC, CULTURAL, SCIENTIFIC, AND EDUCATIONAL GOAL

Goal HCSE-1. Protect, preserve, and restore areas and sites having historic, cultural, educational, or scientific values.

2.09 FLOOD HAZARD PREVENTION GOAL

Goal FHP-1. Recognize the hydrologic functions of floodplains, and protect frequently flooded areas.

2.10 RESTORATION GOAL

Goal REST-1. Encourage restoration of previously degraded areas so that they may be renewed or restored to an ecologically functional condition.

DRAFT

3 SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATIONS

3.01 SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATION SYSTEM

The SMA's requirements for shoreline environment designations are found in WAC 173-26-211. The County classified and mapped its shoreline jurisdiction into shoreline environment designations based on the four criteria found in the State SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26-211(2)(a)):

A. **Existing land use patterns**. Land uses developed in each of the shoreline areas to date, as documented in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization Report* and the SMP map folio.

B. **Biological and physical character of the shoreline**. The range of ecological characteristics and functions identified for each of the shoreline reaches documented in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization Report*.

C. **The goals and aspirations of the County as expressed through its Comprehensive Plan**. The Comprehensive Plan goals and policies, land use designations, and various elements that apply to the area, including goals and policies that enact regulations and standards

D. **Specific criteria for each shoreline environment designation.** The specific criteria for the aquatic, high-intensity, natural, shoreline residential, and rural conservancy shoreline environment designations are found in WAC 173-26-211(5). The County may establish different shoreline environment designations, provided they are consistent with the purposes and policies of the State SMP Guidelines.

Based on these four criteria, this chapter establishes the shoreline environment designations used in the County. Each shoreline environment is described by a statement of purpose, followed by designation criteria, and management policies specific to that shoreline environment. The locations of the shoreline environments are illustrated in SMP Appendix 1: Shoreline Environment Designation Maps.

DRAFT

3.01.01 AQUATIC

A. Purpose

The purpose of the Aquatic shoreline environment designation is to protect, restore, and manage the unique characteristics and resources of shoreline jurisdiction waterward of the OHWM.

B. Designation Criteria

Assign the Aquatic shoreline environment designation to lands waterward of the OHWM.

C. Management Policies

Development within the Aquatic shoreline environment designation shall be consistent with the following policies:

1. Allow new overwater structures only for water-dependent uses, public access, or ecological restoration.
2. Limit the size of new overwater structures to the minimum necessary to support the structure's intended use.
3. Encourage multiple uses of overwater facilities to reduce the impacts of development and increase effective use of water resources in shoreline jurisdiction.
4. Minimize interference with surface navigation, consider impacts to public views, and allow for the safe, unobstructed passage of fish and wildlife, particularly those species dependent on migration in the location and design of all developments and uses.
5. Design and manage shoreline uses and modifications to prevent degradation of water quality and alteration of natural hydrographic conditions.
6. Prohibit uses that adversely affect the ecological functions of critical freshwater habitats except where necessary to achieve the objectives of RCW 90.58.020, and then only when the impacts are mitigated.
7. Reserve space in shoreline jurisdiction for shoreline preferred uses, while considering upland and in-water uses, water quality, navigation, presence of aquatic vegetation, existing critical habitats, aesthetics, public access, and views.

DRAFT

3.01.02 HIGH INTENSITY

A. Purpose

The purpose of the High Intensity shoreline environment designation is to provide for high intensity water-oriented commercial and transportation uses while protecting existing ecological functions and restoring ecological functions in shoreline jurisdiction that have been degraded.

B. Designation Criteria

Assign the High Intensity shoreline environment designation to areas within shoreline jurisdiction that currently support high intensity uses related to commerce, industry, public facilities, or transportation, or are suitable for high intensity water-oriented uses. Areas of shoreline jurisdiction assigned this designation should have the following characteristics:

1. Can support high-intensity uses without degradation to existing shoreline function;
2. Designated by the Comprehensive Plan and zoning for high intensity, commercial, industry, multifamily, public, or mixed-use development; and
3. Have few biophysical limitations to development such as floodways, floodplains, steep slopes, or landslide hazard areas.

C. Management Policies

Development within the High Intensity shoreline environment designation shall be consistent with the following policies:

1. Prioritize uses on sites with physical access the water in the following order of preference:
   a. Water-dependent
   b. Water-related
   c. Water-enjoyment
2. Allow for non-water-related uses within this designation where water-dependent uses are not feasible, because a lake, river, or stream is unnavigable, or where there is a developed roadway between the OHWM and the proposed use or this designation is used as a parallel designation that is not adjacent to the OHWM.

DRAFT

3. Allow the development of new non-water-oriented uses either as part of a mixed-use development or where the applicant can demonstrate that the use will not conflict with or limit opportunities for water-oriented uses.
4. Design new development located in shoreline jurisdiction to result in no net loss of ecological function.
5. Restore and remediate shoreline areas within new development sites consistent with State and Federal laws.
6. Require visual and physical access where feasible with physical access prioritized over visual access.
7. Require full use of existing urban lands in shoreline jurisdiction before expanding intensive development.

3.01.03 NATURAL

A. Purpose

The purpose of the Natural shoreline environment designation is to protect those shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions. Only low-intensity uses should be allowed in order to maintain the ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes.

B. Designation Criteria

The Natural shoreline environment designation will be assigned to shoreline areas that are:

1. Ecologically intact and currently perform an important function or ecosystem-wide process that would be damaged by human activity;
2. Ecosystems of particular scientific and educational interest; or
3. Unable to support new development or uses without significant adverse impacts to ecological functions or risk to human safety.

Such shoreline areas include largely undisturbed portions of shoreline areas, wetlands, and ecologically intact shoreline habitats that are found in lands under Federal jurisdiction, such as Wilderness Areas.

C. Management Policies

Development within the Natural shoreline environment designation shall be consistent with the following policies:

1. Any use that would substantially degrade the ecological functions or natural character of the shoreline area should be prohibited.
2. The following new uses should not be allowed in the Natural shoreline environment designation:
    a. Commercial uses;
    b. Industrial uses;
    c. Non-water-oriented recreation; and
    d. Roads, utility corridors, and parking areas that can be located outside of the Natural shoreline environment designation.
3. Scientific, historic, cultural, educational research uses, and low-intensity water-oriented recreational uses that do not affect ecological functions may be allowed.
4. Single-family residential development may be allowed as a conditional use within the Natural shoreline environment designation if its density and intensity is limited as necessary to protect ecological functions and be consistent with the purpose of this shoreline environment designation.
5. Forest practices may be allowed as a conditional use in the Natural shoreline environment designation if it meets the conditions and implementing rules of the Washington State Forest Practices Act (FPA) and it is conducted in a manner consistent with the purpose of this shoreline environment designation.
6. Low-intensity agricultural uses may be allowed as a conditional use in the Natural shoreline environment designation when such use does not expand or alter practices in a manner inconsistent with the purpose of the designation.
7. New development or significant vegetation removal that would reduce the capability of vegetation to perform normal ecological functions should be prohibited.
8. Subdivision of property in a configuration that will require significant vegetation removal or shoreline modification that adversely affects ecological functions should be prohibited.

3.01.04 RURAL CONSERVANCY

A. Purpose

The purpose of the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation is to protect ecological functions, conserve existing natural resources and valuable historic and

cultural areas, provide for sustained resource use, achieve natural floodplain processes, and provide recreational opportunities in areas that are outside municipalities or urban growth areas (UGAs). Examples of uses that are appropriate in the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation include low-impact outdoor recreation uses, forest production, agricultural uses, aquaculture, low intensity residential development, and natural resource-based low-intensity uses.

B. Designation Criteria

The Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation is assigned to areas outside of UGAs or incorporated Cities in shoreline jurisdiction that:

1. Support low-intensity resource-based uses, such as agriculture, forest practices, or is designated agricultural or forest lands in accordance with RCW 36.70A.170;
2. Accommodate low-intensity residential development subject to limitations caused by physical features or lack of utilities or access;
3. Support human uses but are subject to environmental limitations, such as properties that include or are adjacent to steep slopes, feeder bluffs, floodplains, channel migration zones (CMZs), or other flood-prone areas;
4. Are of high recreational value;
5. Contain unique historic or cultural resources; or
6. Contain low-intensity water-dependent uses.

C. Management Policies

Development within the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation shall be consistent with the following policies:

1. Uses in the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation should include those that sustain the shoreline area's physical and biological resources and do not substantially degrade ecological functions or the rural or natural character of the shoreline area.
2. Water-dependent and water-enjoyment recreation facilities that do not deplete the resource over time, such as boating and water access facilities, angling, hunting, wildlife viewing trails, and swimming beaches, are preferred uses, provided significant adverse impacts to the shoreline are mitigated.
3. Agriculture, aquaculture, forest practices, and low-intensity residential development when consistent with provisions of the SMP are preferred uses.

DRAFT

4. Low-intensity, water-oriented commercial and industrial uses are limited to areas where those uses have located in the past or at sites that possess conditions and services to support the development.
5. Mining and related uses may be appropriate within the rural conservancy environment when conducted in a manner consistent with the environment policies and the provisions of WAC 173-26-241(3)(h) and when located consistent with mineral resource lands designation criteria in accordance with RCW 36.70A.170 and WAC 365-190-070.
6. Developments and uses that would substantially degrade or permanently deplete the biological resources of the area should not be allowed.
7. Construction of new structural shoreline stabilization and flood control works should be allowed when the documented need exists to protect an existing primary structure or ecological functions. Mitigation may be necessary for such construction. New development should be designed and located to preclude the need for such work. Shoreline stabilization measures shall infringe on private property rights to the minimum extent necessary.
8. Proposed residential development should be designed to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and preserve the existing character of the shoreline.

3.01.05 SHORELINE RESIDENTIAL

A. Purpose

The purpose of the Shoreline Residential shoreline environment designation is to accommodate residential development and accessory structures and uses that are consistent with the SMP. An additional purpose is to provide appropriate public access and recreational development.

B. Designation Criteria

The Shoreline Residential shoreline environment designation is assigned to the shoreline areas that are predominantly residential or are planned and platted for residential development. These areas contain the following characteristics:

1. They contain existing residential development or are proposed primarily for residential development in Comprehensive Plans and zoning codes; and
2. They do not contain significant environmental hazards or sensitive areas.

DRAFT

C. Management Policies

Development within the Shoreline Residential shoreline environment designation shall be consistent with the following policies:

1. Preserve ecological functions by establishing development standards for shoreline height, shoreline buffers, building setbacks, density, impervious surface coverage, shoreline stabilization, critical area protection, and water quality protection to assure no net loss of ecological functions in shoreline jurisdiction.
2. Provide public access and joint use for community recreational facilities, where feasible and applicable for multifamily developments, residential developments containing more than four lots, and recreational developments.
3. Ensure access, utilities, and public services are available and adequate to serve existing needs and or planned future development.
4. Limit commercial development to water-oriented uses.

3.02 INTERPRETATION OF SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATION BOUNDARIES

3.02.01 SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATION MAPS

Shoreline environment designation maps are found in SMP Appendix 1: Shoreline Environment Designation Maps and are based upon the best data available at the time of the update. As shoreline areas change over time, these maps may no longer clearly identify the location and boundaries of the shoreline environment designations. If the need arises to determine the exact boundaries of a shoreline environment designation, the process outlined in SMP Section 3.02.02 below should be used.

3.02.02 DETERMINING SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATION BOUNDARIES

A. If the exact location of a shoreline environment designation boundary line is unclear, the following rules shall apply:

   1. Boundaries that are shown as approximately following lot, tract, or section lines shall be so construed.
   2. Boundaries that are shown as approximately following roads or railroads shall be respectively construed to follow the nearest right-of-way edge.

DRAFT

3. Boundaries that are shown as approximately parallel to or extensions of features described in SMP Section 3.02.02(A)(1) or (2), shall be construed to be parallel to or extensions of features in SMP Section 3.02.02(A)(1) or (2) when determining boundaries.
4. Where boundary line adjustments or other modifications not indicated on the official shoreline maps involve two or more parcels with different shoreline environment designations, a designation of Rural Conservancy shall be assigned as the shoreline environment designation for the subject properties. These designations will remain until the shoreline environment designation can be redesignated through the SMP amendment process found in SMP Section 7.09.

B. In the event of a shoreline environment designation mapping error, the Shoreline Administrator shall utilize the common boundary criteria contained in SMP Section 3.02.02(A) to establish the appropriate shoreline environment designation through the SMP amendment process found in SMP Section 7.09.

C. All shoreline areas waterward of the OHWM shall be designated Aquatic. All shoreline areas landward of the OHWM shall be designated a shoreline environment designation other than Aquatic.

D. Only one shoreline environment designation shall apply to a given shoreland area. In the case of parallel designations, designations shall be divided along an identified linear feature or clearly described boundary.

E. Unmapped portions of shoreline jurisdiction shall be assigned automatically a Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation, until that portion of shoreline jurisdiction can be redesignated through the SMP amendment process found in SMP Section 7.09.

F. As authorized by WAC 173-26-150, the Cities planned for and designated shoreline environments within their adopted UGAs. However, the Cities will not have regulatory authority in these areas until they are annexed, unless there are interlocal agreements in place with the County that establish such authority.

DRAFT

4 GENERAL POLICIES & REGULATIONS

4.01 INTRODUCTION

Building on the general SMP goals found in SMP Chapter 2: Shoreline Management Goals, the following general policies and regulations apply to all developments, uses, or activities in any shoreline environment designation in shoreline jurisdiction. The intent of the general policies and regulations is to protect environmental resources, reduce likelihood of harm to life or property from hazardous conditions, and promote access to shorelines.

Each section below contains a description of its purpose, followed by policies and regulations. Policies are statements of principles that guide and determine present and future decisions. Regulations are rules that govern developments, uses, or activities.

The policies and regulations contained in this chapter are derived from the SMA and the State SMP Guidelines. The policies and regulations supplement other adopted ordinances and rules and they are intended to ensure that no net loss occurs. Where there is discrepancy between regulations, those regulations that provide greater protection to the resource shall apply in accordance with SMP Section 1.07.

4.02 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

The purpose of this section is to prevent destruction or damage to sites containing irreplaceable archeological or historic resources within shoreline jurisdiction. The policies and regulations apply to areas of known or supposed archaeological and historic resources as recorded by the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP), the County, affected tribes, as well as sites that are uncovered during site development.

4.02.01 POLICIES

A. Encourage consultation with professional archaeologists and historians to identify areas containing potentially valuable archaeological or historic resources, and establish procedures for salvaging the resource. Appropriate agencies to consult include, but are not limited to, the Lewis County Historical Society, DAHP, the White Pass Country Historical Society, the Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, the Cowlitz Indian Tribe, the Nisqually Indian Tribe, and the Quinault Indian Nation.

DRAFT

B. Condition shoreline permits to allow for site inspection and evaluation, and ensure proper salvage of archaeological and historic resources in areas known to contain such resources.

C. Preserve archeological or historic sites permanently for scientific study and public observation whenever feasible.

D. Prevent the destruction of or damage to a site that has been inadvertently uncovered and has historic, cultural, scientific, or educational value as identified by the appropriate authorities, including affected tribes and the DAHP.

E. Where development or demolition activity is proposed adjacent to an identified archaeological or historic site, design and operate the proposed development to be compatible with the continued protection of the site.

4.02.02 REGULATIONS

A. Permits issued in areas documented to contain archaeological resources shall require a site inspection or evaluation by a professional archaeologist in coordination with affected tribes and DAHP prior to permit issuance. Failure to complete a site survey shall be considered a violation of the shoreline permit.

B. Where a professional archaeologist has identified an area or site as having significant value, or where an area or site is listed in local, State, or Federal historical registers, the Shoreline Administrator may condition the development approval to preserve the features. Potential conditions may include measures to preserve or retrieve the resources, modify the site development plan to reduce impacts, or mitigate the impacts as authorized through the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), or other County, State, or Federal laws.

C. The applicant shall stop work immediately and contact the County, the DAHP, and affected tribes if any archaeological resources are uncovered during work within shoreline jurisdiction.

4.03 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

This section addresses the requirements for no net loss of ecological functions in shoreline jurisdiction by requiring mitigation for shoreline impacts. These provisions apply throughout shoreline jurisdiction.

DRAFT

4.03.01 POLICIES

A. Avoid or mitigate impacts in shoreline jurisdiction to ensure the standards of no net loss to ecological function are met.

4.03.02 REGULATIONS

A. The environmental impacts of development proposals shall be analyzed and include measures to mitigate environmental impacts not otherwise avoided or mitigated by compliance with the SMP and other applicable regulations.

B. Mitigation measures shall be considered and applied in the following sequence of steps, listed in order of priority:

   1. Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
   2. Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation by using appropriate technology or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
   3. Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;
   4. Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations;
   5. Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and
   6. Monitoring the impact and the compensation projects and taking appropriate corrective measures.

C. In determining appropriate mitigation measures applicable to development in shoreline jurisdiction, lower priority measures should be applied only where higher priority measures are determined to be infeasible or inapplicable.

D. Mitigation shall not be required that exceeds what is necessary to assure the development will result in no net loss of ecological functions in shoreline jurisdiction.

E. When compensatory measures are appropriate pursuant to the mitigation priority sequence above, preferential consideration shall be given to measures that replace the impacted functions directly and in the immediate vicinity of the impact. However, alternative compensatory mitigation measures that have been identified within a watershed plan, and address limiting factors or other critical resource conservation needs in the shoreline jurisdiction may be authorized. Authorization of compensatory

DRAFT

mitigation measures may require appropriate safeguards, terms, or conditions as necessary to ensure no net loss of ecological functions.

4.04 CRITICAL AREAS AND SHORELINE VEGETATION CONSERVATION

This section is intended to protect and restore the ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes performed by critical areas, buffers, and vegetation in shoreline jurisdiction. Within the SMP, buffers for lakes and streams that are shorelines of the state are considered "shoreline buffers" while the buffers for all other critical areas regulated under Lewis County Code (LCC) Chapters 17.35 and 17.35A are called "critical areas buffers." Native vegetation conservation is emphasized within both of the areas. Native vegetation supports many ecological functions or processes in shoreline and critical area buffers, and retaining the vegetation will help the County to meet the SMA requirement of no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

Provisions for shoreline vegetation conservation within this section include regulations regarding natural plant clearing, vegetation restoration, and the control of invasive weeds and non-native species. These provisions apply to any activity, development, or use in shoreline jurisdiction unless otherwise stated, whether or not that activity requires a shoreline permit. Such activities include clearing, grading, grubbing, and trimming of vegetation. Provisions also apply to vegetation protection and enhancement activities, but exclude agricultural activities and activities covered under the FPA, unless otherwise stated.

SMP Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations applies to the management of critical areas in shoreline jurisdiction in the County, including wetlands, critical aquifer recharge areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, and geologically hazardous areas. Exceptions to the applicability of the provisions in Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations within shoreline jurisdiction are outlined in SMP Section 4.04.02(A) below.

4.04.01 POLICIES

A. Ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions through the effective integration of the SMP with existing County critical areas regulations.

B. Include critical areas objectives in the protection and restoration of degraded ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes.

C. Balance the various facets of the SMP in critical area regulations, including public access, water-dependent uses, aesthetic considerations, and the maintenance of shoreline ecological functions.

D. Protect and restore ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes provided by native vegetation along shorelines.

E. Explore opportunities to eliminate non-native vegetation and invasive species and encourage the planting and enhancement of native vegetation within shoreline jurisdiction.

F. Replant cleared and disturbed sites promptly after completion of any clearance or construction with native vegetation in those locations where there was previously native vegetation or with other species in those areas previously vegetated with non-native or ornamental species.

G. Allow the selective pruning of trees for safety and view protection.

H. Conduct removal or modification of aquatic vegetation in a manner that minimizes adverse impacts to native plant communities and wildlife habitats, and appropriately handles and disposes of weed materials and attached sediments.

I. Permit clearing of vegetation associated with dike or levee maintenance as necessary to provide protection from flood hazards.

4.04.02 REGULATIONS

A. Critical Areas Ordinance Adopted and Modified

1. Whether or not a shoreline permit or written statement of exemption is required, the provisions of this section shall apply to all uses, alterations, or developments within shoreline jurisdiction or shoreline buffers. All shoreline uses and activities shall be located, designed, constructed, and managed to protect the ecological functions and ecosystem wide processes provided by critical areas and shoreline vegetation.

2. The Critical Areas Regulations in effect on the ____ day of ____, 20__, which are contained in the Lewis County Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO), LCC Chapters 17.35 and 17.35A, and include LCC 15.35 (Flood Damage Prevention) by reference, are integral and applicable to the SMP, and are hereby adopted by reference. All uses and development occurring within critical areas or their buffers within shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with these regulations except as modified in SMP Section 4.04.02(A)(5) below.

3. LCC Chapter 17.35 is retained as part of the SMP Critical Areas regulations only as it applies to agricultural activities in shoreline jurisdiction. LCC Chapter 17.35 shall remain in effect until regulations addressing agricultural uses in critical areas or a

Voluntary Stewardship Program is adopted by the County, and the SMP is subsequently amended.

4. If there are any conflicts or unclear distinctions between the provisions in LCC Chapters 17.35 and 17.35A, and this section, the requirements most consistent with the SMA shall apply, as determined by the Shoreline Administrator.
5. To ensure consistency with the SMA, exceptions to the applicability of the regulations in LCC Chapters 17.35 and 17.35A in shoreline jurisdiction are listed below:
    a. Where there is a difference in a definition between the CAO and the SMP, the SMP definition shall apply.
    b. Within shoreline jurisdiction, critical area review, approval, notice, and appeal periods/processes shall be integrated with the associated shoreline permit or exemption found in SMP Chapter 7: Shoreline Administration.
    c. In shoreline jurisdiction, the definition of hydric soil in LCC 17.35A.250 does not apply. The definition of hydric soils shall be derived from the language found in the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 7.0. United States Department of Agriculture, NRCS. 2010.
    d. Within shoreline jurisdiction, the reasonable use and variance procedures in LCC 17.35A.530 are not available for relief from critical area standards. Instead, applicants seeking relief from critical area standards shall apply for a shoreline variance under SMP Section 7.04.03.
    e. In shoreline jurisdiction, compliance with critical areas requirements as required by LCC 17.35A.571(2) shall be documented with the issuance of County permits for all uses and development, not just for high intensity uses.
    f. LCC 17.35A.577 – Performance-based critical area standards for major developments does not apply in shoreline jurisdiction.
    g. LCC 17.35A.580 – Wetland Identification. In shoreline jurisdiction, identification of wetlands and delineation of their boundaries shall be done in accordance with the approved Federal wetland delineation manual and applicable regional supplements, as amended.
    h. LCC 17.35A.600 – Allowed activities in wetlands and buffers. In shoreline jurisdiction, the uses outlined in LCC 17.35A.600(1)(a) through (1)(c) and LCC 17.35A.600(1)(e) through 1(g) shall be limited to the outer 25% of Category III

DRAFT

and IV wetland buffers, unless there is no other feasible option. These activities are prohibited in the buffer for Category I wetlands, and prohibited in the buffer for Category II wetlands unless there is no other feasible option. In such cases, activities shall be limited to the outer 25% of the Category II wetland buffer.

i. LCC 17.35A.610 – Wetland Protection. The Washington State Wetland Rating System was updated in 2014. The following clarifications apply in shoreline jurisdiction:

   1) The table in LCC 17.35A.610(1)(c) shall be interpreted with low intensity buffers being those listed in the furthest left column, moderate intensity buffers being those listed in the middle column, and high intensity buffers being those listed in the furthest right column.

   2) The table in LCC 17.35A.610(2) applies to Category I and Category II wetlands.

   3) The provisions for single-family development on parcels of five acres or greater in LCC 17.35A.610(3) does not apply in shoreline jurisdiction. In shoreline jurisdiction, single-family development on parcels of five acres or more in size will be considered moderate intensity uses. The standards in subsections LCC 17.35A.610(1) and (2) shall be used to determine buffers for this land use in shoreline jurisdiction.

j. LCC 17.35A.611(1) – Buffer width reduction with specific mitigation. In shoreline jurisdiction, buffer width reduction is addressed in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(2).

k. LCC 17.35A.612 – Averaging buffer widths. In shoreline jurisdiction, buffer averaging is addressed in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(1).

l. LCC 17.35A.620 – Mitigation. In shoreline jurisdiction, minimum acreage replacement ratios of 1.5:1 as outlined in LCC 17.35A.620(6)(d) are applicable when replacement (creation) is accomplished in conjunction with other mitigation types as outlined in LCC 17.35A.620(2). The Shoreline Administrator may determine that minimum acreage replacement ratios do not apply when mitigation is undertaken pursuant to LCC 17.35A.620(7) and (8).

m. LCC 17.35A.660 – Classification. In shoreline jurisdiction, all waters of the State, including both lakes and streams, are classified in accordance with the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) as provided in WAC 222-16-030 with the revisions in LCC 17.35A.660(1) through (5).

DRAFT

n. LCC 17.35A.680 – Protection. The provisions for single-family development on parcels of five acres or greater adjacent to Type S waters in LCC 17.35.680(2) do not apply in shoreline jurisdiction. In shoreline jurisdiction, the standard buffer width requirements found in SMP Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers based on LCC 17.35A.680(1) shall apply.

o. LCC 17.35A.681 – Averaging buffer width. In shoreline jurisdiction, buffer averaging is addressed in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(1).

p. LCC 17.35A.690 – Mitigating conditions. In shoreline jurisdiction, on-site mitigation activities (LCC 17.35A.690(3)) may include, but are not limited to, planting native vegetation, installing low impact development (LID) facilities such as rain gardens to mitigate stormwater impacts, installing large woody debris, and removing bulkheads or other hard shoreline stabilization structures. Mitigation projects involving instream work such as the installation of large woody debris shall be designed to ensure there are no adverse effects to upstream or downstream properties. Off-site mitigation (LCC 17.35A.690(4)) shall occur in a similar habitat type as the project impact and in a location that will provide the greatest ecological benefit to affected species or habitats and have the greatest likelihood of success.

q. LCC 17.35A.956 – Development standards for channel migration hazard areas. In shoreline jurisdiction, uses and activities that may be authorized in CMZs are listed in SMP Section 4.05.02(H).

r. LCC 15.35.310 – Floodways. Within shoreline jurisdiction, uses and activities that may be authorized within floodways or the SMP flood course are listed in SMP Section 4.05.02(H).

6. The provisions of the County's critical areas regulations do not extend shoreline jurisdiction beyond the limits specified in SMP Section 1.06.01.

B. Shoreline Buffer Tables

1. The required critical area buffers for Type S streams, as established in LCC 17.35A.680 and modified by SMP Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers, shall be considered shoreline buffers.

2. Lakes are subject to the aquatic habitat critical area requirements of LCC 17.35A.651(4). This SMP establishes the minimum habitat buffer widths for lakes in the shoreline jurisdiction in SMP Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers. The minimum habitat buffers for lakes in the shoreline jurisdiction shall be considered shoreline buffers.

DRAFT

3. The buffers for all other critical areas shall be established in accordance with the standards of LCC Chapters 17.35 or 17.35A, except as modified by SMP Section 4.04.02(A).
4. New uses and development that are not water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment, accessory to water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment uses or development, or that do not facilitate public access to waters of the State generally will not be authorized in shoreline buffers. Some uses or developments not meeting the criteria above may be authorized through buffer averaging or through issuance of a shoreline variance.
5. SMP Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers establishes shoreline buffers by shoreline environment designation.
6. Shoreline buffers shall be measured horizontally in a landward direction from the OHWM.
7. "N/A" in SMP Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers means the requirement is not applicable.
8. Subcategories for types of uses or activities include the following terms:
   a. Water-dependent means a use that cannot exist in any other location and is dependent on the water due to the intrinsic nature of its operations, such as a port or sewer outfall.
   b. Water-related means a use that is not intrinsically dependent on a waterfront location but whose economic viability is dependent upon a waterfront location, such as a fish processing plant or a sewer treatment plant.
   c. Water-enjoyment means a recreational use or other use that facilitates public access to the shoreline as a primary characteristic of the use. Examples include public trails, golf courses, parks, etc.
   d. Non-water-oriented means everything else: an auto parts store, etc.
9. The minimum shoreline buffer from the OHWM for a particular use is determined by finding the use and the most appropriate subcategory row and then finding the intersection with the appropriate shoreline environment designation column.
10. Building setbacks of 15 feet are required from the landward edge of the shoreline buffer in accordance with LCC 17.35A.574(4). Building setbacks are used to protect the shoreline buffer from disturbance during construction and from the impacts related to use of a structure. Where no shoreline buffer is required in Table 4-1, no building setback shall be required.

DRAFT

Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers

Standard Shoreline Buffer from the OHWM (1)	High Intensity	Shoreline Residential	Rural Conservancy	Natural
Agriculture (New agricultural activities only)				
High intensity uses as defined in LCC 17.35.245	100 feet	100 feet	100 feet	100 feet
Low intensity uses as defined in LCC 17.35.270	50 feet	50 feet	50 feet	50 feet
Aquaculture				
Water-dependent structures and uses	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet	N/A
Water-related structures and uses	75 feet	75 feet	75 feet	N/A
Non-water-dependent structures and uses	150 feet	150 feet	150 feet	N/A
Boating and Water Access facilities				
Water-dependent structures and uses	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet	N/A
Water-related and water-enjoyment structures and uses	75 feet	75 feet	75 feet	N/A
Non-water-dependent structures and uses	150 feet	150 feet	150 feet	N/A
Commercial Development				
Water-dependent structures and uses	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet	N/A
Water-related and water-enjoyment structures and uses	75 feet	75 feet	75 feet	N/A
Non-water-oriented structures and uses	150 feet	N/A	N/A	N/A
Forest Practices (2)	150 feet	150 feet	150 feet	200 feet
Industrial Development				
Water-dependent structures and uses	0 feet	N/A	0 feet	N/A
Water-related structures and uses	75 feet	N/A	75 feet	N/A
Non-water-oriented structures and uses	150 feet	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mining	150 feet	N/A	150 feet	N/A
Parking	150 feet	150 feet	150 feet	N/A
Recreational Development (3)				

DRAFT

Standard Shoreline Buffer from the OHWM (1)	High Intensity	Shoreline Residential	Rural Conservancy	Natural
Water-dependent structures and uses	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet
Water-related and water-enjoyment structures and uses	75 feet	75 feet	75 feet	100 feet
Non-water-oriented structures and uses	150 feet	150 feet	150 feet	N/A
Residential Development	150 feet	150 feet	150 feet	200 feet
Transportation Facilities				
Bridges for motorized and non-motorized uses	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet
Expansion of roads within existing right-of-way	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
New roads related to permitted shoreline uses	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)
Expansion of roads outside of a right-of-way or relocation of existing roads	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)
Utilities (Primary)				
Water-dependent structures	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet	0 feet
Water-related structures	75 feet	75 feet	75 feet	75 feet
Non-water-oriented structures	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)

Notes:

(1) Reductions in the shoreline buffer from the OHWM may be authorized according to the standards in SMP Section 4.04.02(C) below.

(2) Where the FPA applies, the stricter of the SMP or FPA buffer shall be used. For Shorelines of Statewide Significance see SMP Section 5.09.02(C).

(3) Passive, water-oriented recreational uses are allowed within shoreline buffers; provided, the use does not include the construction of structures. Wildlife viewing structures and permeable trails or raised boardwalks may be allowed on a limited basis within riparian and wetland buffers in accordance with the mitigation sequence and critical area regulations above.

(4) Only allowed within existing right-of-way.

DRAFT

(5) Only allowed within shoreline jurisdiction when no other option for the location of the facility exists in accordance with SMP Section 5.16.03.

(6) Only allowed within shoreline jurisdiction when no other option for the location of the facility exists in accordance with SMP Section 5.17.03.

C. Buffer Width Reduction Options

Shoreline and critical area buffers, with the exception of geologically hazardous areas buffers, may be reduced using the following procedures. Only one buffer width reduction option below may be selected per development:

1. Buffer Averaging

   The width of a buffer may be averaged following the requirements of LCC 17.35A.612 and LCC 17.35A.681, thereby reducing the width of a portion of the buffer and increasing the width of another portion of the buffer. The table referenced in LCC 17.35A.612(3)(b) is not applicable in shoreline jurisdiction.

2. Buffer Width Reduction

   Reductions of certain buffers may be approved administratively if buffer averaging in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(1), common line buffer in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(3), or interrupted buffer provisions in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(4) are infeasible. The buffer width reduction shall:

   a. Shall apply solely to a decrease in the buffer widths from the requirements for high intensity uses (see LCC 17.35A.605(3)) to the requirements for moderate intensity uses.

   b. Shall include appropriate measures to reduce potential impacts from LCC 17.35A.611(2).

   c. Shall be designed to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions occurs when the reduction is utilized.

3. Common Line Buffer (Applicable to Shoreline Buffers Only)

   To accommodate adequate shoreline views comparable to adjacent existing residences, the Shoreline Administrator may reduce the shoreline buffer for a new single-family residence consistent with the following criteria:

   a. The proposed residence must be located within 300 feet of an adjacent legally established single-family residential primary structure that encroaches on the

DRAFT

shoreline buffer. Accessory structures such as sheds or garages shall not be used to determine a common line buffer.

b. For the purpose of this standard, the nearest corners of the adjacent residences are those closest to the side-yard property line of the proposed residence.

c. Existing Residences on Both Sides: Where there are existing residences adjacent on both sides of the proposed residence, the buffer shall be determined as the greater of either:

   1) A common line drawn between the nearest corners of each adjacent residence, or

   2) A common line calculated by the average of both adjacent residences' existing setbacks from the OHWM.

d. Existing Residence on One Side: Where there is only one existing residence adjacent to the proposed residence, the common line buffer shall be determined as the greater of either:

   1) A common line drawn between nearest corner of the foundation for the adjacent residence and the nearest point of the standard buffer on the adjacent vacant lot; or

   2) A common line calculated by the average of the adjacent residence's setback from the OHWM and the standard buffer for the adjacent vacant lot.





4. Interrupted Buffer Provisions

   a. The Shoreline Administrator may allow a reduced buffer where a legally established substantial improvement such as a road, railroad, or structure serves to eliminate or greatly reduce the impact of a proposed activity upon a wetland or shoreline buffer.

   b. Where such a substantial improvement exists, the buffer may be reduced to the waterward edge of the existing substantial improvement.

   c. If a project has the potential to impact the functions of a shoreline or wetland, or its buffer, even though such an improvement exists, the Shoreline Administrator shall require the applicant to submit a critical area report to ensure that no-net loss of shoreline ecological functions will occur.

   d. As used within this section only, substantial improvements shall include developed public infrastructure such as roads and railroads, and private improvements such as homes or commercial structures. Substantial improvements shall not include paved trails, sidewalks, private driveways, parking areas, or accessory buildings that do not require a building permit.

DRAFT



D. General Buffer Regulations

1. <u>Shoreline Buffers</u>

   The following new uses and activities are allowed within shoreline buffers without a shoreline variance, when located, constructed, and maintained in a manner that minimizes adverse impacts on shoreline ecological functions, and when otherwise in compliance with this SMP:

   a. Uses and activities authorized to locate in shoreline buffers in SMP Chapter 5: Specific Shoreline Use Policies and Regulations, SMP Chapter 6: Shoreline Modification Policies and Regulations, and LCC 17.35A.670.

   b. Accessory Uses. Uses and development accessory to water-dependent uses shall be located outside the shoreline buffer unless at least one of the following criteria is met:

      1) A location in the shoreline buffer is necessary for operation of the primary water-dependent use or development, such as a road to a boat launch facility; or

      2) The accessory use is on legally established public lands and is primarily related to access, enjoyment, and use of the water; and the use does not conflict with or limit opportunities for other water-oriented uses.

c. Essential Public Facilities. Essential public facilities, as defined by RCW 36.70A.200, may be located and expanded in the shoreline buffer if the use cannot be reasonably accommodated or accomplished outside of the standard or reduced shoreline buffer.

   1) Essential public facilities must demonstrate that alternative sites are not available.

   2) These uses must be designed and located to minimize intrusion into the shoreline buffer and shall be consistent with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 and applicable critical area regulations.

d. Water-oriented education, scientific research, and passive recreational uses. These uses may include, but are not limited to fishing, bird watching, hiking, hunting, boating, horseback riding, skiing, swimming, canoeing, and bicycling. Such uses are allowed within shoreline buffers provided the use does not include construction. Wildlife viewing structures and permeable trails or raised boardwalks may be allowed on a limited basis within riparian and wetland buffers in accordance with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 and applicable critical area regulations.

e. Site investigative work necessary for land use application submittals such as surveys, soil logs, drainage tests, and other related work, including monitoring of restoration or mitigation sites. In every case, shoreline buffer impacts should be avoided or minimized and disturbed areas shall be immediately restored.

2. Critical Areas Buffers

   The uses and activities allowed within critical areas buffers in LCC Chapter 17.35A, including the uses permitted in wetland buffers per LCC 17.35A.600 and buffers for shorelines that are not shorelines of the state per LCC 17.35A.670, may be allowed without a shoreline variance, when located, constructed, and maintained in a manner that minimizes adverse impacts on shoreline ecological functions, and in compliance with the SMP.

E. Vegetation Conservation Standards

1. Shoreline buffers protect the ecological functions of the shoreline, help to reduce the impacts of land uses on the water body or aquatic resource, and provide a transition between aquatic and upland areas.

2. Authorized uses shall be designed to avoid removing existing native vegetation to the maximum extent feasible within shoreline and critical areas buffers consistent

with safe construction practices, and other provisions of this section. Any impacts to existing native vegetation must follow the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 above and comply with any applicable critical area regulations, as modified in SMP Section 4.04.02(A) above.

3. Removal of vegetation within shoreline and critical areas buffers shall require a critical area report and/or a mitigation plan in coordination with the requirements of the applicable critical areas regulations. The Shoreline Administrator may require a critical area report for CAO-exempt activities if necessary to document compliance with the provisions in the SMP.
4. Removal of native vegetation from shoreline buffers must be compensated at a minimum 1:1 ratio, which the Shoreline Administrator may increase if necessary to assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Increases may be necessary to compensate for temporal losses, uncertainty of performance, and differences in ecological functions and values.
5. Mitigation ratios shall be based on a scientifically valid measure of habitat function, value, and area. Critical area reports shall include a description of how the proposal complies with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 and how mitigation areas will be monitored and maintained to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
6. Vegetation conservation standards shall not apply retroactively to existing, legally established uses and developments. Existing, lawfully established landscaping and gardens within shoreline buffers may be maintained in their existing condition. In the context of this regulation, maintenance includes, but is not limited to, mowing lawns, weeding, removal of noxious and invasive species, harvesting and replanting of garden crops, pruning, and replacement planting of ornamental vegetation or indigenous native species to maintain the condition and appearance of such areas.
7. Clearing of invasive, noxious non-native vegetation in shoreline buffers is allowed by hand labor or with light equipment. Removal of noxious weeds as listed by the State in Chapter 16-750 WAC is allowed in a manner consistent with State Noxious Weed Control Board regulations. Native vegetation shall be promptly reestablished in the disturbed area.
8. In shoreline buffers, pruning shall comply with the National Arborist Association pruning standards, unless the tree is a hazard tree as defined in LCC 17.35A.238. Trees that are felled in shoreline buffers should be left in place.

DRAFT

9. In those instances where the management of vegetation required by this Section conflicts with provisions in State, Federal or other flood hazard agency documents that govern licensed or certified flood hazard reduction measures, the requirements of the SMP will not apply. The applicant shall submit documentation of conflicting provisions with a shoreline permit application and shall comply with all other provisions of the SMP that are not strictly prohibited by certifying or licensing agencies.

F. Revegetation

1. Surfaces that are cleared of vegetation in shoreline or critical area buffers, aside from normal maintenance described in SMP Section 4.04.02(E)(6), and are not developed must be replanted within one year. Replanted areas shall be planned and maintained such that within three years the vegetation cover is at least 90% reestablished.
2. Vegetation shall be planted in similar quantities and species to what existed previously on the site to achieve no net loss of ecological function. Disturbed ornamental landscapes, including grass, may be replaced with similar species, unless mitigation is necessary to address project impacts.
3. Native plants are preferred for all revegetation. Non-native species on the County's list of invasive species shall not be allowed.

G. Aquatic Vegetation Control

1. Aquatic vegetation control shall only occur when native plant communities and associated habitats are threatened or where an existing water-dependent use is restricted by the presence of weeds. Aquatic vegetation control shall occur in compliance with all other applicable laws and standards, including WDFW requirements such as the Aquatic Plants and Fish Pamphlet, which serves as the Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) for some types of aquatic weed or plant control and removal.
2. The application of herbicides or pesticides in lakes, canals, wetlands, or ditches requires a permit from Ecology and may require preparation of a SEPA checklist for review by other agencies. The applicator must have a pesticide applicator license from the Washington State Department of Agriculture.

DRAFT

4.05 FLOOD HAZARD MANAGEMENT

This section applies to actions taken to reduce flood hazards in shoreline jurisdiction as well as uses, development, and shoreline modifications that may increase flood hazards.

Measures to reduce flood hazards may consist of:

1) Nonstructural measures, such as shoreline buffers, land use controls, wetland restoration, dike removal, use relocation, biotechnical measures, and stormwater management programs; and
2) Structural measures, such as dikes, levees, revetments, floodwalls, dams, channel realignment, and elevation of structures consistent with the National Flood Insurance Program.

The County currently implements flood hazard management through:

- The Lewis County Comprehensive Plan;
- The Lewis County CAO;
- The latest edition of the Stormwater Management Manual as prepared by Ecology;
- The Lewis County Comprehensive Flood Hazard Management Plan;
- The Lewis County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan;
- Chehalis River Basin Comprehensive Flood Hazard Management Plan; and
- Watershed Management Plans.
- CMZ studies including the *Geomorphic Evaluation and Channel Migration Zone Analysis Addendum Cowlitz River, near Packwood and Randle, Lewis County, Washington*.

Standards for shoreline stabilization measures are addressed in SMP Chapter 6: Shoreline Modification Policies and Regulations.

4.05.01 POLICIES

A. Assure flood hazard protection measures do not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

B. Facilitate returning river and stream conditions to more natural hydrological conditions where feasible and appropriate.

C. Achieve flood hazard management through a coordinated and integrated approach of plans, regulations, and programs.

D. Prefer nonstructural flood hazard management measures to structural measures where feasible. New structural flood hazard reduction measures should only be allowed when demonstrated to be necessary, nonstructural methods are insufficient, and mitigation is accomplished.

E. Limit development and shoreline modifications that interfere with the natural process of channel migration within the CMZ.

F. Require new publicly funded dike or levee projects to dedicate and improve public access, subject to the exceptions in SMP Section 4.06.

4.05.02 REGULATIONS

A. All proposed flood hazard management measures shall comply with the County's Comprehensive Flood Hazard Management Plan.

B. Development in floodplains shall not increase flood hazards.

C. No development is allowed within the SMP flood course or floodway in shoreline jurisdiction, unless a hydraulics and hydrology study shows that it is:

   1. Not in a SMP flood course or floodway; or

   2. Will not impact the pre-project base flood elevations, floodway elevations, or floodway data widths.

D. Within the CMZ, SMP flood course or floodway, new development or uses, including subdivision of land, shall not be established when it would be reasonably foreseeable that the development or use would require new structural flood hazard reduction measures.

E. New structural flood hazard management measures may be permitted if consistent with applicable provisions on SMP Chapter 6: Shoreline Modification Policies & Regulations.

F. New publicly-funded structural flood hazard management measures, including dikes and levees, shall dedicate and improve public access except in those instances as listed in SMP Section 4.06.02(B).

G. Removal of gravel for flood management purposes shall be permitted only after a biological and geomorphological study demonstrates that the extraction:

   1. Provides a long-term benefit to flood hazard management;

   2. Does not result in a net loss of ecological functions; and

   3. It is part of a comprehensive flood management solution.

H. New development within floodways, the SMP flood course, and the CMZ shall not interfere with the process of channel migration or cause a net loss of ecological functions. If existing CMZ studies are not available for an area of known channel migration, a site analysis may be required to ensure that development does not interfere with the process of channel migration. Areas of known channel migration are shown in the SMP Map Folio Figure 28 in the Shoreline Inventory and Characterization.

I. Development in the CMZ, SMP flood course, and floodways, is limited to:

1. Actions that protect or restore ecosystem-wide processes or ecological functions;
2. Forest practices in compliance with the FPA;
3. Existing and ongoing agricultural practices, provided no new restrictions to channel movement occur;
4. Mining uses conducted consistent with the shoreline environment designation and the provisions of WAC 173-26-241(3)(h);
5. Bridges, utility lines, and other public utility and transportation structures where no other feasible alternative exists or the alternative would result in an unreasonable and disproportionate cost;
6. Repair and maintenance of an existing legal use, provided that the repair and maintenance does not cause significant ecological impacts or increase flood hazards to other uses;
7. Modifications or additions to an existing nonagricultural legal use, provided that channel migration is not further limited and that the new development includes appropriate protection of ecological functions;
8. Development in UGAs, as defined in Chapter 36.70A RCW, where existing structures prevent active channel movement and flooding; or
9. Measures to reduce shoreline erosion, if it is demonstrated that the erosion rate exceeds that which would normally occur in a natural condition, the measure does not interfere with fluvial hydrological and geomorphological processes normally acting in natural conditions, and the measure includes appropriate mitigation of impacts to ecological functions associated with the river or stream.

DRAFT

4.06 PUBLIC ACCESS

This section applies to shoreline public access. As provided in WAC 173-26-221(4), public access to the Shorelines of the State is the ability of the public "…to reach, touch, and enjoy the water's edge, to travel on the waters of the State, and to view the water and the shoreline from adjacent locations." Allowing for appropriate public access to Shorelines of the State is a key component of the SMA.

4.06.01 POLICIES

A. Protect and enhance the public's visual and physical access to Shorelines of the State to the greatest extent feasible.

B. Increase the amount and diversity of public access opportunities to shorelines where appropriate and consistent with adopted parks and recreation plans, the natural shoreline character, private property rights, public safety, and public rights under the Public Trust Doctrine.[2]

C. Design public access to minimize potential impacts to private property.

D. Ensure public access opportunities do not include the right to enter or cross private property, except where dedicated public rights-of-way or easements are established or where a development is specifically designed to accommodate public access.

E. Maintain, enhance, and increase public access in accordance with the following priorities unless found infeasible:

   1. Maintain existing public access sites and facilities, rights-of-way, and easements.
   2. Enhance public access opportunities on existing public lands and easements.
   3. Acquire property or easements to add opportunities for public access to shorelines. Tailor acquisitions to implement adopted plans or to protect areas that hold unique value for public enjoyment.
   4. Encourage public access to shorelines as part of shoreline development.

[2] The "public trust doctrine" is a common law principle holding that "the waters of the State are a public resource owned by and available to all citizens equally for the purposes of navigation, conducting commerce, fishing, recreation, and similar uses." While the doctrine "protect(s) public use of navigable waterbodies below the OHWM," the doctrine "does not allow the public to trespass over privately owned uplands to access the tidelands." See:http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sma/laws_rules/public_trust.html.

F. Ensure shoreline development plans by public entities, including the County, port districts, State agencies, and public utility districts, include public access measures unless it is unsafe, unsecure, or negatively affects the shoreline environment.

G. Ensure that development minimizes interference with the public's visual access to the water through standards for design, construction, and operation. Provisions such as maximum shoreline height limits, shoreline buffers, and building setbacks should each be considered to preserve and/or enhance views from private or public property.

4.06.02 REGULATIONS

A. Shoreline public access shall be required for the following shoreline developments and uses:

1. Shoreline recreation in accordance with SMP Section 5.13;
2. New structural public flood hazard reduction measures, such as dikes and levees;
3. Shoreline development by public entities, including the County, port districts, State agencies, and public utility districts;
4. New marinas when water-enjoyment uses are associated with the marina; and
5. All other development and use types that are required to incorporate shoreline public access as identified in the SMP, or other State or Federal requirements.

B. Shoreline public access is not required when any of the following conditions are present:

1. The subdivision of land into four or fewer parcels;
2. A development consisting of a building containing four or fewer dwelling units;
3. Unavoidable health or safety hazards to the public exist that cannot be prevented by any feasible means;
4. Inherent security requirements of the use cannot be satisfied through the application of alternative design features or other solutions;
5. Significant environmental impacts will result from the public access that cannot be mitigated;
6. Significant undue and unavoidable conflict between any access provisions and the proposed or adjacent uses would occur and cannot be mitigated;
7. The cost of providing the access, easement, or amenity is unreasonably disproportionate to the total long-term cost of the proposed development;
8. Legal limitations preclude public access;

DRAFT

9. The subject site is separated from the shoreline waterbody by intervening public or private improvements such as roads, railroads, existing structures, and/or other similar improvements, and public access is not desirable or feasible; or
10. Adequate public access already exists along the subject shoreline and there are no gaps or enhancements that need to be addressed;

C. In addressing SMP Section 4.06.02(B) above, the applicant must demonstrate that all feasible alternatives to allow public access have been exhausted, including:
   1. Regulating access by such means as limiting hours of use to daylight hours;
   2. Separating uses by such means as fences, terracing, landscaping, signage, etc.;
   3. Providing access that is physically separated from the proposal, such as a nearby street end, an offsite viewpoint, or a trail system; or
   4. Where physical access is not feasible, visual access shall be provided instead.

D. A determination that no physical or visual public access is feasible must be supported by the Shoreline Administrator within the findings in the underlying permit.

E. Public access shall be designed to achieve no net loss of ecological functions. Where impacts are identified, mitigation shall be required.

F. Physical public access shall be designed to connect to existing public rights-of-way or existing or future public access points on adjacent or abutting properties. Appropriate design and safety standards should be utilized in the design of the access.

G. Public access facilities shall be compatible with adjacent private properties using vegetative buffering or other techniques to define the separation between public and private space.

H. Where there is an irreconcilable conflict between water-dependent shoreline uses, physical public access, and maintenance of views from adjacent properties, water-dependent uses and physical public access shall have priority, unless there is a compelling reason to the contrary.

I. Public access easements or tracts and relevant permit conditions shall be recorded as a separate document or on the face of a plat or short plat. Recording with the Lewis County Auditor shall occur at the time of permit approval.

J. The applicant shall construct, install, and maintain approved signs that indicate the public's right to access the shoreline and the hours of operation for the shoreline access. These signs shall be placed in conspicuous locations at public access sites. Where public

DRAFT

access is prohibited, property owners may install signs subject to size and location restrictions found in SMP Section 5.15 that indicate that no public access is permitted.

K. Required public access sites must be fully developed and available for public use at the time of occupancy or use of the development.

L. The County may not vacate any road, street, or alley abutting a body of water except as provided under RCW 35.79.035.

4.07 WATER QUALITY

Prevent impacts to water quality and stormwater quantity that would result in a loss of ecological functions, a significant impact to aesthetic qualities, or recreational opportunities.

4.07.01 POLICIES

A. Protect shoreline jurisdiction by ensuring that surface water quality and quantity regulations are administered by the County.

B. Prevent impacts to water quality and stormwater quantity that would result in net loss of shoreline ecological function, significant impacts to aesthetic qualities, or recreational opportunities.

4.07.02 REGULATIONS

A. All development in shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with the appropriate requirements of the SMP and the latest edition of the Stormwater Management Manual as prepared by Ecology.

B. Septic systems should be located as far landward of the OHWM and flood course as feasible.

C. Uses in Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas shall meet the applicable requirements in LCC 17.35 or 17.35A.

D. Potentially harmful materials, including but not limited to oil, chemicals, tires, or hazardous materials, shall not be allowed to enter any body of water or wetland, or be discharged onto the land in shoreline jurisdiction. Potentially harmful materials should be stored outside of shoreline jurisdiction if feasible, and shall be maintained in safe and leak-proof containers.

E. Herbicides, fungicides, fertilizers, and pesticides shall not be applied within 25 feet of a water body, except by a qualified professional in accordance with State and Federal

laws. Further, pesticides subject to the final ruling in *Washington Toxics Coalition, et al., v. EPA* shall not be applied within 60 feet for ground applications or within 300 feet for aerial applications of the subject water bodies and shall be applied by a qualified professional in accordance with State and Federal law.

DRAFT

5 SPECIFIC SHORELINE USE POLICIES & REGULATIONS

5.01 INTRODUCTION

Building on the general SMP goals found in SMP Chapter 2: Shoreline Management Goals, this chapter contains specific shoreline use policies and regulations that apply to specific uses or development in any shoreline environment designation. Each section includes policies and regulations. Policies are statements of principles that guide and determine present and future decisions. Regulations are rules that govern developments, uses, or activities.

5.02 GENERAL SHORELINE USE

These policies and regulations apply to all developments and uses within shoreline jurisdiction, whether or not shoreline permits, or written letters of exemption are required.

5.02.01 POLICIES

A. Shorelines are a limited ecological and economic resource. Apply the following priorities in the order presented below when determining allowable uses or resolving use conflicts in shoreline jurisdiction:

1. Reserve appropriate areas for protecting and restoring ecological functions to control pollution and prevent damage to the natural environment and public health;
2. Reserve shoreline areas for water-dependent and associated water-related uses. Mixed-use developments that include water-dependent uses may be allowed when specific conditions are met;
3. Reserve shoreline areas for other water-related and water-enjoyment uses that are compatible with ecological protection and restoration objectives;
4. Locate single-family residential uses where they are appropriate and can be developed without significant impact to ecological functions or displacement of water-dependent uses; and
5. Limit non-water-oriented uses to those locations where the uses described above are inappropriate or where non-water-oriented uses demonstrably contribute to the objectives of the SMA.

B. Where feasible locate accessory structures or uses, such as parking, service buildings or areas, access roads, utilities, signs, and storage, landward of required shoreline buffers and water-oriented developments or other approved uses.

C. Locate, design, and manage uses and development to minimize impacts through bulk and dimensional regulations, shoreline buffers, and other measures to:

   1. Ensure that the development will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions; and

   2. Support the long-term beneficial use of the shoreline, and protect and maintain shoreline ecological functions and processes.

D. Develop regulations for shoreline buffers consistent with protecting existing ecological functions, accommodating water-oriented and preferred uses, recognizing existing development patterns, and minimizing the creation of non-conforming uses and developments.

E. Do not permit uses where they would result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions, adversely affect the quality or extent of habitat for native species, adversely affect other habitat conservation areas, or interfere with navigation or other water-dependent uses.

F. Avoid adverse impacts to the shoreline or, if that is not feasible, minimize to the extent feasible and mitigate unavoidable impacts.

5.02.02 REGULATIONS

These regulations apply to all developments and uses within shoreline jurisdiction, whether or not a shoreline permit or written letter of exemption is required.

A. Use and development standards shall not apply retroactively to existing, legally established structures, or uses and developments in place at the time of the adoption of the SMP update. Existing structures, uses and developments, including residential appurtenances, may be maintained, repaired, and operated within shoreline jurisdiction and the shoreline buffers established in the SMP.

B. Development shall comply with the most restrictive bulk and dimensional requirements in LCC Title 17 or SMP Section 5.04.

C. Accessory uses, such as parking, stormwater management facilities, and utilities shall be located outside of the shoreline buffer and associated building setback unless authorized in SMP Section 4.04.02(D)(1)(b).

D. Shoreline uses and developments shall be designed to complement the setting of the property and minimize glare. Shoreline applicants shall demonstrate efforts to minimize potential impacts to the extent feasible.

5.03 ALLOWED SHORELINE USES

A. SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses in this section establish the uses and development allowed within the shoreline environment designations for each jurisdiction. Where there is a conflict between the table and the written provisions in the SMP, the written provisions shall apply.

B. Authorized uses and development are subject to the policies and regulations of the SMP and are only allowed in shoreline jurisdiction where allowed by the underlying zoning.

C. Uses and development identified as "Permitted" require either a shoreline substantial development permit in accordance with SMP Section 7.04.01 or an exemption from the requirement to obtain such a permit in accordance with SMP Section 7.04.04. If any part of a proposed development is not eligible for an exemption, then a shoreline substantial development permit is required for the entire proposed development.

D. Uses identified as "Conditional" require a shoreline conditional use permit pursuant SMP Section 7.04.02. Any use not listed in SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses shall require a shoreline conditional use permit.

E. Uses identified as "Prohibited" are not allowed in shoreline jurisdiction.

F. Accessory uses and structures shall be subject to the same shoreline permit process and SMP provisions as their primary use. An accessory use shall not be established prior to the establishment of its primary use.

Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses

Shoreline Uses (1)	**High Intensity**	**Shoreline Residential**	**Rural Conservancy**	**Natural**	**Aquatic (2)**
Key: P = Permitted Use, C = Conditional Use, X = Prohibited					
Agriculture (New agricultural activities only) (3)(4)	P	P	P	C	X

Shoreline Uses (1)	High Intensity	Shoreline Residential	Rural Conservancy	Natural	Aquatic (2)
Aquaculture	C	C	C	X	C
Boating and Water Access Facilities					
Boat Ramps and Launches	P	P	P	X	See adjacent upland shoreline environment designation
Boat Launching Rails	P	P	P	X	
Boat Lifts and Canopies	P	P	P	X	
Moorage Covers (Open Sides, Structural Roof)	C	C	C	X	
Mooring Buoys	P	P	P	X	
Private Single / Joint-Use Docks and Piers	P	P	P	X	
Public Piers / Docks / Marinas	P	P	C	X	
Recreational Floats	P	P	P	X	
Commercial Development (5)	P	C (6)	C (6)	X	X
Forest Practices	P	P	P	C	X
Industrial Development (7)	P	X	C (8)	X	X
Mining	P	X	C	X	X
Parking (9)	P	P	P	X	X
Recreational Development (10)					
Water-oriented	P	P	P	C (11)	P (12)
Non-water-oriented	P	P	P	X	X
Trails	P	P	P	C	X
Residential Development (13)	P	P	P	C	X
Signs	P	P	P	X	X
Transportation Facilities					
Bridges for motorized and non-motorized uses	C	C	C	C	C
Expansion of roads within existing right-of-way	P	P	P	P	X
New roads related to permitted shoreline uses	P	P	P	C	X
Expansion of roads outside of a right-of-way or movement of existing roads	C	C	C	X	X
Utilities (Primary)					
Solid waste disposal or transfer sites	X	X	X	X	X
Other	C	C	C	C	C

DRAFT

Notes:

(1) Any use that would substantially degrade ecological functions in shoreline jurisdiction should not be allowed. In addition, development shall be subject to the underlying zoning.

(2) Where a use would be located both upland and overwater, the more restrictive standards apply.

(3) Includes agricultural commercial uses such as roadside stands, on-farm markets, pumpkin patches, and Christmas tree farms. New agricultural activities in the Natural shoreline environment designation are limited to very low intensity uses.

(4) Upland finfish facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction require a shoreline conditional use permit.

(5) New non-water-oriented commercial development is subject to meeting the criteria in SMP Section 5.08.02(B).

(6) Commercial uses in the Shoreline Residential and Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designations are limited to low intensity water-oriented uses.

(7) New non-water-oriented industrial development is subject to meeting the criteria in SMP Section 5.10.02(A).

(8) Industrial uses in the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation are limited to low intensity, water-oriented uses.

(9) Parking may be allowed as an accessory use to an approved use. Off-street parking lots or parking structures are prohibited as a primary use in all shoreline environment designations.

(10) Concession stands, gift shops, and interpretive centers are permitted as accessory uses when limited to the minimize size necessary for the use and serving a related, permitted recreational use in the Shoreline Residential or Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designations.

(11) Water-oriented recreational development uses in the Natural shoreline environment designation are limited to low intensity uses.

(12) Only water-dependent uses are permitted in the Aquatic shoreline environment designation.

(13) Home occupations, as established by LCC 17.145.120: Home Occupations are incidental and accessory to a residential use. Use the 'Residential' use category to

determine whether they are allowed in a particular shoreline environment designation.

5.04 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

5.04.01 DENSITY AND LOT COVERAGE

A. Density and maximum lot coverage of residential uses allowed in the shoreline jurisdiction shall be in accordance with the underlying zoning requirements of the LCC.

5.04.02 SHORELINE HEIGHT

A. To limit the obstruction of views from public property or residences, the SMP Table 5-2: Shoreline Height Regulations sets the maximum height for new or expanded buildings or structures above average grade level in shoreline jurisdiction.

B. The following structures are exempt from the shoreline height standard requirements: dams, shipping cranes or other freight moving equipment, power or light poles, bridges, chimneys, tanks, towers, cupolas, steeples, flagpoles, smokestacks, silos, elevators, fire or parapet walls, open railings, and/or similar necessary building appurtenances. These structures may exceed the shoreline height limit provided all other requirements of the County are met and no usable floor space above the shoreline height limit is added.

C. Development within the various shoreline environment designations may be increased to the maximum allowed height in LCC 17.145.030 through a shoreline variance that meets the criteria in SMP Section 7.04.03 provided:

1. The increase does not substantially block views from adjacent residential properties;
2. Greater height is demonstrated to be needed for an essential element of an allowed use;
3. The project includes compensating elements that substantially enhance the visual and physical public access to the shoreline, if required; and
4. It is demonstrated that No Net Loss of shoreline ecological function will be achieved.

DRAFT

Table 5-2: Shoreline Height Regulations

Height Standard	High Intensity	Shoreline Residential	Rural Conservancy	Natural	Aquatic
Maximum Shoreline Height (1)	35 feet	35 feet	35 feet	35 feet	20 feet

Notes:

(1) Maximum shoreline height may be increased to the maximum height limit specified in LCC 17.145.030 or otherwise designated in LCC Title 17 subject to approval of a view corridor analysis under SMP Section 5.04.02(D) and demonstration that the proposal meets the shoreline variance criteria in SMP Section 7.04.03.

D. View Corridor Review Process

1. Applicants for new or expanded buildings or structures exceeding 35 feet in height above average grade level shall address impacts to views from substantial numbers of residences and public areas as follows:

   a. Site design shall provide for view corridors between buildings using building separation, building setbacks, upper story setbacks, pitched roofs, and other mitigation.

   b. To determine appropriate view corridor location, the Shoreline Administrator shall review shoreline public access plans, location of State- or Federal-designated scenic highways, government-prepared view studies, SEPA documents, or applicant-prepared studies.

   c. The maximum width of a view corridor shall not exceed 25% of the lot width.

2. The following view analysis standards and procedures apply to the view corridor review process:

   a. The applicant shall prepare a view analysis conducted consistent with the application requirements in SMP Section 7.02.03. The view analysis shall address:

DRAFT

1) The cumulative view obstruction created by the proposed development combined with other developments that exceed 35 feet in height within a 1,000-foot radius of the proposed development;

2) Available view corridors; and

3) Surface water views lost, compromised, or retained.

b. For phased developments, the view analysis shall be prepared in the first phase and include all proposed buildings.

c. Applicants proposing building or structure heights above 35 feet in the High Intensity shoreline environment designation that are consistent with the SMP and underlying zoning allowances, may be approved as part of a shoreline variance if the following criteria are met:

1) The building or structure will not affect a substantial number of residences. The applicant shall review residences in the area adjoining the project area.

2) The development will not cause an obstruction of view from public properties or substantial number of residences. The applicant shall demonstrate through photographs, videos, photo-based simulations, or computer-generated simulations that the proposed development will obstruct less than 30% of the view of the shoreline enjoyed by a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining such shorelines.

5.05 AGRICULTURE

For purposes of the SMP, refer to the definitions of agricultural activities, agricultural products, agricultural equipment and facilities and agricultural land found in WAC 173-26-020(3).

5.05.01 POLICIES

A. Permit all agricultural activities existing as of the effective date of the updated SMP to continue.

B. Locate and design new agricultural activities on land not currently used for agricultural activity to assure no net loss of ecological functions and not to have a significant adverse impact on other resources and values in shoreline jurisdiction.

C. Implement best management practices (BMPs) to protect the shoreline and aquatic environments from bank failure, erosion, siltation, and surface runoff, consistent with critical area regulations.

D. Develop Voluntary Stewardship Programs to regulate new agricultural activities in critical areas.

E. Maintain vegetative cover in areas subject to frequent flooding.

F. Prohibit the storage of toxic or hazardous chemicals used for agricultural practices in shoreline areas subject to flooding.

G. Permit upland finfish facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction as a shoreline conditional use to allow the County and Ecology to review proposals on a case-by-case basis using the most current and best information.

5.05.02 REGULATIONS

A. Applicability

The SMA permits specific agricultural activities to be excepted from regulation under the SMP. Other agricultural practices qualify for a more limited exemption from the requirement to obtain a shoreline substantial development permit.



DRAFT

1. Excepted Activities. If an activity qualifies as agricultural activity on agricultural land, as defined in RCW 90.58.065, and the activity existed as of the date of adoption of the SMP, then the provisions of this SMP do not apply and a shoreline permit is not required for that activity. In all other cases not specifically excepted under the SMA, all substantive SMP provisions apply.
2. Permit-Exempt Activities. If an activity does not qualify as excepted as described in SMP Section 5.05.02(A)(1) above, it may still qualify for an exemption from the requirement to obtain a shoreline Substantial Development Permit under RCW 90.58.030(3)(e) and WAC 173-27-040(2). Pursuant to WAC 173-27-040(1), such exemptions are to be construed narrowly, and an exemption from the substantial development permit process is not an exemption from compliance with the SMP.
3. A shoreline substantial development permit is required for all agricultural development not specifically exempted by the provisions of RCW 90.58.030(3)(e)(iv).

B. Development Standards for New Agriculture Activities

1. Agricultural uses and development shall be consistent with the shoreline environment designation in which it is proposed, ensure no net loss of ecological function, and not have a significant adverse impact on other shoreline resources and values.
2. Agricultural practices must prevent and control erosion of soils and bank materials within shoreline areas.
3. Pesticides and herbicides must be handled, applied, and disposed of in accordance with provisions of the Washington Pesticide Application Act (Chapter 17.21 RCW) and the Washington Pesticide Control Act (Chapter 15.58 RCW).
4. Feedlot operations and animal waste retention and storage areas must not be located within shoreline jurisdiction unless direct manure runoff is prevented.
5. The application of biosolids and the bulk disposal of inorganic farm wastes, chemicals, fertilizers, and associated containers and equipment within shoreline jurisdiction is prohibited.
6. The storage of toxic or hazardous chemicals used for agricultural practices is prohibited in shoreline areas subject to flooding.
7. Agricultural-commercial uses are allowed where indicated in SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses and shall be consistent with commercial use standards in SMP Section 5.08.

8. Conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses shall be consistent with the shoreline environment designation in which it is proposed. Conversions shall be subject to the general regulations and those use-specific regulations applicable to the proposed use, and shall not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
9. Upland finfish facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction require a shoreline conditional use permit. As part of the permitting process, the applicant will need to provide the Shoreline Administrator with copies of the proper State and Federal permits for their net pen. The review the application by the Shoreline Administrator will include consideration of the following:
    a. Specific site conditions;
    b. Current and locally applicable science;
    c. Potential use conflicts;
    d. Cumulative impacts; and
    e. Potential mitigation and monitoring requirements

5.06 AQUACULTURE

Aquaculture is the culture or farming of fish, shellfish, or other aquatic plants and animals, excluding upland finfish facilities, which are regulated in SMP Section 5.05. Aquaculture is a preferred use in the shoreline jurisdiction. Locations for aquaculture are relatively restricted due to requirements for water quality, temperature, flows, oxygen content, and adjacent land uses.

5.06.01 POLICIES

A. Design, locate, and operate aquaculture uses in a manner that supports the long-term beneficial use of the shoreline and protects and maintains shoreline ecological functions and processes.

B. Do not allow aquaculture in locations that would result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions, adversely affect the quality or extent of habitat for native species, or interfere with navigation or other water-dependent uses.

C. Minimize the potential of cumulative adverse impacts from aquaculture on water quality, sediment quality, benthic and pelagic organisms, wild fish populations, or other Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed species because of antibiotic resistant bacteria, escapement of non-native species, and/or other factors.

D. Give latitude when implementing regulations for this use, because the technology associated with some forms of aquaculture is in formative stages.

E. Minimize potential aesthetic impacts associated with aquaculture uses through the consideration of view impacts on surrounding properties and public access points.

F. Protect legally established aquaculture enterprises from incompatible uses that may seek to locate nearby and uses or developments that have a high probability of damaging or destroying the aquaculture operations.

G. Recognize limited availability of suitable locations for aquaculture uses because of specific requirements related to water quality, temperature, oxygen content, currents, adjacent land use, wind protection and navigation.

5.06.02 REGULATIONS

A. Applicability

1. Review as part of this SMP is required for all new aquaculture facilities or farms, as well as projects that seek to expand an aquaculture use beyond the area for which a previous permit was issued.
2. Ongoing maintenance, harvest, replanting, or changing of culture techniques or species do not require review under the SMP, unless the cultivation of the new species or the use of a new culture technique has the potential for significant adverse environmental impacts.
3. A written statement of exemption in accordance with Section 7.04.04 is required for all aquaculture activities that are reviewed as part of this SMP, but that do not require a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance.

B. Location

1. Water-dependent portions of aquaculture facilities and their necessary accessories may be located waterward of the OHWM in the Aquatic shoreline environment or in the shoreline buffer. Water intakes and discharge structures, water and power conveyances, and fish collection and discharge structures are considered water-dependent or accessory to water-dependent facilities.
2. All other elements of aquaculture facilities shall be located outside the shoreline buffer, unless those facilities are deemed water-related and proximity to the water-dependent project elements is critical to implementation of the facility's purpose.

3. Sites shall be selected to avoid or minimize alteration to the shorelines. Applicants for aquaculture operations shall be required to demonstrate that the location of the proposed facilities avoids and minimizes impacts to on-site critical areas and habitats to the maximum extent feasible, and limits impacts on existing public access points, navigable waters, and other water-dependent uses.
4. Aquaculture facilities shall be designed and located so as not to spread disease to native aquatic life, establish new non-native species that cause significant ecological impacts, or significantly affect the aesthetic qualities of the shoreline.

C. General Requirements

1. Aquaculture that involves substantial aquatic substrate modification or sedimentation through dredging, trenching, digging, or other mechanisms, shall not be permitted in areas where the proposal would have long-term adverse impacts on the strength or viability of native stocks. The degree of proposed substrate modification shall be the minimum necessary for feasible aquaculture operations at the site.
2. New aquaculture proposals shall comply with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03. Aquaculture uses that would have a significant adverse impact on natural shoreline processes, result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions, interfere with navigation, or conflict with other water-dependent uses are prohibited.
3. New aquatic species that were not previously found or cultivated in the County shall not be introduced into fresh waters without prior written approval of the WDFW and the Washington State Department of Health.
4. Permanent water-dependent instream facilities must be properly anchored to prevent channel migration, erosion or a safety hazard, and must evaluate and mitigate potential adverse effects on adjacent properties upstream and downstream.
5. No processing of aquaculture products, except for the sorting or culling of the cultured organism and the washing or removal of surface materials or organisms after harvest, shall occur in or over the water unless specifically approved by permit.
    a. All other processing facilities shall be located on land. If within shoreline jurisdiction, such facilities shall be subject to the applicable policies and regulations of SMP Sections 5.06 or 5.10.

DRAFT

6. Aquaculture structures and equipment shall be of sound construction and shall be so maintained. Abandoned or unsafe structures or equipment shall be removed or repaired promptly by the owner.
7. Aquaculture uses shall comply with all applicable noise, air, and water quality standards. All projects shall be designed, operated, and maintained to minimize odor and noise.
8. Aquaculture facilities shall not substantially degrade the aesthetic qualities of the shoreline. Aquaculture structures and equipment, except navigation aids, shall be designed, operated, and maintained to blend into their surroundings.

D. Application Requirements

1. Commercial aquaculture shall conform to all applicable State and Federal regulations. The County may accept application documentation required by other permitting agencies for new and expanded aquaculture uses and development to minimize redundancy in permit application requirements.
2. Additional studies or information may be required by the County, which may include but is not limited to monitoring and adaptive management plans and information on the presence of and potential impacts to, including ecological and visual impacts, existing shoreline or water conditions and/or uses, vegetation and overwater structures.

5.07 BOATING AND WATER ACCESS FACILITIES

This section applies to all in-water and overwater structures and uses that facilitate water access or the launching or mooring of vessels, including all public and private docks, piers, marinas, mooring buoys, launch ramps, and recreational floats. Construction of dock structures for the private noncommercial use of the owner, lessee, or contract purchaser of single- and multifamily residences are exempt from the requirement for a shoreline substantial development permit pursuant to RCW 90.58.030(3)(e)(vii) and WAC 173-27-040(h). An HPA from WDFW may still be required.

5.07.01 POLICIES

A. Encourage the construction and operation of boating and water access facilities to allow public access for enjoyment of County shorelines.

B. Site, design, construct, and operate boating and water access facilities to incorporate BMPs and ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

C. Balance the encouragement of public access and the protection of ecological functions in the expansion of existing or construction of new boating and water access facilities.

D. Minimize the amount of shoreline modification, in-water structures, overwater cover, changes to water circulation and quality, and effects to fish and wildlife habitat from boating and water access facilities. The length, width, and height of overwater structures should be no greater than that required for safety and feasibility for the primary use.

E. Ensure that boating and water access facilities do not impact the navigability of the water body or adversely affect other water-dependent uses.

F. Plan and coordinate public boating and water access facilities needs regionally. Shorelines particularly suitable for public boat launch facilities are limited and should be identified and reserved on a regional basis.

G. Only allow the construction of new docks and piers for public access or water-dependent uses.

H. Allow recreational floats only where they support public or private recreational uses.

I. Minimize impacts to adjacent uses and users, such as aesthetic or noise-related impacts, impacts to public visual access to the shoreline, or offsite impacts caused by public access to the shoreline. If impact avoidance is not feasible, require mitigation.

J. Limit the lighting of boating and water access facilities to the minimum extent necessary.

5.07.02 REGULATIONS

A. Location Standards

1. New boating and access facilities shall maintain the rights of navigation on the waters of the State.

2. Boating and other water access facilities shall be sited and designed to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

3. Boating and other water access facilities shall meet WDNR requirements and other State guidance if located in or over State-owned aquatic lands.

4. Boating and water access facilities shall be located where:

a. There is adequate water mixing and flushing;

b. Such facilities will not adversely affect flood channel capacity or otherwise create a flood hazard;

c. Water depths are adequate to minimize spoil disposal, the placement of fill, beach enhancement, and other channel maintenance activities; and

d. Water depths are adequate to prevent the facility from grounding out at the lowest low water or the facility includes stoppers to prevent grounding.

5. Boating and water access facilities shall not be located:

a. Along braided or meandering river channels where the channel is subject to change in alignment;

b. On point bars or other accretion beaches;

c. Where new dredging or new ongoing maintenance dredging will be required;

d. In areas with important habitat for aquatic species or where wave action caused by boating use would increase bank erosion rates; or

e. In areas where it would be incompatible with the need to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

6. Boating and water access facilities shall be designed to ensure that lawfully existing or planned public shoreline access is not blocked, obstructed, or made dangerous.

7. Major boating and water access facilities, such as marinas, shall be located only where adequate utility services are available, or can be provided concurrently.

B. General Design Standards for Boating and Water Access Facilities

1. All boating and water access facilities shall be designed and operated to avoid or minimize impacts. Unavoidable impacts must be mitigated consistent with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 and critical areas in SMP Section 4.04.

2. All boating and water access facilities and shoreline modifications to support these uses shall be the minimum size necessary to accommodate the anticipated demand for the facility.

3. Boating and water access facilities shall be designed to provide physical and/or visual public access to the shoreline for as many water-oriented recreational uses as feasible, commensurate with the scale of the proposal, including, but not limited to, physical and visual access to waterbodies, public piers, or fishing platforms.

4. Project applicants shall comply with all local and State policies and regulations, including all applicable health, safety, and welfare requirements associated with the primary or accessory use. These standards include but are not limited to WDNR and WDFW standards and regulations including Hydraulic Code Rules (Chapter 220-660 WAC).
5. All boating or water access facilities shall be constructed and maintained in a safe condition. Abandoned or unsafe boating or water access facilities shall be removed or repaired promptly by the owner.
6. Wooden components of boating or water access facilities that will be in contact with water or installed over water shall not be treated or coated with herbicides, fungicides, paint, pentachlorophenol, arsenate, creosote, or similar toxic substances. Boating or water access facilities shall be made out of materials that have been approved by applicable State and Federal agencies.
7. Lighting associated with boating or water access facilities shall be shielded to avoid causing glare on adjacent properties or waterbodies. Illumination levels shall be the minimum necessary for safety.
8. Boating or water access facilities must be limited to day moorage only. No live-aboard vessels or floating homes are allowed.
9. Non-water-dependent elements and uses, such as decks and gazebos built on docks or piers, are not allowed.
10. Upland boat storage may be allowed within the shoreline jurisdiction provided impervious surface limitations and other standards are met, mitigation sequencing is followed, and impacts can be mitigated to achieve no net loss.

C. Supplementary Standards for Boat Ramps, Launches, and Rails

1. New boat ramps and launches shall follow BMPs and the standards in WAC 220-660-150 to avoid impacts to shoreline ecological functions, such as effects to nearshore habitat.
2. Boat ramps, launches, and rails may be permitted for boating and water access facilities, recreational uses, and developments with more than four residential units subject to SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses.
3. Boat ramps, launches, and rails shall be sited to minimize impacts to aquatic and upland wildlife habitats, native emergent vegetation, fluvial processes, water

DRAFT

quality, and navigation. All facilities shall be located and designed using mitigation sequencing.

4. Boat ramps, launches, and rails shall be located where water depths are adequate to eliminate or minimize the need for dredging, the placement of fill, beach enhancement, or other maintenance activities.
5. The design of boat ramps, launches, and rails shall comply with all regulations stipulated by State and Federal agencies, affected tribes, or other agencies with jurisdiction.
6. The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed length of a boat ramp, launch, or rail is the minimum necessary to launch the intended craft safely. In no case shall the boat launch ramp or rail extend beyond the point where the water depth is eight feet below the OHWM, unless the Shoreline Administrator determines that a greater depth is needed for a public boat launch facility.
7. Boat ramps, launches, or rails shall be designed and constructed by using methods and technology recognized and approved by State and Federal resource agencies as BMPs.

D. Supplementary Standards for Boat Lifts and Canopies

1. New boat lifts and canopies shall follow BMPs and the standards in WAC 220-660-140 to avoid impacts to shoreline ecological functions, such as effects to nearshore habitat.
2. New boat lifts and an accessory boat lift canopies may be permitted as part of an approved dock or pier as specified in SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses, so long as the following requirements are met:
    a. The boat lift is placed as far waterward of the OHWM as is feasible and safe, to avoid impacts to nearshore habitat.
    b. The bottom of a boat lift canopy is elevated above the boat lift to the maximum extent feasible. The lowest edge of the canopy must be at least four feet above the water surface, and the top of the canopy must not extend more than seven feet above an associated pier.
    c. No hydraulic fluid other than water shall be used in the boat lift system. A backflow protection may be required.

3. A maximum of two cubic yards of clean rock fill or pre-cast concrete blocks are permitted to anchor the boat lift if the substrate prevents the use of anchoring devices.
4. One boat lift or up to two Jet Ski lifts is allowed per dock or pier.

E. Supplementary Standards for Docks and Piers

1. New docks and piers shall follow BMPs and the standards in WAC 220-660-140 to avoid impacts to shoreline ecological functions, such as effects to nearshore habitat.
2. New docks and piers shall be allowed only for public access and water-dependent uses, including single-family residences, so long as the dock or pier complies with the regulations contained in this section. Docks and piers shall meet the following standards:
    a. Docks and piers serving a single-family residence are defined as water-dependent accessory uses, provided they are designed and intended as a facility for access to watercraft. To be authorized, the residential use and the accessory dock or pier must be allowed in the underlying upland shoreline environment designation. In instances on Mayfield and Riffe Lake, where a buffer owned by Tacoma Power exists between the water and the upland property, the upland property owner may utilize their allowance for an accessory dock on the portion of the buffer property between their lot and the water with the permission of Tacoma Power.
    b. New docks and piers that are not accessory to single-family residences shall be permitted only when they are intended for public use or when the applicant demonstrates that the new dock or pier supports a water-dependent use.
    c. No more than one dock or pier is permitted for each single-family residence existing as of the effective date of this SMP.
    d. Only joint-use overwater structures and launching facilities are allowed for new residential development or subdivisions of two or more waterfront dwellings occurring after the effective date of this SMP.
    e. No more than one pier, dock, or other moorage structure is allowed for a water-dependent commercial use or a multifamily development.
3. When individual lots have less than 50 feet of water frontage, a joint-use dock or pier that is shared with neighboring properties shall be required, provided that an individual dock may be allowed subject to the requirements of SMP Table 5-1:

Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses when lots on either side of the subject lot have legal pre-existing docks or piers and the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Shoreline Administrator that a shared use agreement is not feasible. In this case, a lot with less than 50 feet of minimum shoreline frontage may be permitted an individual dock or pier.

4. The maximum dimensions of a dock or pier shall meet the following development standards. An explanation of why the dock or pier length was chosen shall be submitted with the application.
    a. Residential docks and piers shall be no greater than the widths allowed for HPA permits in WAC 220-660-140(3) and shall not exceed 150 feet beyond the OHWM.
    b. Docks and piers for commercial, recreational, or public access use may be up to ten feet in width and shall not exceed 200 feet beyond the OHWM.
    c. Docks and piers shall be set back a minimum of ten feet from side property lines. Provided that joint-use facilities may be located closer to or upon a side property line when agreed to by contract or covenant with the owners of the affected properties. A copy of such agreement shall be recorded with the Lewis County Auditor and filed with the shoreline permit application.
    d. Proposed docks and piers that do not comply with the dimensional standards above may only be approved if they obtain a shoreline variance. Pursuant to WAC 173-27-040 (2)(b), any existing legal nonconforming dock or pier may be repaired or restored to its original size, dimension, and location without the need for a variance, if it is below the replacement thresholds found in SMP Section 5.07.02(J)(1). Projects undertaken pursuant to this section must be permitted within two years of removal of the pre-existing, nonconforming structure.

F. Supplementary Standards for Marinas

1. New marinas shall follow BMPs and the standards in WAC 220-660-140 to avoid impacts to shoreline ecological functions, such as effects to nearshore habitat.
2. Marinas shall be designed to:
    a. Provide thorough flushing of all enclosed water areas;
    b. Allow the free movement of aquatic life in shallow water areas; and

c. Avoid and minimize any interference with geohydraulic processes and disruption of existing shore forms.

3. New marinas shall provide public access amenities such as viewpoints, interpretive displays, and public access to access water-enjoyment uses such as restaurants.
4. Marinas shall have adequate facilities and procedures for fuel handling and storage, and the containment, recovery, and mitigation of spilled petroleum, sewage, and other potentially harmful or hazardous materials, and toxic products.
5. Sufficient utility services must be provided concurrent with the marina development or be situated where they are already available. New marinas must include adequate restroom and sewage disposal facilities, such as pump out, holding, or treatment facilities.
6. The marina operator shall be responsible for the collection and dumping of sewage, solid waste, and petroleum waste.

G. Supplementary Standards for Moorage Covers

1. New moorage covers may be permitted as a shoreline conditional use in the locations specified in SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses, if the proposal addresses the following:
   a. The applicant demonstrates that the moorage cover is the minimum size necessary to provide for the water-dependent use;
   b. The moorage cover does not create any potential adverse impacts to public safety;
   c. The moorage cover is placed as far waterward of the OHWM as feasible and safe, within the limits of the dimensional standards for docks and piers established in this section;
   d. There is only one moorage cover or boat lift with canopy per dock or pier, including joint use docks or piers; and
   e. The moorage cover complies with all other conditional use criteria in WAC 173-27-160 and SMP Section 7.04.02.

H. Supplementary Standards for Mooring Buoys

1. New mooring buoys shall follow BMPs and the standards in WAC 220-660-140 to avoid impacts to shoreline ecological functions, such as effects to nearshore habitat.
2. Up to one mooring buoy is allowed per dwelling unit in lieu of a dock or pier.

3. Mooring buoys shall be anchored in accordance with all state and federal requirements.

I. Supplementary Standards for Recreational Floats

1. New recreational floats shall follow BMPs and the standards in WAC 220-660-140 to avoid impacts to shoreline ecological functions, such as effects to nearshore habitat.
2. New recreational floats shall not exceed 400 square feet in size.
3. New recreational floats may be permitted as specified in SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses, so long as the following requirements are met:
   a. The recreational float complies with all requirements established by State and Federal agencies, affected tribes, and other agencies that have jurisdiction.
   b. The recreational float is located as close to the shore as feasible and no farther waterward than the existing floats and established swimming areas.
4. Recreational floats shall be designed and intended for swimming or other non-motorized uses.
5. Recreational floats must be built so that the deck surface is one foot above the water's surface.
6. Retrieval lines for recreational floats shall not float at or near the surface of the water.

J. Existing Uses and Structures

1. <u>Replacement</u>
   a. If any of the following are proposed, the project is considered a new boating and water access facility and must be designed consistent with any applicable standards for new boating and water access facilities.
      1) Replacement of the entire overwater boating and water access facility;
      2) Replacement of 75% or more of support piles on a cumulative basis over the life of the facility; or
      3) Replacement of 75% or more of a boat launch on a cumulative basis over the life of the boat launch.
2. <u>Modification or Enlargement</u>

DRAFT

a. Applicants must demonstrate that there is a need for modification or enlargement due to increased or changed use or demand, safety concerns, or inadequate depth of water.

b. Enlarged portions of boating and water access facilities must comply with any applicable design and mitigation standards for new facilities.

3. Repair

a. Repairs to existing legally established boating and water access facilities that fall below the standards identified in SMP Section 5.07.02(J)(1) are permitted consistent with all other applicable codes and regulations.

b. All repairs must utilize any material standards specified for new facilities.

K. Mitigation

1. New or expanded boating and water access facilities should follow the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03.

2. Compensatory mitigation proposals must provide mitigation at a minimum 1:1 ratio, by area, of new overwater cover to mitigation action using one or more of the potential mitigation measures listed below. The ratio should be increased if the measure will take more than one year to provide equivalent function or if the measure does not have a high success rate, as determined by a qualified professional.

3. For new development and expansion of existing boating and water access facilities, appropriate compensatory mitigation may include items including but not limited to, one or more of the following measures:

a. Removal of any legal existing overwater or in-water structures that are not the subject of the application or otherwise required to be removed;

b. Removal or ecological improvement of hardened shoreline, including existing launch ramps or structural shoreline stabilization;

c. Removal of man-made debris waterward of the OHWM, such as car bodies, oil drums, concrete or asphalt debris, remnant docks, or other material detrimental to ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes; or

d. Planting of native vegetation along the shoreline immediately landward of the OHWM consisting of a density and composition of trees and shrubs typically found in undisturbed areas adjacent to the subject waterbody.

4. In-kind measures are preferred over out-of-kind measures when consistent with the objective of compensating for adverse impacts to ecological function.

L. Application Requirements

In addition to the general application requirements, the following submittals, as applicable, are necessary for all new or expanded boating and water access facilities:

1. A description of the proposed boating and water access facility, including its size, location, design, and any shoreline stabilization or other modification measures;
2. The ownership of the property and aquatic lands;
3. Habitat surveys and critical area studies consistent with SMP Section 4.04;
4. Assessment of potential impacts to existing ecological processes, including but not limited to sediment transport, hydrologic patterns, and vegetation disturbance;
5. A mitigation plan for unavoidable adverse impacts to ecological functions or processes pursuant to SMP Section 4.04;
6. A slope bathymetry map when deemed beneficial by the Shoreline Administrator;
7. An assessment of existing water-dependent uses in the vicinity and a documentation of the potential impacts to those uses and mitigating measures; and
8. Beyond these requirements, applicants must provide an assessment of need and demand for all new or expanded marina facilities, including but not limited to:
    a. Existing approved facilities, or pending applications, within the service range of the proposed new or expanded facility;
    b. The expected population served by the facility; and
    c. Boat ownership characteristics of the population, if that information supports justification for specific design elements related to facility length or width, necessary water depth, or other design factors.

5.08 COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Commercial uses and developments are those uses that are involved in wholesale and retail trade or business activities. Many commercial developments are intensive users of space because of extensive floor areas and facilities, such as parking, necessary to service them.

5.08.01 POLICIES

A. Encourage the development of water-oriented commercial developments, which utilize their location to offer opportunities for substantial numbers of people to enjoy the shoreline. Water dependent commercial uses are a priority over non-water dependent commercial uses.

B. Encourage new commercial development along shorelines to locate in areas where current commercial uses exist, if the locations are suitable for such use.

C. Encourage non-water-oriented commercial development to locate outside of the shoreline jurisdiction.

D. Design new commercial development to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare; provide public access where feasible; and ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

E. Minimize the adverse impacts that may result from commercial buildings, such as blocked views, aesthetic impacts, or noise.

F. Public access should be required where commercial uses are proposed on land in public ownership.

5.08.02 REGULATIONS

A. Commercial development shall not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions or have significant negative impacts to shoreline uses, resources, and values such as navigation, recreation, and public access.

B. New non-water-oriented commercial development is prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction unless it meets one of the following criteria:

1. The commercial use is part of a mixed-use project that includes a water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment use and provides a significant public benefit such as providing public access or ecological restoration;
2. It is an expansion of a commercial use that existed at the time of the SMP update that does not move any closer to the shoreline;
3. Navigability is severely limited at that location and the commercial use provides a significant public benefit such as public access or ecological restoration; or
4. The commercial use is physically separated from the shoreline by another property, railroad, or public right of way.

DRAFT

C. Water-dependent commercial uses and development shall be given preference over water-related and water-enjoyment commercial uses. Water-oriented uses shall be given preference over non-water oriented uses. The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed design, layout, and operation of commercial uses meet the definitions of water-dependent, water-related or water-enjoyment.

D. Non-water-dependent commercial uses over water are prohibited in the shoreline jurisdiction.

5.09 FOREST PRACTICES

Forest management practices are those methods used for the protection, production, and harvesting of timber. The FPA (Chapter 76.09 RCW) is the basis of management of commercial forest uses within shoreline jurisdiction. The WDNR regulates forest practices including those within shoreline jurisdiction in the County.

5.09.01 POLICIES

A. Effectively balance timber harvesting with the preservation of shoreline ecological functions, public access to shorelines, and other shoreline goals.

B. Ensure State and Federal water quality standards are maintained while conducting timber-harvesting practices in shoreline jurisdiction.

C. Prevent the accumulation of slash and other debris in waterways during logging and thinning operations.

D. Ensure that timber harvesting in Shorelines of Statewide Significance does not exceed the limitations established in RCW 90.58.150, except in cases where selective logging is found to be ecologically detrimental or inadequate for the preparation of land for other uses authorized in the SMP.

E. Ensure the maintenance of shoreline buffers while conducting logging within shoreline jurisdiction.

F. Promote proper road and bridge design, location and construction, and maintenance practices to prevent development of roads and structures that would adversely affect shoreline resources.

G. Ensure that forest practice conversions to non-forestry uses do not result in a net loss of ecological functions or significant adverse impacts to other shoreline uses, resources, and values such as navigation, recreation, and public access.

5.09.02 REGULATIONS

A. All forest practices, including forest conversions, undertaken on shorelines shall comply with the applicable policies and provisions of the FPA, the SMP, Chapter 76.09 RCW as amended, and Chapter 222 WAC as administered by the County.

B. Preparatory work associated with the conversion of land to non-forest practices or developments shall:

   1. Limit the conversion to the minimum necessary to accomplish the purpose and intent of the SMP on the subject property.

   2. Ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions or significant adverse impacts to shoreline uses, resources, and values provided for in RCW 90.58.020 such as navigation, recreation, and public access.

   3. Demonstrate that conversion practices are conducted in a manner consistent with the shoreline environment designation in which they are located.

C. Within shoreline jurisdiction along Shorelines of Statewide Significance, only selective commercial timber cutting may be permitted so that no more than 30% of the merchantable timber may be harvested in any ten-year period.

   1. Other timber harvesting methods may be permitted with a shoreline conditional use permit in those limited instances where the topography, soil conditions, or silviculture practices necessary for regeneration render selective logging ecologically detrimental.

   2. Clear cutting of timber solely incidental to the conversion and preparation of land for uses authorized in the SMP may be permitted.

5.10 INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

Industrial development encompasses manufacturing, production, processing, and storage of raw materials and other finished products.

5.10.01 POLICIES

A. Ensure a sufficient amount of land is designated to accommodate water-dependent or water-related industry. Water dependent industrial uses are a priority over non-water dependent industrial uses.

B. Locate, design, and construct industrial development in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and does not have significant adverse impacts to other shoreline resources and values.

C. Encourage new industrial development to locate in areas where environmental cleanup and restoration can be incorporated in the project.

D. Encourage public access to the shoreline as part of industrial developments where feasible.

5.10.02 REGULATIONS

A. Water-dependent industrial uses and development shall be given preference over water-related and nonwater-oriented industrial uses. Water-related uses shall be given preference over non-water oriented uses. The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed design, layout, and operation of industrial uses meet the definitions of water-dependent or water-related uses.

B. Non-water-oriented industrial uses are prohibited in the shoreline jurisdiction unless they meet one of the following criteria:

   1. It is part of a mixed-use project that includes a water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment use and provides a significant public benefit such as providing public access or ecological restoration;

   2. It is an expansion of an industrial use that existed at the time of the SMP update that does not move any closer to the shoreline;

   3. Navigability is severely limited on the site and the industrial use provides a significant public benefit of providing public access or ecological restoration; or

   4. The site is physically separated from the shoreline by another property or public right of way.

C. Public access shall be incorporated in industrial developments where feasible. Public access shall be required where feasible for new industrial development on publicly owned land.

D. Industrial development shall not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions or have significant negative impacts to shoreline use, resources, and values such as navigation, recreation, and public access.

E. Industrial development and redevelopment are encouraged to locate where State and Federal requirements for environmental cleanup and restoration of the shoreline area can be incorporated.

5.11 MINING

Mining is the removal of sand, soil, gravel, minerals, and other materials for commercial and other uses. Mining in the shoreline can alter the natural character, resources, and ecology of shorelines.

5.11.01 POLICIES

A. Design and conduct new mining and associated uses to result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes.

B. Do not locate new mining on shorelines where unavoidable adverse impacts on other users or resources taken together, equal or outweigh the benefits from mining.

C. Minimize the impacts of mining, such as aesthetics, dust, noise, etc., on existing public access points and water-dependent or enjoyment uses.

D. Begin land reclamation immediately after the termination of mining operations. Use of reclaimed mine property must be consistent with the SMP and provide appropriate ecological functions consistent with the location and State Surface Mining Reclamation Act requirements.

5.11.02 REGULATIONS

A. Application for mining permits within shoreline jurisdiction shall be accompanied by operation plans, reclamation plans, and an analysis of environmental impacts sufficient to make a determination as to whether the project will result in net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes during the course of mining and after reclamation.

B. Mining operations and subsequent uses shall not cause permanent impairment or loss of floodwater storage, wetlands, or other stream corridor features and habitats. Mitigation shall provide for the replacement of impacted functions at the ratio or rate necessary to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological function.

C. The evaluation of impacts of mining shall be integrated with relevant environment review requirements of SEPA (Chapter 43.21 RCW) and SEPA rules (Chapter 197-11 WAC).

D. New mining waterward of the OHWM of a shoreline waterbody shall not be permitted.

E. In considering renewal, extension, or reauthorization of mining waterward of the OHWM in locations where mining was previously conducted, compliance with WAC 173-

26-241(3)(h)(ii)(D) shall be required where no such review has previously been conducted. Where there has been a prior review of the mining activities, the Shoreline Administrator shall review the previous determinations to assure compliance under current site conditions.

F. Mining within any CMZ that is within shoreline jurisdiction shall require a shoreline conditional use permit.

G. For mining proposals that meet the definition of surface mine in RCW 78.44.031, the proposal shall be consistent with WDNR Surface Mine Reclamation standards found in Chapter 332-18 WAC and Chapter 78.44 RCW. A reclamation plan that complies with the format and standards of Chapter 78.44 RCW shall be included with a shoreline permit application.

H. In reviewing the permit application and reclamation plan, the Shoreline Administrator shall determine whether the plan is consistent with the SMP and other applicable County regulations. After the applicant has been given reasonable opportunity to revise the plan, an inconsistent reclamation plan shall constitute sufficient grounds for denial of a shoreline permit. Subsequent use of reclaimed sites shall be consistent with the shoreline environment designation and the use criteria provisions of the SMP.

5.12 PARKING

Parking is the temporary storage of automobiles or other motorized vehicles. The following provisions apply to parking that is allowed as an accessory to a permitted shoreline use. Stand-alone parking facilities are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction.

5.12.01 POLICIES

A. Minimize the amount of parking in the shoreline jurisdiction.

B. Locate and design parking facilities to have the least impact on shoreline features, including shoreline ecological functions and existing or planned water-dependent uses.

C. Locate and design parking to minimize adverse impacts including those related to stormwater run-off, water quality, visual qualities, public access, vegetation, and habitat.

5.12.02 REGULATIONS

A. Parking facilities are allowed only as accessories to authorized shoreline uses. Stand-alone parking facilities not supporting an authorized primary use are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction.

B. Parking facilities in shoreline jurisdiction shall be located upland from the principal use or structure being served, except in the following cases:

   1. When parking facilities are within or beneath the structure and adequately screened.

   2. Where the existing configuration of a commercial or industrial building has parking situated between the structure and the shoreline. No expansion of the parking area towards the water shall be allowed.

   3. When parking to address specific Americans with Disabilities Act requirements is required and cannot be placed in another location.

C. Exterior parking facilities shall be designed and landscaped to minimize adverse impacts upon adjacent and abutting properties shoreline jurisdiction.

D. Existing parking areas that are of a non-paved surface, such as gravel, may be paved provided such facilities comply with all applicable water quality, stormwater, landscaping, and other applicable requirements and regulations. Paved parking areas shall be designed to incorporate LID practices, such as permeable surfaces and bioswales, to the extent feasible.

5.13 RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Recreational development includes commercial and public facilities that provide recreational opportunities to the public. This section applies to public and private recreational uses and development, accessory recreational uses and development, and excludes private recreational uses associated with residential development.

5.13.01 POLICIES

A. Prevent recreational development from causing a net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

B. Encourage the development of recreational facilities that allow the public to access and enjoy shorelines.

DRAFT

C. Create new public access points to shorelines on public lands.

D. Promote the ongoing maintenance of shoreline public access.

E. Work to link shoreline parks and public access points.

F. Protect the rights of private property owners, and help to minimize adverse the impacts on private land associated with neighboring public access points.

G. Ensure sufficient water and wastewater facilities are available to accommodate the demands of recreational development proposals.

H. Encourage preservation of scenic views and vistas.

5.13.02 REGULATIONS

A. Recreational uses and facilities proposed within the shoreline jurisdiction shall be primarily designed to promote access, enjoyment, and use of the water and Shorelines of the State. Non-water-related recreational uses shall predominantly be located outside of the shoreline jurisdiction.

B. Where recreation facilities include overwater structures designed for public access to shorelines, such as public viewing or fishing platforms, the structures shall comply with the relevant requirements of SMP Section 5.07.

C. Where applicable, an applicant shall submit plans that demonstrate the BMPs and methods to be used to prevent chemical applications and resultant leachate from entering adjacent waterbodies.

D. Recreational facilities shall make adequate provisions, such as screening, buffer strips, fences, and signs, to minimize impacts to neighbors and prevent the overflow of pedestrians onto adjacent private properties.

E. Wildlife viewing structures and permeable trails or raised boardwalks are allowed within riparian and wetland buffers in accordance with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 and the critical area regulations in SMP Section 4.04.

F. Trails shall be planted or landscaped to provide a visual buffer for adjoining dissimilar uses or scenic areas. The Shoreline Administrator may condition proposals to:

   1. Select species that are suitable for the local climate and have minimal demands for water, minimal vulnerability to pests, and minimal demands for fertilizers; and

   2. Incorporate native species.

G. Recreational development proposals shall include facilities for water supply, wastewater, and garbage disposal in conformance with County standards.

H. Recreational development shall be located, designed, and constructed in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

I. In addition to these standards, commercial recreational development shall be consistent with the provisions for commercial development in SMP Section 5.08.

5.14 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Residential development includes single-family residences, multifamily development, and appurtenant structures and uses, including garages, sheds, fences, necessary utilities, and driveways. Single-family residences are a priority use when developed in a manner consistent with no net loss of environmental functions.

The construction of a single-family residence by an owner, lessee, or contract purchaser for their own use or for the use of their family that does not exceed a height of 35 feet above average grade level may be exempt from the requirement for a shoreline substantial development permit but must be consistent with all applicable policies and regulations in the SMP. Refer to the application and interpretation of exemptions in WAC 173-27-040(2)(g).

5.14.01 POLICIES

A. Develop residential uses in a manner that ensures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and is consistent with provisions relating to shoreline buffer areas, shoreline armoring, vegetation conservation requirements, on-site sewage system standards, and aesthetic enhancement.

B. Control residential uses and development in areas subject to environmental limitations, such as wetlands, stream buffers, and areas of frequent flooding.

C. Set back residential development and uses from steep slopes and shorelines vulnerable to erosion so that structural shoreline stabilization or flood hazard reduction measures are not required to protect such structures.

D. Prohibit new overwater residential development.

E. Encourage public access to the shoreline as part of new residential development, and require public access in accordance with SMP Section 4.06 for new multifamily residential development and subdivisions that include more than four parcels.

F. Consider single-family residences a priority use in planning for uses in the shoreline jurisdiction when developed with no net loss of environmental functions.

G. Consider accessory uses such as driveways, utilities, and other appurtenances as part of the primary residential use and review under the standards of this section.

5.14.02 REGULATIONS

A. Residential uses and development may be allowed in conformance with the development requirements of the County and the provisions of the SMP.

B. Residential subdivisions shall:

1. Comply with all applicable subdivision, critical areas, and zoning regulations.
2. Include facilities for water supply, wastewater, stormwater, solid waste, access, utilities, and other support facilities in conformance with County standards.
3. Be designed, configured and developed to:
   a. Assure that no net loss of ecological functions will result from the initial division of the land, at full build-out of all the lots, and throughout all phases of development.
   b. Avoid critical areas and their buffers in accordance with SMP Section 4.03.
   c. Prevent the need for new hard or soft shoreline stabilization or flood hazard reduction measures in accordance with SMP Sections 6.07 and 4.05.
   d. Minimize physical impacts to vegetation and other natural features within the shoreline.
   e. Assure that lots in proposed subdivisions are sufficiently sized and oriented to allow future residential development, without these residential uses requiring a shoreline variance. Lot configurations shall plan for building sites outside of required shoreline and critical area buffers.
4. Clustering may be permitted, as allowed by the LCC, to achieve these provisions.

C. Each residential structure, including accessory and appurtenant structures and uses, shall:

1. Comply with all applicable zoning regulations.
2. Meet all applicable critical areas, vegetation conservation, and water quality standards of SMP Chapter 4: General Policies & Regulations.
3. Be designed, sited, and constructed to:
   a. Assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

DRAFT

b. Prevent the need for new structural flood hazard management measures to the greatest extent feasible.

c. Be sufficiently set back from steep slopes and shorelines vulnerable to erosion, in accordance with the required critical area and shoreline buffers, to ensure that structural improvements and stabilization structures are not necessary to protect such structures and uses.

D. New multifamily developments and subdivisions over four lots in size shall provide public access under SMP Section 4.06.

E. The primary residential use on any lot shall be established prior to any accessory residential uses. Accessory and appurtenant uses and structures not specifically addressed in the SMP shall be subject to the same regulations as the primary residence.

F. Primary residential uses are prohibited over the water.

G. Residential accessory and appurtenant structures and uses shall be prohibited over the water, unless clearly water-dependent.

H. Residential appurtenant and accessory structures or uses are prohibited within shoreline buffers unless specifically authorized in SMP Section 4.04.

5.15 SIGNS

The following provisions apply to any commercial or advertising sign directing attention to a business, professional service, community, site, facility, or entertainment.

5.15.01 POLICIES

A. Limit off-premise outdoor advertising signs within the shoreline environment.

B. Ensure that signs are sized and placed to protect vistas and viewpoints of shorelines, waterbodies, and surrounding landscapes from public properties and rights of way.

5.15.02 REGULATIONS

A. Signs shall comply with applicable County regulations.

B. All signs shall be located and designed to minimize interference with visual access to shoreline jurisdiction.

C. Signs may be allowed if they:

1. Do not obstruct sight distance of drivers and non-motorized roadway users;

2. Conform with Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) standards for signs on public highways; and
3. Meet one of the following two conditions:
    a. Are official in nature, such as traffic control, wayfinding, monument, historic, or cultural site markers, etc., and are located within the public right-of-way; or
    b. Are located on the public or private property that contains the use advertised.

5.16 TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

Transportation facilities include structures that provide for the movement of people, goods, and services by land, air, and water. Transportation facilities include highways, bridges, bikeways, airports, and other related facilities. This section applies to new and expanded transportation facilities within shoreline jurisdiction.

5.16.01 POLICIES

A. Plan, locate, and design new transportation facilities where routes will have the least adverse effect on shoreline features, shoreline ecological functions, and existing or planned water-dependent uses.

B. Maintain and reconstruct roads in accordance with the BMPs adopted by the County and WSDOT.

C. Require that public and private developments provide circulation facilities including roads, streets, alleys, pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation facilities in a manner consistent with County, State, and Federal standards and adopted levels of service.

D. Preserve the aesthetic values of the shoreline environment along roadways.

E. Promote the creation and upkeep of viewpoints, rest areas, and picnic areas that are located along transportation facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction.

F. Seek to provide for safe pedestrian and non-motorized travel along scenic corridors, public roadways, and multi-use trails in the shoreline jurisdiction.

G. Design road and railroad structures so that flood debris will not be trapped by the structure.

DRAFT

5.16.02 APPLICABILITY

A. This section applies to public and private transportation facilities serving motorized and nonmotorized uses.

B. A driveway for an individual single-family residence is considered part of the primary use and it should be reviewed as part of SMP Section 5.14.

5.16.03 REGULATIONS

A. Transportation facilities shall only be placed within shoreline jurisdiction, when no other option for the location of the facility exists. If no alternative exists to placing a new transportation facility in shoreline jurisdiction, a mitigation plan prepared by a qualified professional must be prepared consistent with the provisions of SMP Section 4.04.

B. When located within the shoreline jurisdiction, new and expanded transportation facilities shall:

1. Be set back from the OHWM as far as feasible and locate any new water crossings as near to perpendicular with the waterbody as feasible, unless an alternate path would minimize the disturbance of native vegetation or result in the avoidance of critical areas;

2. Be designed with the minimum pavement area required;

3. Minimize adverse effects to unique or fragile shoreline features;

4. Implement the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 and ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions;

5. Avoid adverse impacts on existing or planned water-dependent uses;

6. Allow joint use of the right-of-way with nonmotorized uses and existing or planned primary utility facilities to consolidate the crossings of waterbodies and minimize adverse impacts to shoreline jurisdiction, where feasible; and

7. Provide and maintain visual access to scenic vistas on public roads, where feasible. Visual access may include, but is not limited to turnouts, rest areas, and picnic areas.

C. Existing roads that are of a non-paved surface, such as gravel, may be paved, if the facilities comply with all applicable mitigation, water quality, stormwater, and landscaping standards, as well as other requirements of the SMP and local regulations.

DRAFT

D. Seasonal work windows may be required for construction projects to minimize impacts to shoreline functions.

E. Where public access to shorelines across transportation facilities is intended, facility designs must provide safe pedestrian and non-motorized vehicular crossings.

F. Crossings of waterbodies, such as bridges, shall be designed to minimize impact to aquatic habitat, allow for fish passage, and the passage of flood debris.

5.17 UTILITIES

The provisions of this section apply only to facilities that produce, convey, store, or process power, gas, sewage, water, communications, oil, or waste. On-site utility features serving a primary use, such as an electrical line or water, sewer or gas lines to an individual use, are considered accessory utilities and shall be considered under the standards of the primary use of the property.

5.17.01 POLICIES

A. Ensure that the installation of new utilities results in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

B. Locate utility lines and facilities outside of the shoreline environment where feasible.

C. Locate water-oriented utilities, such as sewage treatment, water reclamation, and some power facilities, where they do not interfere with other public uses of the water and shoreline.

D. Locate and design utilities to accommodate future growth and development.

E. Locate utilities so as not to obstruct or destroy scenic views wherever facilities must be placed in a shoreline area. Place utility lines underground when feasible to minimize damage to the shoreline aesthetic quality.

F. Locate utilities in existing rights of way or corridors whenever feasible.

G. Restore shoreline areas damaged by the installation or maintenance of utilities.

H. Provide public access to the shoreline whenever a major utility line or facility utilizes a shoreline location or crossing, unless the utility presents a serious hazard to the public.

DRAFT

5.17.02 APPLICABILITY

A. This section applies to public and private utility facilities and lines serving more than an individual use.

B. Utilities serving an individual use are considered part of the primary use and should be reviewed under the regulations for that use.

C. Water intake and water or fish conveyances between a waterbody and an aquaculture facility are not considered utilities under this section of the SMP. Consult SMP Section 5.06.

5.17.03 REGULATIONS

A. All utility system projects and maintenance shall be designed, located, and installed in a manner, which results in no net loss of ecological function.

B. Water-oriented utilities are allowed in the shoreline jurisdiction.

C. If a utility is required to be sited in shoreline jurisdiction, a mitigation plan prepared by a qualified professional must be prepared consistent with the provisions of SMP Section 4.04.

D. Where utilities must be located in shoreline jurisdiction, the utilities must:

   1. Be designed and constructed to meet all adopted engineering standards of the County.

   2. Provide for compatible, multiple use sites, and rights-of-way whenever feasible. Compatible uses include shoreline access points, trails, and other forms of recreation and transportation, provided these uses do not interfere with utility operation, endanger public health and safety, or cause a significant and disproportionate liability for the owner.

   3. Minimize processes affecting the rate of channel migration or shoreline erosion. Where this may occur, the Shoreline Administrator may require a monitoring plan and adaptive management measures prepared by a qualified professional as appropriate.

   4. Limit clearing to the minimum necessary for installation or maintenance. Impacts associated with removal of vegetation or clearing shall be mitigated on site.

E. In addition to the standards above, utility lines within the shoreline jurisdiction shall:

DRAFT

1. Be undergrounded in areas developed on an urban level, such as UGAs, Limited Areas of More Intensive Rural Development (LAMIRDs), and resorts, except where technical, environmental, or geological conditions make undergrounding infeasible.
2. Be sited within the footprint of an existing right-of-way or utility easement, wherever feasible in locations where right-of-ways and easements exist.
3. Avoid paralleling the shoreline or following a down-valley course near the channel, except where located in an existing road or easement footprint.

F. If an underwater location is necessary for the siting of utilities, the following performance standards apply:

1. The design, installation, and operation shall minimize impacts to the waterway and the resident aquatic ecosystems.
2. Seasonal work windows may be made a condition of approval.
3. All State and Federal permits must be obtained.
4. A maintenance schedule and emergency repair protocol shall be prepared and recorded.

G. After the installation of a utility system or the completion of a maintenance project, the disturbed area shall be regraded to match the natural terrain and replanted to prevent erosion and provide appropriate vegetative cover, including meeting standards of SMP Section 4.04.

DRAFT

6 SHORELINE MODIFICATION POLICIES & REGULATIONS

6.01 INTRODUCTION

Building on the general SMP goals found in SMP Chapter 2: Shoreline Management Goals, this chapter contains specific shoreline modifications policies and regulations that apply to those activities that modify the physical form of the shoreline in any shoreline environment designation. By definition, shoreline modifications activities are undertaken in support of or in preparation for a permitted shoreline use. A single permitted use may require several different shoreline modifications.

Shoreline modification activities include the construction of in-water structures, overwater structures and launching facilities, and shoreline stabilization measures, as well as actions such as clearing, grading, and the placement of fill, and dredging and dredge material disposal. At a minimum, shoreline modification policies and regulations are intended to assure no net loss of the ecological functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources.

Each section includes policies and regulations. Policies are statements of principles that guide and determine present and future decisions. Regulations are rules that govern developments, uses, or activities.

6.01.01 SHORELINE MODIFICATION TABLE

SMP Table 6-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Shoreline Modifications establish what specific shoreline modification activities are allowed within each of the shoreline environment designations. Shoreline modification activities may be permitted, allowed with a conditional use permit, or prohibited and not eligible for a shoreline conditional use permit or shoreline variance. Refer to individual standards in this chapter for a full explanation of modifications and required conditions for permitted uses.

DRAFT

Table 6-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Shoreline Modifications

Shoreline Modifications (1)(2)	High Intensity	Shoreline Residential	Rural Conservancy	Natural	Aquatic (2)
Key: P = Permitted Use, C = Conditional Use, X = Prohibited					
Clearing and Grading	P	P	P	C	See adjacent upland shoreline environment designation
Placement of Fill					
Placement of Fill Landward of OHWM	P	P	P	C	
Placement of Fill Waterward of OHWM (3)	C	C	C	C	
Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal (3)	C	C	C	C	
In-Water Structures (4)	C	C	C	C	
Restoration (5)	P	P	P	P	
Shoreline Stabilization					
Hard Shoreline Stabilization Measures	P	P	C	X	
Soft Shoreline Stabilization Measures	P	P	P	C	

Notes:

(1) In the event of a conflict between SMP Table 6-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Shoreline Modifications and the regulatory text, the text shall hold.

(2) Shoreline modifications occur at or have the potential to occur at or waterward of the OHWM in the Aquatic shoreline environment designation. Modifications are regulated based on the adjacent landward shoreline environment designation.

(3) In the shoreline environment designations where these activities are allowed, the placement of fill waterward of the OHWM and dredging are only permitted in limited situations. See SMP Sections 6.03 and 6.04 for requirements.

(4) All in-water structures require a shoreline conditional use permit, except when such structures are installed to protect or restore ecological functions, such as woody debris installed in streams. In such cases, it would be considered a permitted shoreline modification.

(5) Exemptions from shoreline permitting are available for certain restoration activities as outlined in WAC 173-27-040(2)(o) and WAC 173-27-040(2)(p). Projects are still required to comply with the SMP.

DRAFT

6.02 GENERAL SHORELINE MODIFICATION PROVISIONS

The following provisions apply to all shoreline modification activities, whether shoreline modifications address a single or multiple properties. Where other requirements may conflict with the provisions contained in this chapter, the more restrictive standard shall apply.

6.02.01 POLICIES

A. Ensure shoreline modifications individually and cumulatively do not result in a net loss of ecological functions.

B. Limit the number and extent of shoreline modification activities to reduce the negative effects of shoreline modifications to the greatest extent feasible.

C. Plan for enhancement of impaired ecological functions where it is feasible, appropriate, and accommodates permitted uses.

D. Allow only shoreline modifications that are appropriate to the specific shoreline environmental designation in which they are located.

E. Prefer those types of shoreline modifications that have a lesser impact on ecological functions. Promote soft over hard shoreline modification measures.

6.02.02 REGULATIONS

A. Structural shoreline modifications may be allowed if they are demonstrated to be necessary to support or protect a legally permitted shoreline structure or use that is in danger of loss or substantial damage or are necessary for mitigation or enhancement.

B. Shoreline modifications shall be limited in number and extent.

C. The Shoreline Administrator shall base all decisions regarding shoreline modification on available scientific and technical information and a comprehensive analysis of site-specific conditions provided by the applicant.

D. Shoreline modifications must be designed and located to ensure that they will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions and will not have significant adverse impacts to shoreline uses, resources, and values provided for in RCW 90.58.020.

E. Shoreline modifications and uses shall be designed and managed to prevent degradation of water quality and alteration of natural hydrographic conditions.

F. Shoreline modification standards shall not apply retroactively to existing, legally established shoreline modifications. Existing structures may be maintained, repaired, and operated within shoreline jurisdiction and within the shoreline buffers established

DRAFT

in the SMP. Repair and replacement provisions in later sections of this chapter may apply to specific modifications.

G. All disturbed upland areas shall be restored and protected from erosion by using native vegetation or other means.

H. All shoreline modifications are subject to the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03, with appropriate mitigation required for unavoidable impacts to ecological functions. If critical areas in shoreline jurisdiction are impacted, the project is also subject to relevant requirements of SMP Section 4.04.

6.03 CLEARING, GRADING, AND FILL

Clearing, grading, and the placement of fill are the activities associated with preparing a site for development, as well as physically altering topography. The clearing and grading regulations in this section apply to activities landward of the OHWM and the placement of fill applies both waterward and landward of the OHWM.

See SMP Section 6.04 for dredging for purposes of flood control, navigation, primary utility installation, the construction of water-dependent portions of essential public facilities, or restoration.

6.03.01 POLICIES

A. Protect shoreline ecological functions, including channel migration, by regulating clearing, grading, and the placement of fill.

B. Permit clearing, grading, and the placement of fill only to the minimum extent necessary to accommodate an approved shoreline use or development and with no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes.

C. Require that BMPs be utilized during clearing, grading, and fill activity.

D. Allow clearing, grading, and the placement of fill only as part of a permitted development in shoreline jurisdiction.

E. Permit clearing, grading, and the placement of fill associated with dike or levee maintenance as necessary to provide protection from flood hazards when consistent with the flood hazard management provisions in SMP Section 4.05.

F. Ensure that the placement of fill does not result in a loss of flood storage.

G. Encourage the enhancement and voluntary restoration of landforms for habitat along shorelines.

6.03.02 REGULATIONS

A. All clearing, grading, and the placement of fill shall be located, designed, and constructed to protect shoreline ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes, including channel migration.

B. Clearing, grading, and the placement of fill shall be minimized to the extent feasible and only allowed when necessary to accommodate an approved shoreline use or development.

C. Speculative clearing, grading, and the placement of fill are prohibited.

D. When clearing, grading, or the placement of fill causes adverse impacts to ecological functions, a mitigation plan prepared by a qualified professional must be prepared consistent with the provisions of SMP Section 4.04.

E. Clearing, grading, and the placement of fill within wetlands, floodways, or CMZs, and the placement of fill waterward of the OHWM, is only allowed when:

1. Due consideration has been given to the site specific conditions;
2. All impacts have been mitigated;
3. All required State and Federal permits have been obtained; and
4. The shoreline use or development is one of the following:
    a. A water-dependent use or public access to the shoreline;
    b. The cleanup and disposal of contaminated sediments as part of an interagency environmental clean-up plan;
    c. The disposal of dredged material considered suitable under, and conducted in accordance with, the WDNR's Dredged Material Management Program and the United States Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Dredged Material Management Office. See also SMP Section 6.04;
    d. The expansion or alteration of transportation facilities of statewide significance that are currently located in the shoreline, where alternatives to fill are infeasible;
    e. Ecological enhancement, restoration or mitigation, when consistent with an approved plan; or
    f. The protection of historic or cultural resources when fill is the most feasible method to avoid continued degradation, disturbance, or erosion of a site. Such

DRAFT

fill must be coordinated with any affected tribes and comply with applicable provisions of SMP Section 4.02.

F. All placement of fill waterward of the OHWM that is not associated with an ecological restoration project shall require a shoreline conditional use permit.

G. Upland clearing, grading and the placement of fill outside of wetlands, floodways, and CMZs is permitted provided it:

1. Is the minimum necessary to implement the approved use or modification;
2. Does not significantly change the topography of the landscape in a manner that affects hydrology or increases the risk of slope failure, consistent with the applicable provisions of SMP Section 4.04; and
3. Is conducted outside required shoreline buffers, unless specifically authorized by the SMP, or is necessary to provide protection to historic or cultural resources.

H. Grading, the placement of fill, and beach nourishment shall be designed to blend physically and visually with the existing topography whenever feasible, so as not to interfere with lawful access and enjoyment of scenery.

I. Clearing, grading, and the placement of fill shall not be located where shoreline stabilization will be necessary to protect the materials placed or removed, except when part of an approved plan for protection of historic or cultural resources, or as part of an approved environmental cleanup plan or project.

J. Cut and fill slopes shall generally be sloped no steeper than one foot vertical for every two feet horizontal (1:2) unless a specific engineering analysis has been provided that demonstrates the stability of a steeper slope.

K. A temporary erosion and sediment control plan, including BMPs, consistent with the County's stormwater manual, shall be submitted to and approved by the Shoreline Administrator prior to commencement of all clearing, grading, and fill activities.

L. To prevent a loss of flood storage, compensatory storage shall be provided commensurate with the amount of fill placed in the floodway per SMP Section 4.05.

M. The placement of fill on State-owned aquatic lands must comply with WDNR and WDFW standards and regulations.

6.04 DREDGING AND DREDGE MATERIAL DISPOSAL

This section is intended to cover dredging and dredge material disposal. It is not intended to cover mining or other excavations waterward of the OHWM that are incidental to construction

of an authorized use or modification such as bulkhead replacements, large woody debris installations, boat launch ramp installation, or pile placement. These in-water substrate modifications should be conducted in accordance with all applicable regulations for the proposed use found in the SMP.

6.04.01 POLICIES

A. Conduct dredging in a manner that utilizes mitigation sequencing and ensures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

B. Allow dredging for navigation channels when needed to assure safe and efficient accommodation of existing navigational uses only when significant ecological impacts are minimized and mitigated.

C. Permit dredging as part of restoration or enhancement, public access, flood storage, or navigation if deemed consistent with the SMP.

D. Prohibit dredging waterward of the OHWM to obtain fill material except when the dredge material is necessary for the restoration of shoreline ecological functions or as part of a flood hazard management program.

E. Site new development to avoid the need for new and maintenance dredging. Where avoidance is not feasible, ensure the site is designed to minimize the need for dredging.

F. Prefer the disposal of dredged material on land outside of the shoreline jurisdiction to open water disposal.

G. Coordinate local, State, and Federal permit requirements for dredging.

6.04.02 REGULATIONS

A. Dredging

1. Dredging and dredge disposal proposals shall utilize the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03. Where adverse impacts are unavoidable, a mitigation plan shall be prepared by a qualified professional consistent with the provisions of SMP Section 4.04.

2. Dredging shall only be permitted for the following activities:

    a. Development of new or expanded moorages or water-dependent industrial uses where there are no other feasible alternatives, significant ecological impacts are minimized, and mitigation is provided.

    b. Development of essential public facilities where no feasible alternative location exists.

c. Maintenance of irrigation reservoirs, drains, canals, and ditches for agricultural purposes, when the facility is not already exempt from the SMP.

d. Restoration or enhancement of shoreline ecological functions and processes that benefit water quality or fish and wildlife habitat.

e. Trenching to allow the installation of underground utilities, if no feasible alternative location for the utilities exists, and:

1) Impacts to fish and wildlife habitat are minimized to the maximum extent feasible;

2) The utility installation does not increase or decrease the natural rate, extent, or chance of channel migration; and

3) Appropriate BMPs are employed to prevent water quality impacts or other environmental degradation.

f. Establishment, expansion, relocation, or reconfiguration of navigation channels where necessary to assure the safe and efficient accommodation of existing navigational uses.

g. Maintenance dredging of established navigation channels and basins, so long as the dredging is restricted to the previously dredged or authorized location, depth, and width.

h. Flood hazard reduction.

3. Applicants must receive all applicable State and Federal permits prior to the commencement of any dredging.

4. Dredging shall be prohibited for the primary purpose of obtaining fill material, except when permitted under SMP Section 4.05 or when necessary for the restoration of shoreline ecological functions. In the latter case:

a. Dredge material must be placed waterward of the OHWM.

b. The project must be associated with either a MTCA or CERCLA habitat restoration project or, if the project is approved through a shoreline conditional use permit, the project may be another significant habitat enhancement project.

5. New development shall be sited and designed to avoid or minimize the need for new or maintenance dredging.

B. Dredge Material Disposal

1. Dredge material disposal within shoreline jurisdiction may be permitted so long as:

a. Shoreline ecological functions and processes are preserved, restored, or enhanced. Factors to consider include surface and groundwater protection, erosion, sedimentation, and the impacts of floodwaters or runoff.

b. The disposal will not adversely affect public or private property.

2. The disposal of dredged material is considered suitable under, and conducted in accordance with, the Washington State Department of Natural Resources' (WDNR's) Dredged Material Management Program and the United States Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Dredged Material Management Office.

3. Disposal of dredge material within CMZs is discouraged. In the limited instances where it is allowed, such disposal shall require a shoreline conditional use permit, if this provision is not intended to address the discharge of dredge material into the flowing current of a river or in deep water within the channel where it does not substantially affect the geohydrologic character of the CMZ.

4. Dredge material disposal in open waters may be approved when authorized by applicable State and Federal agencies and when one of the following conditions apply:

   a. Land disposal is infeasible, inconsistent with the SMP, or prohibited by law; or

   b. Disposal as part of a program to restore or enhance shoreline ecological functions and processes is not feasible.

5. If applicable, the use of dredge material to benefit shoreline resources shall be addressed through the implementation of a regional interagency dredge material management plan or watershed plan.

C. Submittal Requirements

A detailed description of the purpose of the proposed dredging and an analysis of compliance with the policies and regulations of the SMP shall be required for all dredging applications. Materials prepared for State or Federal permits such as an HPA may be used to support the analysis.

6.05 IN-WATER STRUCTURES

This section applies to in-water structures as defined in Chapter 8 of this SMP.

6.05.01 POLICIES

A. Design in-water structures to be compatible with the long-term use of resources, such as public access, recreation, and fish migration.

B. Locate, design, construct, and maintain in-water structures to give due consideration to:

   1. The full range of public interests;
   2. Watershed processes, including prevention of damage to other properties and other shoreline resources from alterations to geologic and hydrologic processes;
   3. Scenic vistas;
   4. Historic and cultural resources; and
   5. Ecological functions, with special emphasis on protecting and restoring priority habitats and species.

C. Site and design in-water structures to be consistent with appropriate engineering principles, including guidelines of the WDFW, NRCS, and the USACE.

D. Incorporate applicable watershed, surface water management, and restoration plans in the planning and design of in-water structures.

E. Encourage nonstructural and non-regulatory methods to protect, enhance, and restore shoreline resources and ecological functions as an alternative to in-water structures.

F. Consider alternatives to hard in-water structures, such as soft in-water structures or several smaller discontinuous structures, as part of an application where physical conditions make such alternatives with less impact feasible.

G. Incorporate native vegetation as part of the design of in-water structures to enhance ecological functions, create a more natural appearance, improve ecological processes, and provide more flexibility for long-term shoreline management.

H. Require a shoreline conditional use permit for dams, weirs, and similar structures, except for those structures installed to protect or restore ecological functions, such as woody debris, engineered logjams, or habitat-forming rock weirs installed in streams.

I. Only allow groins and weirs to be placed waterward of the OHWM in limited instances.

6.05.02 REGULATIONS

A. In-water structures shall require a shoreline conditional use permit, except for those structures installed to protect or restore ecological functions, such as woody debris installed in streams.

B. In-water structures shall be designed, constructed, and maintained to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

C. A professional engineer licensed in the State shall certify the designs of all in-water structures and include a monitoring and maintenance schedule.

D. Appropriate engineering principles and BMPs, including guidelines of the WDFW, NRCS, and the USACE, shall be used in the design of in-water structures, provided that their use infringes on private property rights to the minimum extent necessary to serve the primary purpose of the in-water structure. WDFW's Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines may be used for BMPs for in-water structures.

E. The mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03 shall be required, with mitigation required for all unavoidable impacts to ecological functions. If critical areas in the shoreline jurisdiction are impacted, the project is subject to SMP Section 4.04.

F. Projects involving in-water work may not commence without having obtained all applicable County, State, and Federal permits and approvals.

G. If at any time, because of in-water work, fish are observed to be in distress or water quality problems develop, immediate notification shall be made to the appropriate State or Federal agencies, including Ecology, WDFW, National Marine Fisheries Service, or United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

H. Alteration or disturbance of the bank and bank vegetation shall be limited to the minimum necessary to perform the in-water work. All disturbed areas shall be protected from erosion and be restored using vegetation or other means.

I. Waste material resulting from the installation and removal of an in-water structure shall be deposited in an approved upland disposal site outside of the shoreline jurisdiction.

J. Natural in-water features such as snags, uprooted trees, or stumps should be left in place unless removal is approved by WDFW.

K. Motor vehicles, appliances, or other solid waste shall not be used as in-water structures. Demolition debris that is non-toxic, non-chemically contaminating, reclaimed materials may be used.

L. In-water structures designed by public entities shall include public access under SMP Section 4.06 whenever feasible. At a minimum, in-water structures should not decrease public access or the use potential of shorelines.

M. In-water structures and uses shall be sited and designed to avoid the need for future shoreline stabilization and dredging.

N. New, expanded, or replacement in-water structures shall only be permitted if it can be demonstrated that:

1. The proposed structure utilizes BMPs and will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions;
2. The proposed in-water structure supports water-dependent uses, public access, shoreline stabilization, shoreline restoration, or some other specific public purpose; and
3. The benefits to the region outweigh the short and long-term resource losses from such work.

6.06 RESTORATION

Shoreline habitat and natural systems enhancement and restoration projects include those activities proposed and conducted specifically for the purpose of establishing, restoring, or enhancing habitat for priority species in shorelines.

Examples of shoreline habitat and natural systems enhancement projects include floodplain restoration projects, fish passage barrier removal or improvement, and projects to increase shoreline habitat complexity, among others.

6.06.01 POLICIES

A. Use principles of landscape and conservation ecology to design restoration and enhancement actions and improve shoreline ecological functions and processes. Consider the restoration of ecosystem-wide physical and biological processes that affect shoreline habitat structure and functions as the primary goal of these actions.

B. Encourage cooperative shoreline restoration and enhancement programs between local, State, and Federal agencies, tribes, nonprofit organizations, and landowners to improve impaired ecological functions.

C. Target restoration and enhancement projects that support the life cycles of priority species, such as Chinook salmon and other anadromous fish; locally important plants, fish and wildlife; and other populations or habitats for which a prioritized restoration or recovery plan is available.

D. Encourage restoration and enhancement projects by developing project permitting and processing guidelines that streamline permit review.

E. Seek and support funding opportunities to implement restoration and enhancement projects.

F. Avoid adverse impacts to critical areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, water quality, and water storage capacity in all shoreline restoration and enhancement projects.

6.06.02 REGULATIONS

A. The Lewis County Shoreline Restoration Plan, and the plans of the Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board, the Chehalis Basin Lead Entity, and other salmon recovery lead entities, identify potential restoration priorities and projects in shoreline areas throughout the County. These plans may be used as a guide for shoreline restoration and enhancement projects.

B. All shoreline restoration and enhancement projects shall be designed and implemented by qualified professionals using best available science (BAS) and BMPs.

C. Shoreline restoration and enhancement projects shall protect the integrity of onsite and adjacent natural resources, including aquatic and terrestrial habitats, processes, and properties.

D. Shoreline restoration and enhancement projects shall demonstrate that no significant adverse change to river current, sediment transport, or water quality will result from the project.

E. Restoration and enhancement projects shall be designed, maintained, and monitored to ensure long-term success. Measures to ensure the success of the project shall be identified by a qualified professional in any plan or details submitted for the project. Monitoring periods should generally not be less than three years.

F. Shoreline restoration and enhancement efforts shall not significantly interfere with the normal public use of the navigable waters of the State without appropriate mitigation. For projects on State-owned aquatic lands, project proponents must coordinate with the WDNR to ensure the project will be appropriately located, prior to the solicitation of permits from regulatory agencies.

G. Shoreline restoration and ecological enhancement projects are permitted in all shoreline environment designations and may include shoreline modification actions such as clearing, shoreline stabilization, dredging or filling, provided that the primary

purpose of such actions is clearly restoration of the natural character and ecological functions of the shoreline.

H. Review of restoration projects shall occur as follows:

   a. Projects that qualify as streamlined fish enhancement projects per RCW 77.55.181 shall be reviewed by the Department of Fish and Wildlife, and not be considered under this section.

   b. Restoration projects that are not subject to RCW 77.55.181 shall be reviewed under this section. Certain projects may be exempt from the requirement for a Shoreline Substantial Development per RCW 90.58.147.

I. In accordance with RCW 90.58.580, a shoreline substantial development permit may not be required for development within an UGA that takes place on land that is brought under shoreline jurisdiction due to a shoreline restoration project. Any relief granted shall be strictly in accordance with the limited provisions of RCW 90.58.580, including the specific approval of Ecology.

6.07 SHORELINE STABILIZATION

Shoreline stabilization includes structural and nonstructural measures taken to address erosion impacts caused by natural processes, such as currents, floods, and waves. "Hard" structural shoreline stabilization measures include solid, hard surfaces, such as concrete or boulder bulkheads. "Soft" structural shoreline stabilization measures rely on less rigid materials, such as anchored logs, limited rock placement in conjunction with other components, and beach enhancement.

Generally, the harder the structural shoreline stabilization measure, the greater the impact on shoreline processes. Nonstructural shoreline stabilization measures include shoreline buffers, relocation of structures, groundwater management, and planning and regulatory measures to avoid the need for stabilization structures.

6.07.01 POLICIES

A. Use structural shoreline stabilization measures only when nonstructural shoreline stabilization measures have been determined to be infeasible. The use of shoreline stabilization measures should be based on the following hierarchy of preference:

1. Take no action. Allow the shoreline to retreat naturally, increase shoreline buffers, and relocate structures.
2. Use flexible, bioengineered structures constructed of natural materials such as protective berms, large woody debris, or vegetative stabilization.
3. Employ rigid structures constructed of artificial materials such as riprap or concrete.

B. Locate and design shoreline stabilization measures to fit the physical character of the specific shoreline reach, which may differ substantially from adjacent reaches.

C. Coordinate the development of shoreline stabilization measures between affected property owners and public agencies and ensure those measures infringe on private property rights to the minimum extent necessary.

D. Consider the probable effects of proposed shoreline stabilization measures on neighboring properties.

E. Restrict the size of new shoreline stabilization structures to the minimum necessary.

F. Only permit new or expanded shoreline stabilization structures in limited instances.

G. Locate, design, and maintain shoreline stabilization structures to protect and maintain shoreline ecological functions, ongoing shoreline processes, and the integrity of shoreline features.

H. Locate and design shoreline stabilization structures to avoid the need for future structures where feasible.

I. Prohibit the installation of shoreline stabilization structures to create additional property.

J. Design land subdivisions to assure that future development on created lots will not require shoreline stabilization structures for reasonable development to occur.

K. Require new development on steep slopes or bluffs to be set back so that the need for shoreline stabilization structures is unlikely during the life of the development.

L. Prohibit new development requiring shoreline stabilization structures that are likely to cause adverse impacts to adjacent or down-current properties and shoreline areas.

M. Incorporate multiple use, restoration, and public shoreline access in the location, design, and maintenance of shoreline stabilization structures for public developments, whenever compatible with the primary purpose of the shoreline stabilization.

N. Utilize BMPs in the design of shoreline stabilization structures.

DRAFT

O. Allow new or expanded shoreline stabilization structures for ecological enhancement and restoration projects, or hazardous substance remediation projects only when nonstructural measures are infeasible or would be insufficient to achieve enhancement, restoration, or remediation objectives.

6.07.02 REGULATIONS

A. Design and Location of New Development

1. New development shall be located and designed to avoid the need for future shoreline stabilization measures to the extent feasible.
2. New developments that require shoreline stabilization measures that cause significant impacts to adjacent or down-current properties and shorelines shall not be allowed.
3. Land subdivisions shall be designed to assure that future development of the created lots will not require shoreline stabilization structures for reasonable development to occur as demonstrated by a geotechnical analysis of the site and shoreline conditions.
4. New development on steep slopes or bluffs shall be set back sufficiently to ensure that shoreline stabilization structures are unlikely to be necessary during the life of the development as demonstrated by a geotechnical analysis.
5. If the applicant submits a proposal that is consistent with the critical area buffer requirements in SMP Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations, and is expected to have little need for future shoreline stabilization as proposed, the Shoreline Administrator may waive the need for a geotechnical analysis to be conducted per Sections 6.07.02(A)(3) and (4).

B. Repair and Maintenance of Existing Shoreline Stabilization Structures

1. The following items distinguish between maintenance and repair of a shoreline stabilization structure and a new structure:
    a. Maintenance and repair includes modifications to an existing shoreline stabilization structure that is designed to ensure the continued function of the existing structure.
    b. A modification that increases the size of the existing shoreline stabilization structure shall be considered a new structure, not maintenance or repair.

DRAFT

c. Replacement of greater than 50 percent or 35 feet of linear length of an existing shoreline stabilization structure, whichever is smaller, as measured on a cumulative basis since the structure was established, is not considered repair or maintenance, and is considered a new structure.

d. Removal of an existing shoreline stabilization structure, including its footing or bottom course of rock, prior to the placement of a new structure, is considered a new structure for the purposes of this section. Removal of only the material above the footings or bottom course of rock is not considered a new structure and qualifies as maintenance and repair.

e. The placement of a new shoreline stabilization structure landward of a failing shoreline stabilization structure shall be considered a new structure subject to all the requirements of SMP Section 6.07, not maintenance or repair.

2. When an application proposes repair and maintenance of an existing legally established shoreline stabilization structure, it is subject to the following standards:

   a. Repair and maintenance of existing shoreline stabilization structures must be consistent with the requirements of SMP Section 4.04.

   b. Areas of temporary disturbance within the shoreline buffer associated with maintenance and repair shall be restored to their pre-project condition within 30 days.

3. Repair of shoreline stabilization structures meeting all the criteria for exemption from a shoreline substantial development permit must still comply with SMP Section 6.07.02(F) and the SMP.

C. Replacement or Enlargement of Existing Shoreline Stabilization Structures

1. Replacement or enlargement of an existing shoreline stabilization structure shall be considered a new structure.

2. For purposes of this section, replacement means the construction of a new structure to perform the shoreline stabilization function of an existing structure that can no longer adequately serve its purpose.

D. Standards to Demonstrate Need for Flood Hazard Stabilization Structures

Where a project is specially intended to reduce flood hazards, a flood hazard shoreline stabilization structure shall only be allowed when a scientific and engineering analysis demonstrates:

1. That the structure is necessary to protect existing development;
2. That nonstructural measures are not feasible;
3. That impacts on ecological functions and priority species and habitats can be successfully mitigated so as to assure no net loss; and
4. That appropriate vegetation conservation actions are undertaken consistent with SMP Chapter 4.04.

E. Standards to Demonstrate Need for Other Shoreline Stabilization Structures

1. New shoreline stabilization structures shall only be allowed, when demonstrated to be necessary as follows:
   a. To protect an existing primary structure, including a residence, if there is conclusive evidence documented by a geotechnical analysis that the primary structure is in danger from shoreline erosion caused by natural processes. Normal sloughing, erosion of steep bluffs, or shoreline erosion in itself, without a geotechnical analysis, is not demonstration of need. The geotechnical analysis shall evaluate on-site drainage issues and address problems away from the OHWM before considering new shoreline stabilization structures.
   b. In support of water-dependent development when all of the conditions below apply:
      1) Site erosion is not being caused by upland conditions, such as drainage and the loss of vegetation;
      2) Nonstructural measures, such as planting vegetation or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or sufficient to address erosion causes or impacts adequately; and
      3) The need to protect primary structures from damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical analysis.
   c. In support of new non-water-dependent development, including residences, when all of the conditions from water-dependent development from SMP Section 6.07.02(D)(1)(b) apply and nonstructural measures, such as placing the proposed development farther from the shoreline are not feasible or sufficient to address the erosion impacts adequately. Natural processes must be causing the shoreline erosion.

DRAFT

d. To protect historic or cultural resources, or as part of restoration or hazardous substance remediation projects pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW, when nonstructural measures, such as planting vegetation or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or sufficient to adequately address the causes of erosion or avoid continued degradation, disturbance, or erosion of a site.

2. A geotechnical analysis is not required when an applicant proposes to replace an existing shoreline stabilization structure with a softer measure, unless an analysis is deemed necessary by the Shoreline Administrator. To help determine the need for a geotechnical analysis, the applicant shall submit site photographs and a written narrative that describes the need to protect the primary uses or structures from erosion caused by waves or other natural processes operating at or waterward of the OHWM.

3. Replacement of hard shoreline stabilization structures shall not encroach waterward of the OHWM or the existing shoreline stabilization measure unless the primary residence was constructed prior to January 1, 1992, and there are overriding safety or environmental concerns. In such cases, the replacement for the shoreline stabilization structure shall be attached to and waterward of the existing structure. All other replacement of hard stabilization structures shall be located at or landward of the existing shoreline stabilization measure.

F. General Design Standards

1. Shoreline stabilization measures shall not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological function.

2. When a hard or soft shoreline stabilization structure is demonstrated to be necessary, the following design standards shall be incorporated as part of the design:

   a. Impacts to sediment transport shall be avoided, minimized, or mitigated.

   b. Shoreline stabilization structures shall be the minimum size necessary by height, depth, and mass, and not extend waterward more than the minimum amount needed to achieve effective stabilization, except for those elements that enhance shoreline ecological functions and minimize impacts.

   c. Soft structural shoreline stabilization measures shall be used to the maximum extent feasible for new, enlarged, or replacement shoreline stabilization

structures, unless demonstrated insufficient to protect primary structures in a geotechnical analysis.

d. When feasible, hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall be limited to the portion of the site necessary to protect primary structures or connect to existing shoreline stabilization measures on adjacent properties.

e. All clearing, grading, and the placement of fill associated with shoreline stabilization structures shall be conducted landward of the OHWM to the maximum extent feasible unless it is infeasible due to safety or environmental concerns.

f. The placement of fill behind shoreline stabilization structures is limited to one cubic yard per running foot of stabilization. The placement of fill in excess of this amount shall be considered a regulated activity subject to the regulations in SMP Section 6.03 and require a shoreline substantial development permit or shoreline conditional use permit.

g. All approved new, enlarged, or replacement shoreline stabilization structures shall be designed using BMPs, including WDFW's Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines, and minimize and mitigate unavoidable adverse impacts to ecological functions, consistent with SMP Section 4.04, and to public and private properties.

h. All new, enlarged, or replacement shoreline stabilization structures shall mitigate adverse impacts to ecological functions and public and private properties. Mitigation measures shall be identified by the project proponent as part of the project application, and may be supplemented by the County, or State or Federal agencies, depending on the level of impact.

i. New structures that are specifically designed for flood hazard reduction shall be placed landward of associated wetlands and designated vegetation conservation areas, except when the measure would increase ecological functions, such as when included as part of a wetland restoration. Provided that, such flood hazard reduction structures may be authorized if it is determined that no other alternative to reduce the flood hazard to existing development is feasible. The need for, and analysis of feasible alternatives to, structural improvements shall be documented through a geotechnical analysis.

j. When a new shoreline stabilization structure is proposed on a site where adjacent properties do not have shoreline stabilization structures, the new

DRAFT

structure shall tie in with the existing contours of the adjoining properties, as feasible, to prevent erosion of the neighboring land.

k. When a new shoreline stabilization structure is proposed on a site where adjacent properties have shoreline stabilization structures, the new structure may tie in with the existing structures on the adjoining properties. The new structure shall minimize, to the maximum extent feasible, the portion of the new structure that is waterward of the OHWM to connect to the existing structures.

l. Shoreline stabilization structures shall be designed to remain stable during storm events, flood events on rivers, and wave conditions on lakes.

m. Shoreline stabilization shall be designed not to significantly interfere with normal surface or subsurface drainage into the adjacent waterbody.

n. All shoreline stabilization shall be designed to avoid hazards to navigation.

o. Shoreline stabilization shall be designed to not restrict appropriate public access to the shoreline. Where a shoreline stabilization structure is required at a public access site, provisions for safe access to the water shall be incorporated into the design.

p. Publicly financed or subsidized shoreline erosion control measures shall allow appropriate public access to the shoreline except where such access is determined to be infeasible because of incompatible uses, safety, security, or harm to ecological functions or the rights of adjacent landowners. In cases where the property has been taken by eminent domain, the condemning agency shall not allow public access unless it informs the court and property owner(s) that that the land is being taken for the purpose, potentially among others, of providing public access to the shoreline.

q. Stairs or other water access measures may be incorporated into shoreline stabilization design, provided that they do not extend waterward of the OHWM.

G. Submittal Requirements

In addition to submitting an application for the appropriate shoreline permit, the applicant shall submit a geotechnical analysis prepared by an engineer licensed by the State as part of a request to construct a new, enlarged, or replacement shoreline stabilization structure. This analysis must include:

1. Adequate provisions to address the standards for geotechnical reports in WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(iii)(D).

2. Detailed construction plans for all shoreline stabilization structures, including, but not limited to, the following:
    a. Plan and cross-section views of the existing and proposed shoreline configuration, showing OHWM and accurate existing and proposed topography;
    b. A detailed construction sequence and specifications for all materials; and
    c. A mitigation and monitoring plan to ensure no net loss of shoreline functions.

DRAFT

7 SHORELINE ADMINISTRATION

7.01 INTRODUCTION

SMP Chapter 7: Shoreline Administration describes the administrative procedures and enforcement of a permit system that implements the SMP, together with amendments or additions thereto. Issuance of a shoreline permit or letter of exemption from the Shoreline Administrator does not exclude the requirements for other County, State, and Federal permits, procedures, and regulations.

7.02 PERMIT PROCESSING - GENERAL

7.02.01 SHORELINE ADMINISTRATOR

A. The Shoreline Administrator shall be responsible for the administration of the permit system in accordance with the requirements of the SMA and regulations adopted as part of the SMP as it pertains to the County. This shall include, but not be limited to, determinations of whether a development is exempt or requires a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance.

B. The Shoreline Administrator shall ensure that administrative provisions are in place so that SMP permit procedures and enforcement are conducted in a manner consistent with relevant constitutional limitations on regulation of private property.

C. Administrative Interpretations

   1. The Shoreline Administrator shall have authority to interpret this SMP when such interpretation is clearly consistent with the goals and policies of the SMP and the SMA.

   2. As part of this process, the Shoreline Administrator shall consult with Ecology to insure that formal written interpretations are consistent with the purpose and intent of the SMA and Chapter 173-26 WAC.

   3. Formal interpretations shall be kept on file by the County and shall be available for public review, and shall periodically be incorporated into the SMP during required update processes.

D. The Shoreline Administrator shall review every application that is submitted and determine if the application is complete based upon the information required by this section.

E. The Shoreline Administrator may recommend conditions to the Hearing Examiner for the approval of permits as necessary to ensure consistency of the project with the SMA and the SMP.

7.02.02 PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL SHORELINE PERMITS

A. Unless specifically exempted by statute, all proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to the development standards in the LCC, Chapter 90.58 RCW, the SMA, and this SMP, whether or not a permit is required.

B. No authorization to undertake a use or development on Shorelines of the State shall be granted by the County, unless, upon review, the use or development is determined to be consistent with the policy and provisions of the SMP.

C. Applications for shoreline substantial development permits, conditional use permits, and variances shall be processed in accordance with the provisions of LCC Chapter 17.25; if, where the provisions of the County code and the administration and permitting provisions of the SMP conflict, the provisions of the SMP shall apply.

D. The applicant shall meet all of the review criteria for all development found in WAC 173-27-140.

E. A shoreline substantial development shall not be undertaken within the County unless a shoreline substantial development permit has been obtained, the appeal period has been completed, and any appeals have been resolved.

F. All purchasers or transferees of property shall comply with the provisions of the SMA, the SMP, and any shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, permit revision, or letter of exemption.

7.02.03 APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Applications for shoreline permits or letters of exemptions shall be made on forms provided by the Shoreline Administrator. An applicant for a shoreline substantial development permit, who wishes to request a shoreline conditional use permit or variance, shall submit the shoreline conditional use permit or variance application(s) and the shoreline substantial development permit application simultaneously.

Applications shall be substantially consistent with the information required by WAC 173-27-180 and include any additional submittals deemed necessary by the Shoreline Administrator for proper review of the proposal.

7.03 APPLICATION - NOTICES

The following is applicable for the notice requirements of all notices related to actions under the SMP:

A. Within 14 days from making a determination of complete application, the Shoreline Administrator shall provide public notice of the application. Notice of environmental review under SEPA (Chapter 43.21C RCW) may be combined with the application notice.

B. The public notice shall include:

   1. The date the application was made and the date the application was determined to be complete;
   2. A description of the proposed project action and a list of the project permits included in the subject application;
   3. The identification of other permits not included in the subject application, if known;
   4. The identification of existing environmental documents that evaluate the proposed project and where such documents may be reviewed;
   5. A statement of the public comment period, which shall be at least 30 days;
   6. The date, time, and place of the public hearing, if any;
   7. A statement of preliminary determination, if one has been made; and
   8. Any other information determined appropriate by the Shoreline Administrator.

C. The Shoreline Administrator shall provide notice by at least one of the following noticing methods:

   1. Mailing of the notice to the latest recorded real property owners as shown by the records of the Lewis County Assessor within 300 feet of the property boundary of the subject proposal;
   2. Posting the notice in a conspicuous manner on the property upon which the project is to be undertaken; or
   3. Publishing the notice in the local newspaper.
   4. Any other manner deemed appropriate by the Shoreline Administrator to accomplish the objectives of reasonable notice to adjacent landowners and the public.

DRAFT

D. The notification system shall also provide notice to all agencies with jurisdiction in the proposal per Chapter 43.21C RCW and to all other agencies that request in writing any such notice.

E. The Shoreline Administrator shall give notice of the application no less than 30 days prior to permit issuance.

F. When a public hearing is required, public notice shall be given at least 15 days before the public hearing. The notice shall include a statement that a person desiring to present his/her views may do so orally or in writing at the public hearing, or may submit written comments prior to the public hearing which will be provided to the Hearing Examiner.

G. The public notice shall also state that a person interested in the Hearing Examiner action on an application for a permit may notify the Shoreline Administrator of his/her interest in writing within 30 days of the last date of publication of the notice. Such notification to the Shoreline Administrator or the submission of views to the Hearing Examiner shall entitle said persons to a copy of the action taken on the application.

7.04 SHORELINE PERMITS AND APPROVALS

7.04.01 SHORELINE SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

A. An applicant shall meet all of the review criteria for a shoreline substantial development permit listed in WAC 173-27-150.

B. A shoreline substantial development permit shall be granted by the Shoreline Administrator without a public hearing unless the Shoreline Administrator determines that the proposed development is one of broad public significance and requires a public hearing before the Hearing Examiner.

C. If a public hearing is required, the Hearing Examiner shall grant a shoreline substantial development permit with conditions after the Shoreline Administrator completes a recommendation to the examiner that may contain conditions for the approval of permits as necessary to assure consistency of the proposal with the above criteria.

7.04.02 SHORELINE CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS

A. The criteria in WAC 173-27-140 and WAC 173-27-160 shall constitute the minimum criteria for review and approval of a shoreline conditional use permit. Additional criteria

may be considered when deemed necessary by the Shoreline Administrator in accordance with WAC 173-27-210.

B. Uses that are not classified or set forth in the SMP may be authorized as conditional uses provided the applicant can demonstrate consistency with the requirements of this section and the requirements for conditional uses contained in the SMP.

C. Uses that are specifically prohibited may not be authorized.

D. The Hearing Examiner may attach conditions to the approval of permits as necessary to assure consistency of the proposal with the above criteria.

E. The decision of the Hearing Examiner shall be the final decision of the County. Ecology shall be the final authority authorizing a shoreline conditional use permit consistent with WAC 173-27-200.

7.04.03 SHORELINE VARIANCES

A. The criteria in WAC 173-27-140 and WAC 173-027-170 shall constitute the minimum criteria for review and approval of a shoreline variance. Additional criteria may be considered when deemed necessary by the Shoreline Administrator in accordance with WAC 173-27-210.

B. Uses that are specifically prohibited may not be authorized.

C. The Hearing Examiner may attach conditions to the approval of the variance as necessary to assure consistency of the proposal with the above criteria.

D. The decision of the Hearing Examiner shall be the final decision of the County. Ecology shall be the final authority authorizing a shoreline variance consistent with WAC 173-27-200.

7.04.04 SHORELINE LETTERS OF EXEMPTION

The following is applicable for all shoreline letters of exemption:

A. A letter of exemption shall be required for a development that is exempt from the requirements for a shoreline substantial development permit.

B. To qualify for a letter of exemption, the proposed use, activity, or development must meet all of the requirements for an exemption. Exemptions and the standards for interpreting exemptions are found in WAC 173-27-040.

C. Before determining that a proposal is exempt, the Shoreline Administrator may conduct a site inspection and/or request additional information to ensure that the proposal meets the exemption criteria.

D. For exempt development proposals subject to review, approval, and permitting by a State or Federal agency in shoreline jurisdiction or identified in this SMP as requiring a shoreline letter of exemption, the Shoreline Administrator shall prepare a letter of exemption in accordance with WAC 173-27-050(1). The letter of exemption shall indicate the specific exemption provisions from WAC 173-27-040(2) that are being applied to the development and shall provide a summary of the analysis demonstrating consistency of the project with the SMA and the SMP. The letter of exemption granted may be conditioned to ensure that the activity is consistent with the SMA and the SMP.

E. Ecology is designated as the coordinating agency for the State with regard to permits issued by the USACE. The following is intended to facilitate Ecology's coordination of actions, with regard to exempt development, with Federal permit review.

1. The Shoreline Administrator shall prepare a letter of exemption, and transmit a copy to the applicant and Ecology whenever a development is determined by the Shoreline Administrator to be exempt from the shoreline substantial development permit requirements and the development is subject to one or more of the following Federal permit requirements:

   a. A USACE Section 10 permit under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. The provisions of Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act generally apply to a project occurring on or over navigable waters. Specific applicability information should be obtained from the USACE; or

   b. A Section 404 permit under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972. The provisions of Section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act generally apply to a project that may involve discharge of dredge or fill material to any water or wetland area. Specific applicability information should be obtained from the USACE.

2. Ecology will be notified prior to issuance of the letter of exemption.

7.05 PUBLIC HEARINGS AND DECISIONS

7.05.01 BURDEN OF PROOF FOR DEVELOPMENT CONFORMANCE

A. The burden of proving that the proposed development is consistent with the criteria set forth in the SMP, as well as the requirements of the SMA shall be on the applicant.

7.05.02 PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS

A. The Hearing Examiner shall hold a single open record public hearing for each application that requires a public hearing. This open record hearing shall combine any hearings for a shoreline conditional use permit or variance, and each substantial development permit where the conditions of SMP Section 7.04.01(A) are met. The Hearing Examiner will make the final decision on the proposal at a closed record hearing.

B. If, for any reason, testimony on a matter set for public hearing, or being heard, cannot be completed on the date set for such hearing, the Hearing Examiner may, before adjournment or recess of such matters under consideration, publicly announce the time and place of the continued hearing and no further notice is required.

C. When the Hearing Examiner renders the final decision, the Hearing Examiner shall make and enter written findings from the record and conclusions thereof, which support the decision. The findings and conclusions shall set forth the manner in which the decision is consistent with the criteria set forth in the SMA and County regulations.

7.05.03 NOTICE OF DECISION

A. The Shoreline Administrator shall notify the following persons in writing within 14 days of final approval, conditional approval, or disapproval of a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance:

1. The applicant;
2. Ecology;
3. The State Attorney General;
4. Any person who has provided written or oral comments on the application or the public hearing; and
5. Any person who has written the Shoreline Administrator requesting notification.

7.05.04 DEVELOPMENT START

A. Development in accordance with a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance shall not be authorized until 21 days from the date of filing of the approved shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance with Ecology and the Attorney General, or until all review proceedings initiated within 21 days of the date of such filing have been terminated.

B. The date of filing of a substantial development permit is the date of receipt by Ecology of the County's decision.

DRAFT

C. Shoreline conditional use permits and variances are subject to Ecology review and approval before the 21-day period starts. The date of filing of a shoreline conditional use permit or variance is the date Ecology's decision is transmitted to the County.

7.05.05 APPEALS OF DECISIONS

A. Any person aggrieved by the granting or denying of a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance, or by the rescinding of a permit in accordance with the provisions of the SMP, may seek review from the State Shorelines Hearings Board. A request for review may be done by filing a petition for review with the board within 21 days of the date of filing of the final decision, as defined by RCW 90.58.140(6) and by concurrently filing copies of such request with the County Clerk, Ecology and the Attorney General's office. State Shorelines Hearings Board regulations are provided in RCW 90.58.180 and Chapter 461-08 WAC.

B. An appeal of a letter of exemption follows the Land Use Petition Act (LUPA) judicial review of land use decisions process found in Chapter 36.70C RCW.

7.06 TIME REQUIREMENTS AND REVISIONS

7.06.01 TIME REQUIREMENTS FOR SHORELINE PERMITS

A. The time requirements of WAC 173-27-090 shall apply to all shoreline substantial development permits, conditional use permits or variances authorized in accordance with this SMP.

7.06.02 REVISIONS OF SHORELINE PERMITS

A. A permit revision is required whenever the applicant proposes substantive changes to the design, terms, or conditions of a project from that which is approved in the permit. Changes are substantive if they materially alter the project in a manner that relates to its conformance to the terms and conditions of the permit, the SMP, and/or the SMA. Changes, which are not substantive in effect, do not require approval of a revision.

B. Permit revisions shall be processed in accordance with in accordance with WAC 173-27-100.

C. If the revision involves a shoreline variance or conditional use, which was conditioned by Ecology, the revision must be reviewed and approved by Ecology under the SMA.

DRAFT

D. Revisions to permits under WAC 173-27-100 shall not be used to extend the original permit time requirements or to authorize substantial development after the time limits of the original permit.

7.07 NONCONFORMING DEVELOPMENT

A. Nonconforming use or development means a shoreline use, development, or structure that was lawfully constructed or established prior to the effective date of the SMA or the SMP, or amendments thereto, that does not conform to present regulations or standards of the SMP. Provided that, legally established existing residential structures and appurtenances that are located landward of the OHWM and outside the floodway that do not meet the standards of this program are considered to be conforming.

B. The nonconforming use and development standards in LCC 17.35A.520 shall apply to the entirety of shoreline jurisdiction.

C. For nonconforming shoreline uses, development or structures, in addition to the standards established in LCC 17.35A.520, the following standards shall apply:

1. Legally established uses and developments may be maintained, repaired, and operated within shoreline jurisdiction and within shoreline buffers established in the SMP.

2. A nonconforming use, development, or structure, which is moved any distance, must be brought into conformance with the SMA and the SMP.

3. An undeveloped lot, tract, parcel, site, or division of land located landward of the OHWM, which was established in accordance with local and State subdivision requirements prior to the effective date of the SMA and the SMP, may be developed if permitted by other local land use regulations so long as such development conforms to all other requirements of the SMA and the SMP.

4. A use that is listed as a conditional use but which existed prior to adoption of the SMP and for which a shoreline conditional use permit has not been obtained shall be considered a nonconforming use. If the use seeks to expand, however a conditional use permit shall be required.

5. A structure for which a shoreline variance has been issued shall be considered a legal nonconforming structure and the requirements of this section shall apply as they apply to preexisting nonconformities. If an applicant seeks to expand the non-conformity, the expansion will require further review as a shoreline variance.

7.08 ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES

7.08.01 ENFORCEMENT

A. The provisions of LCC 17.25.160 relating to enforcement shall apply to the SMP.

B. The Shoreline Administrator or a designated representative shall enforce all provisions of the SMP. For such purposes, the Shoreline Administrator or a designated representative shall have the power of a police officer.

C. The choice of enforcement action and the severity of any penalty should be based on the nature of the violation and the damage or risk to the public or to public resources. The existence or degree of bad faith of the persons subject to the enforcement action; the benefits that accrue to the violator; and the cost of obtaining compliance may also be considered.

D. The enforcement procedures and penalties contained in Part II of WAC 173-27 are hereby incorporated by reference.

7.08.02 PENALTY

A person found to have willfully engaged in activities in shoreline jurisdiction in violation of the SMA or in violation of the SMP or rules or regulations adopted pursuant thereto shall be subject to the penalty provisions of County code, RCW 90.58.210 and RCW 90.58.220, and WAC 173-27-270 and WAC 173-27-280.

7.08.03 PUBLIC AND PRIVATE REDRESS

A. A person subject to the regulatory program of the SMP who violates any provision of the SMP or the provisions of a permit issued pursuant thereto shall be liable for all damages to public or private property arising from such violation, including the cost of restoring the affected area to its condition prior to such violation. The Lewis County Prosecutor may sue for damages under SMP Section 7.08 on behalf of the County.

B. Private persons shall have the right to sue for damages under this section on their own behalf and on behalf of all persons similarly situated. If liability has been established for the cost of restoring an area affected by violation, the court shall make provisions to assure that restoration will be accomplished within a reasonable time at the expense of the violator. In addition to such relief, including monetary damages, the court, in its discretion, may award attorneys' fees and costs of the suit to the prevailing party.

DRAFT

7.08.04 DELINQUENT PERMIT PENALTY

A. A person applying for a permit after commencement of the use or activity may be required to pay a delinquent permit penalty at the discretion of the County.

7.09 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM – ADMINISTRATION

7.09.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

A. The County shall record all project review actions within shoreline jurisdiction, including shoreline permits and letters of exemption.

B. As part of shoreline permit review process, the County shall evaluate shoreline conditions on an ongoing basis to ensure no net loss of ecological functions, to protect and enhance visual quality, and to identify and protect significant historic or cultural resources in the shoreline. Specific issues to address in evaluations include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Water quality;
2. Conservation of aquatic vegetation and control of noxious weeds;
3. Changing visual character as a result of new development or redevelopment and individual vegetation conservation practices along shoreline and upland areas;
4. Shoreline stabilization and modifications; and
5. Significant historic or cultural resources within shoreline jurisdiction resulting from research, inventories, discoveries, or new information.

7.09.02 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM REVIEW

The following guidelines are to be used for review and amendments of the SMP:

A. The SMP shall be reviewed periodically, at least once every eight years as required by RCW 90.58.080(4)(b) beginning on or before June 30, 2022 and every eight years thereafter. Amendments shall be made as necessary to reflect changing local circumstances, new information or improved data, and changes in State statutes and regulations.

B. As part of a SMP update, the County shall consider the cumulative impacts of recent development on the ecological functions of the shoreline. As part of this review, the County should assemble a list of recent permit activities in the shoreline jurisdiction by watershed, including any applications for vegetation clearing, docks, structures, or

septic systems, among other items. A brief description of identified impacts and mitigation should be provided as part of the assessment.

C. During this amendment process, the County should use a process that is designed to assure that proposed regulatory or administrative actions do not unconstitutionally infringe upon private property rights. Related to the constitutional takings limitation, a process established for this purpose is set forth in a publication entitled, State of Washington, *Attorney General's Recommended Process for Evaluation of Proposed Regulatory or Administrative Actions to Avoid Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property*, first published in February 1992.

D. Provisions of the SMP may be amended as provided for in RCW 90.58.120, RCW 90.58.200, and Chapter 173-26 WAC. Standards in WAC 197-26-201 in particular articulate many of the factors to consider as part of the revisions. Amendments shall also be subject to the procedures in LCC Chapter 17.12.

E. Amendments or revisions to the SMP, as provided by law, do not become effective until approved by Ecology.

DRAFT

8 DEFINITIONS

8.01 UNLISTED WORDS OR PHRASES

A. Any word or phrase not defined in SMP Chapter 7: Definitions that is called into question when administering the SMP shall be defined utilizing the SMA and its implementing rules.

B. In shoreline jurisdiction, any conflicts between definitions found in both the County code and the SMP shall be resolved in favor of the definition in the SMP or the SMA.

C. The Shoreline Administrator may obtain secondary definition sources from one of the following sources:

   1. County code.
   2. Any County resolution, ordinance, policy, or regulation.
   3. The most applicable statute or regulation from the State of Washington.
   4. Legal definitions generated from case law or provided within a law dictionary.
   5. The common dictionary.

8.02 DEFINITIONS

A

Accessory Structure or Use – A structure or use incidental, related, and clearly subordinate to the principal structure or use of a lot or main building. An accessory structure or use is only located on the same lot as a permitted principal use.

Act – The Washington State Shoreline Management Act (SMA) (Chapter 90.58 RCW and WAC 173-27), as amended.

Agriculture – The use of land for agricultural purposes, including farming, dairying, pasturage, horticulture, floriculture, viticulture, apiaries, and animal and poultry husbandry, and the necessary accessory uses for storing produce; provided, however, that the operation of any such accessory use shall be incidental to that of normal agricultural activities. In all cases, the use of agriculture related terms should be consistent with the specific meanings provided in WAC 173-26-020.

DRAFT

Agricultural activities – Agricultural uses and practices including, but not limited to: producing, breeding, or increasing agricultural products; rotating and changing agricultural crops; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie fallow in which it is plowed and tilled but left unseeded; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant as a result of adverse agricultural market conditions; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant because the land is enrolled in a local, State, or Federal conservation program, or the land is subject to a conservation easement; conducting agricultural operations; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural equipment; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural facilities, provided that the replacement facility is no closer to the shoreline than the original facility; and maintaining agricultural lands under production or cultivation.

Agricultural products – Includes, but is not limited to, horticultural, viticultural, floricultural, vegetable, fruit, berry, grain, hops, hay, straw, turf, sod, seed, and apiary products; feed or forage for livestock; Christmas trees; hybrid cottonwood and similar hardwood trees grown as crops and harvested within twenty years of planting; and livestock including both the animals themselves and animal products including, but not limited to: meat, upland finfish, poultry and poultry products, and dairy products.

Agricultural equipment and facilities – Includes, but is not limited to the following:

A. The following used in agricultural operations: Equipment; machinery; constructed shelters, buildings, and ponds; fences; upland finfish rearing facilities; water diversion, withdrawal, conveyance, and use equipment and facilities including, but not limited to: pumps, pipes, tapes, canals, ditches, and drains;

B. Corridors and facilities for transporting personnel, livestock, and equipment to, from, and within agricultural lands;

C. Farm residences and associated equipment, lands, and facilities; and

D. Roadside stands and on-farm markets for marketing fruit or vegetables.

Agricultural land – Those specific land areas on which agricultural activities are conducted as of the date of adoption of the SMP pursuant to these guidelines as evidenced by aerial photography or other documentation. After the effective date of the SMP, land converted to agricultural use is subject to compliance with the requirements of the SMP.

Applicant – Any person or entity designated or named in writing by the property or easement owner to be the applicant, in an application for a shoreline development proposal, permit, or approval.

Appurtenance – A building, structure, or development necessarily connected to the use and enjoyment of a single-family residence that is located landward of the OHWM and of the

perimeter of any wetland. On a statewide basis, normal appurtenances include a garage, deck, driveway, utilities, fences, installation of a septic tank and drain field, and grading which does not exceed 250 cubic yards (except to construct a conventional drain field) and which does not involve placement of fill in any wetland or waterward of the OHWM. Refer to WAC 173-27-040(2)(g).

Aquaculture – The culture or farming of fish, shellfish, or other aquatic plants and animals. Aquaculture does not include the harvest of wild geoduck associated with the State managed wildstock geoduck fishery.

Associated Wetlands – Those wetlands that are in proximity to, and either influence or are influenced by, tidal waters or a lake or stream subject to the SMA. Refer to WAC 173-22-030(1).

Average Grade Level – The average of the natural or existing topography of the portion of the lot, parcel, or tract of real property, which will be directly under the proposed building or structure: In the case of structures to be built over water, average grade level shall be the elevation of the OHWM. Calculation of the average grade level shall be made by averaging the ground elevations at the midpoint of all exterior walls of the proposed building or structure.

B

Best Available Science (BAS) – Information from research, inventory, monitoring, surveys, modeling, synthesis, expert opinion, and assessment that is used to designate, protect, or restore critical areas that is derived from a valid scientific process as defined by WAC 365-195-900 through -925, BAS is derived from a process that includes peer-reviewed literature, standard methods, logical conclusions and reasonable inferences, quantitative analysis, and documented references to produce reliable information.

Berm – A linear mound or series of mounds of sand or gravel generally that parallels the water at or landward of the OHWM. In addition, a linear mound used to screen an adjacent use, such as a parking lot, from transmitting excess noise and glare.

Best Management Practices (BMPs) – BMPs are the utilization of methods, techniques or products which have been demonstrated to be the most effective and reliable in minimizing environmental impacts. BMPs encompass a variety of behavioral, procedural, and structural measures that reduce the amount of contaminants in stormwater run-off and in receiving waters.

Boat Ramps and Launches – Boat ramps and launches include graded slopes, slabs, pads, planks, or rails used for launching boats.

Building Setback – A required structural setback, specified in the SMP, measured horizontally upland from a shoreline buffer and perpendicular to the OHWM, if used with a shoreline buffer, as specified in SMP Chapter 5: Specific Shoreline Use Policies and Regulations. A building setback protects the shoreline buffer from the impacts related to use of a structure.

Bulkhead – A vertical or nearly vertical erosion protection structure placed parallel to the shoreline consisting of concrete, timber, steel, rock, or other permanent material not readily subject to erosion.

C

Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) – The area along a river or stream within which the channel can reasonably be expected to migrate over time because of normally occurring processes. It encompasses that area of lateral stream channel movement that can be identified by credible scientific information that is subject to erosion, bank destabilization, rapid stream incision, and/or channel shifting, as well as adjacent areas that are susceptible to channel erosion. The area within which a river channel that is likely to move over an interval of time is referred to as the CMZ or the meander belt.

Chapter 90.58 RCW – The Shoreline Management Act of 1971, as amended.

Cities – The Cities of Centralia, Chehalis, Morton, and Winlock

Clean Water Act – The primary Federal law providing water pollution prevention and control; previously known as the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. See 33 USC 1251 et seq.

Clearing – The removal of vegetation or plant cover by manual, chemical, or mechanical means. Clearing includes, but is not limited to, actions such as cutting, felling, thinning, flooding, killing, poisoning, girdling, uprooting, or burning.

Comprehensive Plan – The document, including maps, adopted by the County Commissioners in accordance with the GMA (Chapters 36.70A and 36.70B RCW, as amended) and applicable State law.

Conditional Use – A use, development, or substantial development that is classified as a conditional use or is not classified within the applicable SMP. Refer to WAC 173-27-030(4).

County – Lewis County.

Critical Areas – Defined under Chapter 36.70A RCW includes the following areas and ecosystems:

A. Wetlands;

B. Areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable waters;

C. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas;

D. Frequently flooded areas; and

E. Geologically hazardous areas

Cumulative Impact – The impact on the environment, which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over an interval of time.

D

Development – The construction or exterior alteration of buildings or structures; dredging; drilling; dumping; filling; removal of sand, gravel, or minerals; bulkheading; driving of piling; placing of obstructions; or a project of a permanent or temporary nature which interferes with the normal public use of the surface of the waters overlying lands subject to Chapter 90.58 RCW at any state of water level (RCW 90.58.030(3)(a)).

Docks – Docks are structures that float upon the water and are used as a landing or moorage place for watercraft. Docks are distinct from piers, which are built on fixed platforms supported by piles above the water. Some piers may terminate in a float section that is connected by a ramp.

Dredging – Excavating or displacing of the bottom or shoreline of a waterbody. Dredging can be accomplished with mechanical or hydraulic machines. Most dredging is done to maintain channel depths or berths for navigational purposes; other dredging is for cleanup of polluted sediments.

E

Ecological Functions – The work performed or the role played by the physical, chemical, and biological processes that contribute to the maintenance of the aquatic and terrestrial environments that constitute the shoreline's natural ecosystem.

Ecology – The Washington State Department of Ecology.

Ecosystem-wide Processes – The suite of naturally occurring physical and geologic processes of erosion, transport, and deposition; and specific chemical processes that shape landforms within a specific shoreline ecosystem and determine both the types of habitat and the associated ecological functions.

DRAFT

Emergency – An unanticipated and imminent threat to public health, safety, or the environment, requiring immediate action within a time too short to allow full compliance with the SMP. Emergency construction is construed narrowly as that which is necessary to protect property from the elements (RCW 90.58.030(3)(e)(iii) and WAC 173-27-040(2)(d)). Emergency construction does not include development of new permanent protective structures where none previously existed. Where new protective structures are deemed by the Administrator to be the appropriate means to address the emergency, upon abatement of the emergency the new structure shall be removed or any permit which would have been required, absent an emergency, obtained. All emergency construction shall be consistent with the policies of Chapter 90.58 RCW and this SMP. As a general matter, flooding or other seasonal events that can be anticipated and may occur but that are not imminent are not an emergency.

Endangered Species Act (ESA) – A Federal law intended to protect any fish or wildlife species that are threatened with extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

Environmental Impacts – The effects or consequences of actions on the natural and built environments. Environmental impacts include effects upon the elements of the environment listed in the SEPA. Refer to WAC 197-11-600 and WAC 197-11-444.

Environments, (Shoreline Environment) – Designations given to specific shoreline areas based on the existing development pattern, the biophysical character and limitations, and the goals and aspirations of local citizenry, as part of an SMP.

Exemption – Certain specific developments are exempt from the definition of substantial developments and are therefore exempt from the shoreline substantial development permit process of the SMA. A use or activity that is exempt from the substantial development provisions of the SMA must still be carried out in compliance with policies and standards of the SMA and the SMP. Shoreline conditional use permits and variances may also still be required even though the use or activity does not need a shoreline substantial development permit (WAC 173-27-040).

F

Fair Market Value – The open market bid price for conducting the work, using the equipment and facilities, and purchase of the goods, services and materials necessary to accomplish the development. This would normally equate to the cost of hiring a contractor to undertake the development from start to finish, including the cost of labor, materials, equipment and facility usage, transportation and contractor overhead and profit. The fair market value of the development shall include the fair market value of donated, contributed or found labor, equipment or materials (WAC 173-27-030(8)).

Feasible – An action, such as a development project, mitigation, or preservation requirement, that meets all of the following conditions:

A. The action can be accomplished with technologies and methods that have been used in the past in similar circumstances, or studies or tests have demonstrated in similar circumstances that such approaches are currently available and likely to achieve the intended results;

B. The action provides a reasonable likelihood of achieving its intended purpose; and

C. The action does not physically preclude achieving the project's primary intended legal use.

In cases where the SMP Guidelines require certain actions unless they are infeasible, the burden of proving infeasibility is on the applicant. In determining an action's infeasibility, the County may weigh the action's relative public costs and public benefits, considered in the short- and long-term time frames.

Feasible Alternatives – Alternatives to the proposed project that will accomplish essentially the same objective as the original project while avoiding or having less adverse impacts.

Fill – Raising the elevation or creating dry land by adding soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, earth-retaining structure, or other material to an area waterward of the OHWM, in wetland, or on shorelands.

Floodplain – Term is synonymous with 100-year floodplain. The land area that is susceptible to being inundated with a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in a given year. The limits of this area are based on flood regulation ordinance maps or a reasonable method that meets the objectives of the SMA (WAC 173-22-030(2)).

Flood course – See Shoreline Master Program Flood course.

Floodway – The area that has either: (i) has been established in FEMA flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) or floodway maps; or (ii) consists of those portions of the area of a river valley lying streamward from the outer limits of a watercourse upon which flood waters are carried during periods of flooding that occur with reasonable regularity, although not necessarily annually, said floodway being identified, under normal condition, by changes in surface soil conditions or changes in types or quality of vegetative ground cover condition, topography, or other indicators of flooding that occurs with reasonable regularity, although not necessarily annually. Regardless of the method used to identify the floodway, the floodway shall not include those lands that can reasonably be expected to be protected from floodwaters by flood control devices maintained by or maintained under license from the Federal government, the State, or a political subdivision of the State.

G

Geotechnical Report or Geotechnical Analysis – A scientific study or evaluation conducted by a qualified expert that includes a description of the ground and surface hydrology and geology, the affected land form and its susceptibility to mass wasting, erosion, and other geologic hazards or processes, conclusions and recommendations regarding the effect of the proposed development on geologic conditions, the adequacy of the site to be developed, the impacts of the proposed development, alternative approaches to the proposed development, and measures to mitigate potential site-specific and cumulative geological and hydrological impacts of the proposed development, including the potential adverse impacts to adjacent and down-current properties. Geotechnical reports shall conform to accepted technical standards and must be prepared by qualified professional engineers or geologists who have professional expertise about the regional and local shoreline geology and processes.

Grading – The movement or redistribution of the soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, or other material on a site in a manner that alters the natural contour of the land.

Groin – A barrier-type structure extending from, and usually perpendicular to, the backshore into a waterbody. Its purpose is to protect a shoreline and adjacent upland by influencing the movement of water or deposition of materials. This is accomplished by building or preserving an accretion beach on its updrift side by trapping littoral drift. A groin is relatively narrow in width but varies greatly in length. A groin is sometimes built in a series as a system and may be permeable or impermeable, high or low, and fixed or adjustable.

Growth Management Act (GMA) – Chapters 36.70A and 36.70B RCW, as amended.

Guidelines – See Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Guidelines, Chapter 173-26 WAC.

H

Hazard Tree – Any tree that is susceptible to immediate fall due to its condition (damaged, diseased, or dead) or other factors, which, because of its location, is at risk of damaging permanent physical improvements to property or causing personal injury.

Height – Measured from average grade level to the highest point of a structure: provided that television antennas, chimneys, and similar appurtenances shall not be used in calculating height, except where such appurtenances obstruct the view of the shoreline of a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining such shorelines, or the applicable SMP specifically requires that such appurtenances be included: provided further that temporary construction equipment is excluded in this calculation.

DRAFT

Historic Resources – Those historic or cultural properties or items that fall under the jurisdiction of the DAHP.

I – J – K

Impermeable Surface – The area of a lot that is covered by impermeable surfaces, measured by percentage. A non-vertical surface artificially covered or hardened to prevent or impede the percolation of water into the soil mantle including, but not limited to roof tops, swimming pools, paved or graveled roads and walkways or parking areas, but excluding landscaping and surface water retention/detention facilities.

In-Stream Structure or In-Water Structure – A structure placed by humans within a stream or river waterward of the OHWM that either causes or has the potential to cause water impoundment or the diversion, obstruction, or modification of water flow. In-water structures may include those for hydroelectric generation, irrigation, water supply, flood control, transportation, utility service transmission, fish habitat enhancement, or other purpose.

Interested Party – Synonymous with party of record, all persons, agencies or organizations who have submitted written comments in response to a notice of application; made oral comments in a formal public hearing conducted on the application; or notified the County of their desire to receive a copy of the final decision on a permit and who have provided an address for delivery of such notice by mail (WAC 173-27-030(12)).

L

Landscaping – Vegetation ground cover including shrubs, trees, flower beds, grass, ivy and other similar plants and including tree bark and other materials which aid vegetative growth and maintenance.

Low Impact Development (LID) – A stormwater and land use management strategy that strives to mimic pre-disturbance hydrologic processes of infiltration, filtration, storage, evaporation, and transpiration by emphasizing conservation, use of on-site natural features, site planning, and distributed stormwater management practices that are integrated into a project design.

M

May – An action that is acceptable, provided it conforms to the provisions of the SMP.

Mitigation or Mitigation Sequencing – Avoiding, reducing, or compensating for a proposal's environmental impact(s). See WAC 197-11-768 and WAC 173-26-020(30). Mitigation or mitigation sequencing means the following sequence of steps listed in order of priority, with (a) of this subsection being top priority:

A. Avoiding the impact all together by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;

B. Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation by using appropriate technology or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;

C. Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;

D. Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations;

E. Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and

F. Monitoring the impact and the compensation projects and taking appropriate corrective measures.

Mixed-use – Means a development or structure that includes a combination of components, such as water-oriented and non-water oriented activities. A mixed-use project that includes all non-water oriented components shall be considered entirely non-water oriented.

Must – A mandate; the action is required.

N

Native Vegetation – Vegetation comprised of plant species that are indigenous to an area.

Natural or Existing Topography – The topography of the lot, parcel, or tract of real property immediately prior to site preparation or grading, including exaction or filling.

Non-Conforming Use or Development – A shoreline use, building, or structure which was lawfully constructed or established prior to the effective date of the applicable SMA/SMP provision, and which no longer conforms to the applicable shoreline provisions (WAC 173-27-080).

Non-Water-Oriented Uses – Those uses that are not water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment, which have little or no relationship to the shoreline and are not considered priority uses under the SMA. Examples include professional offices, automobile sales or repair shops, mini-storage facilities, residential development, department stores, and gas stations.

Normal Maintenance – Those usual acts to prevent a decline, lapse, or cessation from a lawfully established condition (WAC 173-27-040(2)(b)). See also Normal Repair.

Normal Repair – To restore a development to a State comparable to its original condition, including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location and external appearance, within a reasonable period after decay or partial destruction except where repair involves total

replacement which is not common practice or causes substantial adverse effects to the shoreline resource or environment (WAC 173-27-040(2)(b)). See also Normal Maintenance.

O

Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) – That mark that will be found by examining the bed and banks and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual, and so long continued in all ordinary years, as to mark upon the soil a character distinct from that of the abutting upland, in respect to vegetation as that condition exists on June 1, 1971, as it may naturally change thereafter, or as it may change thereafter in accordance with permits issued by the County or Ecology: provided, that in an area where the OHWM cannot be found, the OHWM adjoining fresh water shall be the line of mean high water. See RCW 90.58.030(2)(b) and WAC 173-22-030(11).

Overwater Structure – A device or structure projecting over the OHWM, including, but not limited to bridges for motorized or non-motorized uses, piers, docks, floats, and moorage.

P – Q

Permit (or Shoreline Permit) – A shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance, or any combination thereof, authorized by the Act. Refer to WAC 173-27-030(13).

Piers – Piers are structures that are built on fixed platforms supported by piles above the water that are used as a landing or moorage place for watercraft. Piers are distinct from docks, which float on the water. Some piers may terminate in a float section that is connected to the remainder of the structure by a ramp.

Primary Structure – The structure associated with the principal use of the property. It may also include single-family residential appurtenant structures, such as garages, attached decks, driveways, utilities, and septic tanks and drain fields that cannot feasibly be relocated. It does not include structures such as tool sheds, gazebos, greenhouses, or other ancillary residential improvements that can feasibly be moved landward to prevent the erosion threat.

Priority Habitat – A habitat type with unique or significant value to one or more species. An area classified and mapped as priority habitat must have one or more of the following attributes:

A. Comparatively high fish or wildlife density;

B. Comparatively high fish or wildlife species diversity;

C. Fish spawning habitat;

D. Important wildlife habitat;

E. Important fish or wildlife seasonal range;

F. Important fish or wildlife movement corridor;

G. Rearing and foraging habitat;

H. Important marine mammal haul-out;

I. Refugia habitat;

J. Limited availability;

K. High vulnerability to habitat alteration;

L. Unique or dependent species; or

M. Shellfish bed.

A priority habitat may be described by a unique vegetation type or by a dominant plant species that is of primary importance to fish and wildlife (such as oak woodlands or eelgrass meadows). A priority habitat may also be described by a successional stage (such as, old growth and mature forests). Alternatively, a priority habitat may consist of a specific habitat element (such as a consolidated marine/estuarine shoreline, talus slopes, caves, snags) of key value to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may contain priority and/or non-priority fish and wildlife.

Priority Species – Species requiring protective measures and/or management guidelines to ensure their persistence at genetically viable population levels. Priority species are those that meet any of the four criteria listed below.

A. Criterion 1. State-listed or State proposed species. State-listed species are those native fish and wildlife species legally designated as endangered (WAC 232-12-014), threatened (WAC 232-12-011), or sensitive (WAC 232-12-011). State proposed species are those fish and wildlife species that will be reviewed by the WDFW (POL-M-6001) for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive according to the process and criteria defined in WAC 232-12-297.

B. Criterion 2. Vulnerable aggregations. Vulnerable aggregations include those species or groups of animals susceptible to significant population declines, within a specific area or statewide, by virtue of their inclination to congregate. Examples include heron colonies, seabird concentrations, and marine mammal congregations.

C. Criterion 3. Species of recreational, commercial, or Tribal importance. Native and non-native fish, shellfish, and wildlife species of recreational or commercial importance and

recognized species used for Tribal ceremonial and subsistence purposes that are vulnerable to habitat loss or degradation.

D. Criterion 4. Species listed under the ESA as either proposed, threatened, or endangered.

Properly Functioning Conditions (PFC) – Conditions that create and sustain natural habitat-affecting processes over the full range of environmental variation, and that support productivity at a viable population level of PTE species. PFC indicates a level of performance for a subset of the more broadly defined ecological functions, reflecting what is necessary for the recovery of PTE species.

Proposed, Threatened, and Endangered (PTE) Species – Those native species that are proposed to be listed or are listed in rule by the WDFW as threatened or endangered, or that are proposed to be listed as threatened or endangered or that are listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA.

Provisions – Policies, regulations, standards, guideline criteria or shoreline environment designations.

Public Access – Public access is the ability of the public to reach, touch, and enjoy the water's edge, to travel on the waters of the State, and to view the water and the shoreline from adjacent locations. Refer to WAC 173-26-221(4).

Public Interest – The interest shared by the citizens of the State or community at large in the affairs of government, or some interest by which their rights or liabilities are affected such as an effect on public property or on health, safety, or general welfare resulting from a use or development (WAC 173-27-030(14)).

Public Use – To be made available daily to the public on a first-come, first-served basis, and may not be leased to private parties on more than a day use basis. Refer to WAC 332-30-106.

R

RCW – Revised Code of Washington.

Recreational Facilities – Facilities such as parks, trails, and pathways, whether public, private or commercial, that provide a means for relaxation, play, or amusement. For the purposes of the SMP, recreational facilities are divided into two categories:

A. Water-dependent (i.e. – moorage facilities, fishing piers, recreational floats); and

B. Non-water-dependent (i.e. – sports fields, golf courses, and RV camping).

Recreational Floats – Recreational floats are independent anchored offshore platforms, used for water-dependent recreational activities such as swimming and diving.

Residential Development – Development, which is primarily devoted to or designed for use as a dwelling(s). Residential development includes single-family development, multifamily development and the creation of new residential lots through land division.

Restore, Restoration, or Ecological Restoration – The reestablishment or upgrading of impaired ecological shoreline processes or functions. This may be accomplished through measures including, but not limited to revegetation, removal of intrusive shoreline structures and removal or treatment of toxic materials. Restoration does not imply a requirement for returning the shoreline area to aboriginal or pre-European settlement conditions.

Riparian – Of, on, or pertaining to the banks of a river, stream, or lake.

Riprap – A layer, facing, or protective mound of stones placed to prevent erosion, scour, or sloughing of a structure or embankment; also, the stone so used.

Run-Off – Water that is not absorbed into the soil but rather flows along the ground surface following the topography.

S

Shall – A mandate; the action must be done.

Shorelands or Shoreland Areas – Those lands extending landward for 200 feet in all directions as measured on a horizontal plane from the OHWM; adopted FEMA floodways or 2010 flood channel study areas and the SMP Flood Course and contiguous flood plain areas landward 200 feet from such adopted FEMA floodways or 2010 flood channel study areas and the SMP Flood Course; and all wetlands and river deltas associated with the streams, lakes, and tidal waters, which are subject to the provisions of the SMA.

Shoreline Administrator – As appointed by the County Commissioners, the Shoreline Administrator is charged with the responsibility of administering the SMP.

Shoreline Buffer – A required vegetated open space measured horizontally upland from and perpendicular to the OHWM. Shoreline buffers are naturally vegetated areas that protect the ecological functions of the shoreline and help to reduce the impacts of land uses on the water body.

Shoreline Environment Designations – The categories of shorelines established by the SMP in order to provide a uniform basis for applying policies and use regulations within distinctively different shoreline areas. See WAC 173-26-211.

DRAFT

Shoreline Jurisdiction – The term describing all of the geographic areas covered by the SMA, related rules, the applicable SMP, and such areas in the County under the SMA. See definitions of Shorelines, Shorelines of the State, Shorelines of Statewide Significance, Shorelands, and Wetlands.

Shoreline Management Act (SMA) – Chapter 90.58 RCW, as amended. Washington's SMA was passed by the Legislature in 1971 and adopted by the public in a 1972 referendum. The goal of the SMA is to prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the State's shorelines.

Shoreline Master Program (SMP) – The comprehensive use plan and related use regulations, together with maps, diagrams, charts, or other descriptive material and text, which are used by the County to administer and enforce the permit system for shoreline management. The SMP must be developed in accordance with the policies of the SMA, be approved and adopted by the State, and be consistent with the rules (WACs) adopted by Ecology.

Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Flood Course – The basis for determining the extent of the floodway as agreed to by Ecology and the County in 2013 for the purposes of determining the areas subject to the SMA. For the County, the 2010 flood channel study areas and the SMP Flood Course were used to determine the extent of the floodway for determining the areas subject to the SMA in those jurisdictions. The use of the term "SMP Flood Course" does not affect the designation or treatment of floodways as outlined SMP Sections 4.04 or 4.05.

Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Guidelines – The State standards that the County must follow in drafting its SMP. The Guidelines translate the broad policies of the SMA into standards for regulation of shoreline uses.

Shoreline Modification – Those actions that modify the physical configuration or qualities of the shoreline area, usually through the construction of a physical element such as a dike, pier, weir, dredged basin, the placement of fill, bulkhead, or other shoreline structure. They can include other actions, such as clearing, grading, application of chemicals, or significant vegetation removal.

Shoreline Permit – A shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, revision, or any combination thereof (WAC 173-27-030(13)).

Shoreline Stabilization – Actions taken to address erosion impacts to property and dwellings, businesses, buildings, or structures caused by natural processes, such as current, flood, tides, wind, or wave action. These actions include structural measures such as bulkheads and nonstructural methods such as building setbacks. New stabilization measures include enlargement of existing structures.

DRAFT

Shorelines – All of the water areas of the State, including reservoirs and their associated uplands, together with the lands underlying them, except those areas excluded under RCW 90.58.030(2)(d).

Shorelines Hearings Board – A State-level quasi-judicial body, created by the SMA, which hears appeals on the granting, denying, or rescinding of a shoreline permit, or enforcement penalty. See RCW 90.58.170 and RCW 90.58.180.

Shorelines of Statewide Significance – A select category of Shorelines of the State, defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(e), where special use preferences apply and where greater planning authority is granted by the SMA. Permit review must acknowledge the use priorities for these areas established by the SMA. See RCW 90.58.020.

Shorelines of the State – The total of Shorelines and Shorelines of Statewide Significance.

Should – A particular action is required unless there is a demonstrated, compelling reason, based on policy of the SMA and the SMP, against taking the action.

Sign – A device, structure, fixture, or placard that uses words, letters, numbers, symbols, graphic designs, logos, or trademarks for the purpose of: a) providing information, directions, b) identifying, advertising a place, establishment, product, good, or service.

Significant Vegetation Removal – The removal or alteration of trees, shrubs, and/or ground cover by clearing, grading, cutting, burning, chemical means, or other activity that causes significant ecological impacts to functions provided by such vegetation. The removal of invasive or noxious weeds does not constitute significant vegetation removal. Tree pruning, not including tree topping, where it does not affect ecological functions, does not constitute significant vegetation removal.

Single-Family Residence – A detached dwelling designed for and occupied by one family including those buildings, structures and developments within a contiguous ownership which are a normal appurtenance (WAC 173-27-040(2)(g)).

Solid Waste – All garbage, rubbish trash, refuse, debris, scrap, waste materials and discarded materials of all types whatsoever, whether the sources be residential or commercial, exclusive of hazardous wastes, and including all source-separated recyclable materials and yard waste.

Stream – A naturally occurring body of periodic or continuously flowing water where: a) the mean annual flow is greater than 20 cubic feet per second and b) the water is contained within a channel (WAC 173-22-030(8)).

Strict Construction – The close or narrow reading and interpretation of a statute or written document.

DRAFT

Structure – A permanent or temporary edifice or building, or a piece of work artificially built or composed of parts joined together in some definite manner, whether installed on, above or below the surface of the ground or water, except for vessels (WAC 173-27-030(15)).

Structural Shoreline Stabilization – Hard structural stabilization measures refer to those with solid, hard surfaces, such as retaining walls and bulkheads, while soft structural stabilization measures rely on less rigid materials, such as biotechnical vegetation measures or beach enhancement. There is a range of measures varying from soft to hard that include vegetation enhancement, upland drainage control, biotechnical measures, beach enhancement, anchor trees, gravel placement, rock revetments, gabions, retaining walls, and bluff walls, and bulkheads. Generally, the harder the construction measure, the greater the impact on shoreline processes, including sediment transport, geomorphology, and biological functions.

Substantial Development – A development of which the total cost or fair market value exceeds $6,416.00, or any development, which materially interferes with the normal public use of the water or Shorelines of the State. The dollar threshold established in this definition must be adjusted for inflation by the Office of Financial Management every five years, beginning July 1, 2007, based upon changes in the consumer price index during that time period. Consumer price index means, for a calendar year, that year's annual average consumer price index, Seattle, Washington area, for urban wage earners and clerical workers, all items, compiled by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics, United States Department of Labor. The Office of Financial Management must calculate the new dollar threshold and transmit it to the Office of the Code Reviser for publication in the *Washington State Register* at least one month before the new dollar threshold is to take effect (RCW 90.58.030(3)(e)). A list of developments, uses, and activities that are not considered substantial development is provided in SMP Chapter 7: Shoreline Administration (WAC 173-27-040(2)(a)).

Significantly Degrade – To cause significant ecological impact.

T – U

Upland – Generally described as the dry land area above and landward of the OHWM.

Utilities – Services and facilities that produce, transmit, store, process, or dispose of electric power, gas, water, stormwater, sewage, and communications.

Utilities, Accessory – Utilities comprised of small-scale distribution and collection facilities connected directly to development within the shoreline area. Examples include local power, telephone, cable, gas, water, sewer, and stormwater service lines.

Utilities, Primary – Utilities comprised of trunk lines or mains that serve neighborhoods, areas, and Cities. Examples include solid waste handling and disposal sites, water transmission lines,

sewage treatment facilities and mains, power generating or transmission facilities, gas storage and transmission facilities and stormwater mains and regional facilities.

V – W – Y – Z

Variance – A means to grant relief from the specific bulk, dimensional or performance standards specified in the applicable SMP, but not a means to vary a shoreline use. Shoreline variances must be specifically approved, approved with conditions, or denied by Ecology (See WAC 173-27-170).

Water-Dependent Use – A use or a portion of a use, which cannot exist in any other location and is dependent on the water due to the intrinsic nature of its operations. Examples of water-dependent uses may include moorage structures (including those associated with residential properties), ship cargo terminal loading areas, ferry and passenger terminals, barge loading facilities, ship building and dry docking, marinas, aquaculture, float plane facilities and sewer outfalls.

Water-Enjoyment Use – A recreational use or other use that facilitates public access to the shoreline as a primary characteristic of the use; or a use that provides for recreational use or aesthetic enjoyment of the shoreline for a substantial number of people as a general characteristic of the use and which through location, design, and operation ensures the public's ability to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of the shoreline. In order to qualify as a water-enjoyment use, the use must be open to the public and the shoreline-oriented space within the project must be devoted to the specific aspects of the use that fosters shoreline enjoyment.

Water-Oriented Use – Any combination of water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment uses that serves as an all-encompassing definition for priority uses under the SMA.

Water-Related Use – A use or a portion of a use, which is not intrinsically dependent on a waterfront location but whose economic viability is dependent upon a waterfront location because:

A. Of a functional requirement for a waterfront location such as the arrival or shipment of materials by water or the need for large quantities of water; or

B. The use provides a necessary service supportive of the water-dependent commercial activities and the proximity of the use to its customers makes its services less expensive or more convenient. Examples include manufacturers of ship parts large enough that transportation becomes a significant factor in the products cost, professional services serving primarily water-dependent uses and storage of water-transported foods. Examples of water-related uses may include warehousing of goods transported by

water, seafood processing plants, hydroelectric generating plants, gravel storage when transported by barge, oil refineries where transport is by tanker and log storage.

Water Quality – The physical characteristics of water within shoreline jurisdiction, including water quantity, hydrological, physical, chemical, aesthetic, recreation-related, and biological characteristics. Where used in the SMP, the term water quantity refers only to development and uses regulated under the SMP and affecting water quantity, such as impermeable surfaces and stormwater handling practices. Water quantity, for purposes of the SMP, does not mean the withdrawal of ground water or diversion of surface water in accordance with RCW 90.03.250 through RCW 90.03.340.

Watershed Restoration Plan – A plan developed or sponsored by the WDFW, Ecology, or WSDOT acting within or in accordance with its authority, the County or a conservation district that provides a general program and implementation measures or actions for the preservation, restoration, re-creation, or enhancement of the natural resources, character, and ecology of a stream, stream segment, drainage area, or watershed for which agency and public review has been conducted in accordance with SEPA.

Weir – A low dam built across a stream to raise its level, divert its flow, or measure its flow. Weirs have been used to address erosion and scouring of stream channels, but can also have negative impacts depending on how they are constructed, such as detrimental effects on fish habitat conditions.

Wetland or Wetland Areas – Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland sites, including, but not limited to: irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. Wetlands may include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland areas to mitigate the conversion of wetlands.

DRAFT

DRAFT

APPENDIX 1: SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATION MAPS

DRAFT

DRAFT

[Shoreline Environment Designation maps will be attached]

DRAFT

APPENDIX 2: CRITICAL AREAS REGULATIONS

DRAFT

DRAFT

[Critical Areas regulations in effect on ____ date of ____, 20__, contained in the County's CAO (LCC Chapters 17.35 and 17.35A) will be attached.]

DRAFT

APPENDIX 3: SPECIFIC WATERBODIES SUBJECT TO THE SMP

DRAFT

DRAFT

The following rivers and streams are subject to the SMA in the County from the point they exceed 20 cubic feet per second of annual flow:

Table A-1: Rivers and Streams Subject to the SMA in Lewis County

Stream or River	United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute series map where the waterbody is located
Berry Creek	Wahpenayo Peak
Big Creek	Pe Ell
Big Creek	Sawtooth Ridge
Brim Creek	Wildwood
Bunker Creek	Rainbow Falls
Butter Creek	Tatoosh Lake
Carlton Creek	White Pass
Cascade Creek	Winters Mountain
Catt Creek	Sawtooth Ridge
Catt Creek, U T	Sawtooth Ridge
Cedar Creek	Eden Valley
Chambers Creek	Hamilton Buttes
Chehalis River - From East and West Fork confluence	Elochoman Pass
Chehalis River *	Curtis
Chehalis River, E F	Elochoman Pass
Chehalis River, S F - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Boistfort Peak
Chehalis River, W F, U T	Elochoman Pass
Chehalis River, W F, U T	Elochoman Pass
Cinebar Creek	Mossyrock
Cinnabar Creek	Elochoman Pass
Cispus River	Walupt Lake
Cispus River *	Tower Rock
Cispus River, N F	Hamilton Buttes

Stream or River	USGS 7.5 minute series map where the waterbody is located
Lava Creek	Ohanapecosh Hot Springs
Lester Creek	Pe Ell
Lincoln Creek - From its North and South Fork confluence	Rainbow Falls
Lincoln Creek, N F	Rainbow Falls
Lincoln Creek, S F	Rainbow Falls
Little Creek	Newaukum Lake
Little Nisqually River - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	The Rockies
Little Nisqually River, W F	The Rockies
Lost Creek	Boistfort
Lucas Creek	Onalaska NW
Lynx Creek	Sawtooth Ridge
Martin Creek	Sawtooth Ridge
McCoy Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Tower Rock
Mill Creek	Mayfield Lake
Millridge Creek	White Pass
Mineral Creek	Kiona Peak
Mineral Creek, N F	Anderson Lake
Mineral Creek, U T	Kiona Peak
Miners Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Vanson Peak
Mission Creek	Packwood Lake
Nannie Creek	Walupt Lake
Newaukum River	Onalaska NW
Newaukum River, M F	Jackson Prairie

DRAFT

Stream or River	United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute series map where the waterbody is located
Coal Creek	Ohanapecosh Hot Springs
Connelly Creek	Morton
Coon Creek	Morton
Cortright Creek	White Pass
Cowlitz River - From confluence of Ohanapecosh River and Clear Fork	Ohanapecosh Hot Springs
Cowlitz River *	Ohanapecosh Hot Springs
Cowlitz River, Clear F, U T	Old Snowy Mountain
Cowlitz River, Clear Fork - From confluence with U T	Old Snowy Mountain
Cowlitz River, Muddy F - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Mount Rainier East
Crim Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Pe Ell
Davis Creek	Purcell Mountain
Deception Creek	Blue Lake
Deep Creek	Adna
Deschutes River	Newaukum Lake
Devils Creek	Coyote Mountain
Dillenbaugh Creek	Centralia
Eagle Creek	The Rockies
East Creek	Mineral
Eight Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Doty
Eleven Creek	Bernier Creek
Elk Creek	Winters Mountain
Elk Creek - Entire length in Lewis	Doty

Stream or River	USGS 7.5 minute series map where the waterbody is located
Newaukum River, N F, U T	Onalaska NW
Newaukum River, S F	Bernier Creek
Newaukum River, S F, U T	Bernier Creek
Nine Creek	Pe Ell
Nisqually River - From Lewis/Pierce County line to its' confluence with Mineral Creek	Mount Rainier West
Nisqually River *	Elbe
Nisqually River *	Elbe
Olequa Creek	Napavine
Olequa Creek, U T	Napavine
Packwood Creek	Bucoda
Paradise River	Mount Rainier West
Quartz Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Cowlitz Falls
Rainey Creek	Kiona Peak
Reese Creek	Anderson Lake
Rock Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Pe Ell
Roger Creek	Elochoman Pass
Roundtop Creek	Mineral
Salmon Creek	Hatchet Mountain
Salzer Creek	Centralia
Sand Creek	Pe Ell
Shelton Creek	Morton
Siler Creek	Greenhorn Buttes

DRAFT

Stream or River	United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute series map where the waterbody is located
County is a Shoreline	
Frost Creek	Glenoma
Gallup Creek	Mineral
Garrard Creek, S F	Cedarville
George Creek	Boistfort Peak
Glacier Creek	Packwood Lake
Goat Creek	Cowlitz Falls
Goat Creek	Walupt Lake
Green River - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Vanson Peak
Greenhorn Creek	Greenhorn Buttes
Hager Creek	Packwood
Hanaford Creek	Onalaska NW
Hanlan Creek	Boistfort Peak
Hiawatha Creek	The Rockies
Highland Creek	Morton
Independence Creek	Oakville
Johnson Creek	Glenoma
Johnson Creek	Hamilton Buttes
Johnson Creek	Tatoosh Lake
Johnson Creek, M F	Packwood Lake
Jones Creek	Pe Ell
Jordan Creek	Hamilton Buttes
Kearney Creek	Mayfield Lake
Keller Creek	Boistfort
Kilborn Creek	Purcell Mountain
King Creek	Napavine
Kiona Creek	Kiona Peak
Klickitat Creek	Mayfield Lake
Lacamas Creek	Jackson Prairie
Lake Creek	Curtis
Lake Creek	Randle
Landers Creek	Vanson Peak
Laughingwater Creek	Ohanapecosh Hot Springs

Stream or River	USGS 7.5 minute series map where the waterbody is located
Silver Creek	Wahpenayo Peak
Skate Creek	Wahpenayo Peak
Skate Creek, U T	Wahpenayo Peak
Skookumchuk River	Newaukum Lake
Slide Creek	Boistfort
Smith Creek	Blue Lake
South Hanaford Creek	Logan Hill
Stearns Creek	Napavine
Stevens Creek	Mount Rainier East
Stillman Creek	Boistfort Peak
Stillman Creek, W F	Boistfort Peak
Stillwater Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Wildwood
Summit Creek	White Pass
Summit Creek, U T	White Pass
Taos Creek	Tatoosh Lake
Teely Creek	Sawtooth Ridge
Thrash Creek	Elochoman Pass
Tilton River	Mineral
Tilton River, E F	Kiona Peak
Tilton River, S F	Glenoma
Tilton River, W F	The Rockies
Timonium Creek	Blue Lake
Tumble Creek	The Rockies
Upper Lake Creek	Old Snowy Mountain
Walupt Creek	Walupt Lake
Willame Creek, N F	Wahpenayo Peak
Willame Creek, S F	Packwood
Winnie Creek	Newaukum Lake
Winston Creek	Coyote Mountain
Winston Creek, S F	Coyote Mountain
Woods Creek	Greenhorn Buttes
Yellowjacket Creek - Entire length in Lewis County is a Shoreline	Tower Rock

* indicates shoreline of statewide significance. B = Branch; E = East; F = Fork; M = Middle; N = North; P = Prong, S = South; T = Tributary; U = Unnamed; W = West

DRAFT

DRAFT

The following lakes are subject to the SMA in the County:

Table A-2: Lakes Subject to the SMA in Lewis County

Alder Lake	Granite Lake	Newaukum Lake
Bear Prairie	Hayes Lake	North Fork Swamp
Bertha May Lake	Jug Lake	Packwood Lake
Blue Lake	Lake Scanewa	Plummer Lake
Carlisle Lake	Lakeside Gravel Pit	Pond Number Seven
Cora Lake	Lily Lake	Pond Number Three
Davis Lake	Lost Lake	Riffe Lake
Duck Lake	Mayfield Lake	Surge Pond
Dumbbell Lake	Mill Pond	Swofford Pond
Frying Pan Lake	Miller Swamp	Unnamed Lakes (28 in total)
Glacier Lake	Mineral Lake	Walupt Lake
Goat Lake		

DRAFT